

*The Collected Communiques of
Individualists Tending toward the Wild*

*The Collected Communiques of
Individualists Tending toward the Wild*

Second Edition

Introduction by Plain Words
Designed by Nucleus of Arsonists

Translated by War On Society

First edition printed in 2012

Second edition printed in 2013

No Copyright

This book is set in Minion and Garamond

Contents

| | |
|---|------|
| Introduction | vi |
| Translator's Note | viii |
| ITS Communiques | |
| First Communique | 11 |
| Second Communique | 16 |
| Third Communique | 27 |
| Fourth Communique | 42 |
| Fifth Communique | 70 |
| Sixth Communique | 73 |
| Seventh Communique | 83 |
| A Brief Note | 106 |
| Appendix | |
| Chronology | 108 |
| Short circuits, robberies and accidents to cover up the truth... | 111 |
| Communique by Terrorist Cells for the Direct Attack – Anti-civilization Faction | 114 |
| Joint declaration of the insurrectional anarchist and eco-anarchist groups of Mexico | 123 |
| Communique from the Incendiary Antagonist Columns | 129 |
| Note from Liberación Total about the seventh ITS communique | 137 |
| Conspiracy Theories and the Ridiculous “Saboteurs” | 140 |

Introduction

In 2011, the scientific world received a wave of parcel bombs singling out some of Mexico's leading figures in nanotechnology and informatics. A total of six communiqués were released throughout that year claiming responsibility for these actions as attacks against the Industrial-Technological System. Accompanying these confessions was a thought-provoking critical analysis of industrial technology, civil society, leftism, revolution, solidarity and morality, to name a few. The communiqués were signed *Individualidades Tendiendo a lo Salvaje* (ITS).

In the United States, the Earth Liberation Front (ELF), the Animal Liberation Front (ALF) and the stories and myth surrounding them are well known amongst radicals, anarchists, and revolutionaries. Their struggle to save the animals, Mother Nature, and all of its creatures may have been inspiring to many—a great cause to take part in. But much of that discourse was and is, as the ITS states, “...carried on the path of sentimentalism, irrationalism and biocentrism” (p76). Considering the ALF's guidelines to take all necessary precautions against harming human and nonhuman life and their mission to educate the masses by revealing the horrors and atrocities committed against animals through the exclusive use of nonviolent direct actions and liberations, the critique that ITS makes of such liberation movements are with reason.

It is true, there have been continued attempts on the lives of scientists and technologists. And it appears that ITS view this violence as part of what it means to be a wild human. Seeing their violent attacks against humans participating in a certain technological progress as acts driven by their wild instincts, conflictual by nature. But they have made themselves very clear that they do not hold violence on an altar—a trap revolutionary groups of the past and present have buried themselves alive in. To have such tunnel vision on “violence” and “conflict” would be a serious misstep in understanding the depth and uniqueness to ITS, their reason, and their instinct-driven attacks. It is not merely their conflictual nature that set ITS apart from other groups whose actions, when viewed

through a simple-minded lens, may appear to have a certain likeness, it is also their iconoclastic reason.

This reason is what thrives in their words and is absent in the words of groups who are deemed domestic terrorists by the State but would prefer to fashion themselves as revolutionary. These revolutionary groups and the individuals that comprise them differ in thought (and consequentially action) as they have taken to serve one or many great causes: revolution (anarchist or communist), ecological justice, animal rights, anti-imperialism, equality, peace, etc.

Instead of falling slave to many great causes, the ITS instead prefer to fight and even be defeated in a war against the domination of wild nature (including wild human nature). Even with no delusions of a grand victory against Civilization nor utopian dreams of revolution, they still manage to sidestep the trap of pessimism and defeatism. This at least is admirable in itself, because even a slight glance at the radical milieu reveals never-ending waves of depression, of disenchantment, and an air of futility. The one who never knows solitude will always know loneliness.

One may ask: when life is no longer about winning or losing, or about getting what you deserve from a system that has wronged you, or even about vengeance (a slight variation of the prior), when life is no longer one drowned in great purpose, what are you left with? Some find this sentiment depressing, so they try their best to suppress the skeptics' contrary thoughts with grand stories of togetherness and fantasy—an image of freedom and wildness only fit for a Disney film. When you start with your feet positioned firmly on the ground, with a thorough understanding of yourself and your surroundings, you may move at your own pace and with your own purpose. Purpose then becomes void of the idealistic dreams for a new and perfect world, unobstructed by the neurotic fear of failure and consequent obsessions. What each one of us allows to fill this nothingness is up to us, it is ours to create and ours to destroy.

Translator's note

Collaborating in the creation of this book has allowed me to revisit and significantly improve the translations of all the communiques from the *Individualidades tendiendo a lo salvaje* (ITS).

The original translation and later revision of these texts have been a unique pleasure and challenge which have formed a kind of backbone to the whole War On Society translation project thus far. I am excited to be involved in giving the texts a wider dissemination, not because I believe everything they say is right (though it does have a lot of reason) nor because I want others to follow them. If their bombs have attacked scientists far from our positions, their words have attacked quite a lot closer. With dynamite they have made attempts on some lives, and with pen they have attempted to cut down the whole basis of the civilized way in which we live. ITS themselves do not want to become a fashion in which others copy their discourse (see p76), but rather want others to take seriously their proposals and critiques if they want to fight against civilization and domination tangibly and without illusions. It is in this same spirit that these texts are being disseminated in English.

For the most part, ITS communicate themselves very clearly, and I made every effort to retain their original writing style, with the most heavy-handed translation applied to the longer sentences and complex ideas. There are, however, some translation decisions that are worth mentioning.

Although I originally presented the group's name as "Individualists Tending toward the Wild" in the online translations, in this book the communiques are signed *Individualidades tendiendo a lo salvaje*. Among the thousands of words penned by the group, their name remains the most difficult to translate. For one thing, *individualidades* could be rendered as 'individuals,' but for that there is *individuxs*, and the more literal 'individualities' doesn't really roll off the tongue, nor convey exactly what it's meant to. Perhaps the most accurate translation is what we originally selected—individualists. Even though it is not very literal, it conveys an aspect of the group's thought-action that distinguishes them from most other

anti-technology warriors. The decision to render them individualists might face objections since they never use this term to explicitly refer to themselves.¹ While this is true, the group clearly expresses individualist positions in their writings and practice, so I believe it is not too much of a reduction to present them as such.

Next is *tendiendo a*, which means tending to or tending toward, keeping in mind that this doesn't want to convey the wishy-washiness that it can in English. Tend and tendril share a common root—to tend toward something is to grow toward it as a plant does toward the sunlight.

Then we have *lo salvaje*, meaning wildness, the wild, savageness, or savagery. ITS's idea of wildness is of course of central importance. In English there is a connotational spectrum from the most peaceful and harmonic idea of nature to the most violent and chaotic, along the lines of: nature, wilderness, wildness, the wild, the savage. In Spanish the spectrum has fewer points because *salvaje* encompasses both wild and savage, though Spanish speakers do have recourse to the elegant *silvestre*. It is clear from their writing that when ITS speak of the wild, they mean the violent and chaotic end of the spectrum of connotation. As such, we are probably erring on the side of too much softness when we translate their *salvaje* as 'wild' so as to avoid the racial connotations of 'savage,' so keep this in mind.

The curiosity *atentado*, which appears several times in ITS's writings, is one of our favorite words shared among the romance languages (*attentat* French, *attentato* Italian) but unfortunately lost in English. It most literally means attempt, but this does not suffice since it can mean a death threat, an attempt on someone's life, or a murder. I translate it variously depending on context, so when ITS speaks of making threats, attacks, or attempts on people's lives, these are all originally *atentados*.

Throughout the text my footnotes are marked "T.N." The rest are in the originals.

1 I wrote this introduction before the group's seventh communique, where they identify with egoism explicitly.

Communiqués

First Communique

27 April, 2011

If you think I am a pessimist, you haven't understood anything

Nanotechnology is one of the many branches of the System of Domination. In recent years, there has been significant progress in American countries like the United States, Canada, Brazil, and also in Mexico, where there has been an accumulation of domestic and foreign capital for the creation of nanoscale technology.

Nanotechnology is the furthest advancement able to exist up to now in the history of anthropocentric progress. It consists of total study, of scrutiny into the manipulation and domination of all the smallest elements, invisible to human eyes. With this humans have now managed to control everything, absolutely everything, from changes in the climate to the smallest atomic molecule. Now civilization, aside from threatening our freedom as Individuals, the freedom of the Animals and of the Earth, has now transferred its threat to a scale of less than a micrometer.

National institutions and corporations that conduct rigorous studies and research for the commercial development of Nanobioscience are varied; they range from the Mexican Petroleum Institute (IMP) with the help of Pemex and CFE, the National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM), Autonomous Metropolitan University (UAM), Iberoamerican University, the Potosino Institute of Scientific and Technological Research (among others) with its Environmental Nanotechnology University Project; Glaxo SmithKline, Unilever, Syngenta, among others.

This kind of technology is growing, the branches it has encompassed can hardly be counted (medicine, military, cosmetology, petrochemistry, nuclear, electro-informatics), but these are just the beginnings of what it can encompass. Faced with this growing "evolution," many grandiloquencies have been made to Nanotechnology; technologists have declared that it will be good for the environment, that it will solve the problem of contamination by means of nanocatalysts cleaning the water and the air, they claim that it will bring an end to many diseases that are currently incurable or

only treatable, they declare that there will be new applications that can be given to petroleum by-products to create new sources of energy, they declare that food will be more nutritious and infused with antibodies to make people stronger and healthier, in short, an innumerable list of “wonders” has been thought up by those who persist in nanometrically developing another “superior way of life.” Their promises resemble the ones they intoned at the beginnings of the industrial revolution. They said that we would live better, that they would solve the problems that humanity was facing in those years. What was the reality? This synthetic, dull, concrete and metal world. What can we expect from the new scientists who repeat the same promises?

But the side that the scientists do not show is that for the time being nanotechnology has tortured millions of animals kidnapped directly from the wilderness in their laboratories to test their new products, experiments so aberrant that we cannot imagine them.

World powers are getting ready for biochemical and nuclear wars. To finish completely with their politico-diplomatic enemies they have made available new technology with the ability to become intelligent and cause irreparable damage to the human body and the environment. Nanoparticles travel at a very high speed inside the body, they can invade the bloodstream and penetrate organs like the heart, liver, brain, spleen and lungs where they destroy cell membranes, where they can spray toxic material and create a reaction much more agonizing and lethal than nuclear contamination. These manipulated particles can be inhaled by humans, plants and animals alike, which would cause an ecological imbalance of large-scale concern, breathing will cause illness or death, there will be new allergies, outbreaks and plagues all impossible to diagnose, drug companies will make their grand entrance (creating accidents as they have done before) for the “welfare” of humanity, until all the available money they can take with their business runs out, and this is how the puzzle of Civilization is completed, it is in this way that the cycle never ends. Tomorrow we will live in a world already sick in itself because of technological advancement and the expansion of Civilization.

Nanomotors are one of the newer developments now; with these they intend to give life to nanocyborgs with low levels of energy consumption. In this way, robotics and nanotechnology together have put on the table the creation of artificial intelligence (which many thought would be just science fiction), machines will be producing machines, self-repairing and self-replicating without a hitch. Total domination will have reached its peak when human clones are created, when they design through nanotechnology the totally manipulated model, without any Wild impulse or instinct, molded by repetition of daily submission—they will create this and more but the consequences will be high. The looming threat of an explosion of manufactured nanoparticle pollution blown into the air, water and land is very real if this technology continues. Chemical reactions will be serious tomorrow and the nanocatastrophe will be a daily reminder to humanity of what has been lost by trying to be more civilized and modern.

Undoubtedly, Civilization (a human invention) has taken over all aspects of non-life, has created this and more to the point that computerized biochemical weapons with intelligence devices are already tested in the Middle East conflict, with an excellent pretext to seize the black gold (oil) from Arab nations.

Day by day, we see eyes terrorized by the irresponsible attitude of humanity toward the wilderness, we realize that we live in a technological nightmare, birth-consumption-death is the torturous cycle within the cities, the last reserves of wild environment are converted into “protected ecological zones” and the destruction advances moment by moment, this can be seen in oil spills in the Amazon in South America and the Gulf of Mexico, in the radioactive water in the Sea of Japan, the devastation of entire forests in Russia, the super-exploitation of minerals in Africa, the large-scale production of cars in Europe, the extinction of thousands of species per year, the construction of super-highways, subways and residential complexes that traverse vast forests, technological progress is bringing an end to the world that we subsist in for now and which is already decadent.

In Mexico, as mentioned, nanoscale technology continues to grow, the administration of the State of Mexico wants to stay at the

vanguard of progress and modernity (also through the morbid and mediocre goal of reaching the national presidency) and therefore has built the Polytechnic University of Valle de Mexico, where the Nanotechnology degree is one of several courses complicit in technological development. The reasons to attack all types of growth in nanoscience are quite strong and therefore we have sent a parcel bomb to that institution on April 14th of this year, specifically to the head of the Engineering Division in Nanotechnology, Professor Oscar Alberto Camacho Olguin. We have no hesitation in attacking those persons who are key to the climax that technology wants to achieve. We prefer to see them dead or mutilated rather than continuing to contribute with their scientific knowledge to all this shit, to continue feeding the System of Domination.

We do not see through the lens of “humanity,” (that huge and twisted mass of the deranged swarming every which way), we see through Wild Nature, and reason has led us to radical action, to make it clear that we will not shake their hands but will attack with all our means this imposed reality and those who support and defend it.

With this action we conducted, we have not struck powerfully at the Mega-machine and we are aware that with this we have not changed anything (maybe the state or federal police now protect the University community, maybe nanotechnologists will realize that we see them as enemies, perhaps the State of Mexico will begin more in-depth investigations, but nothing more), and we say this because we know that all the efforts we make against the Techno-Industrial System are useless, we have seen the immensity of this great mass of metal and concrete, and we realized that all we ever do at one time or another will not stop progress and less so if there are still false-radicals and leftist struggles that aim at the destruction of a target, but have not yet noticed, have not viewed beyond, that all this does not do anything; some think that this is pessimistic, think that we have fallen into defeatism—but no, if we had fallen into these traps of civilization would not be making explosives for technology staff—we say this rather because it is the reality and we know that reality hurts. What is needed to hit hard (from within a Unabomberist idea) at the System? To put nano-bio-technology, telecommunication industry, electricity, computers, oil in our sights? And

if we strike them unanimously with others in different countries, all that, what would happen? Would we deter anything? Civilization is collapsing and a new world will be born, through the efforts of anti-civilization warriors? Please! Let us see the truth, plant our feet on the ground and let leftism and illusions fly from our minds. The revolution has never existed, nor have revolutionaries; those who view themselves as “potential revolutionaries” and seek a “radical anti-technology shift” are truly being idealistic and irrational because none of that exists, in this dying world only Individual Autonomy exists and it is for this that we fight. And although all this is useless and futile, we prefer to be defeated in a war against total domination than to remain inert, waiting, passive, or as part of all this. We prefer to position ourselves on the side of Wild Fauna and Flora that remain. We prefer to return to nature, respect her absolutely, and abandon the cities to maintain our claim as Anti-civilization Warriors. We prefer to continue the War that we have declared years ago, knowing that we will lose, but promising ourselves that we will give our greatest effort.

Because while some factors within Civilization indicate to us that we have been domesticated for years biologically, we still continue to have Wild Instincts that we hurl in defense of the whole that we are a part of—the Earth.

This does not end here...

Total support with the Anti-civilization prisoners in Mexico, with the Chilean comrades and with the furious Italians and Swiss.

...I have lived my life without ever giving up and I enter into the shadows without complaints nor regrets...

Individualidades tendiendo a lo salvaje

Second communique

22 May, 2011

On May 25, 1978 a package bomb is left in the campus of Northwestern University in Illinois; a security guard opens it and is wounded. This was the first attempt of the Freedom Club against direct persons who contributed with their knowledge to technological development in that period.

Through various newspaper reports we have learned that our first explosive, which was directed to the head of the Division of Nanotechnology Engineering at UPVM in the month of April, functioned but did not reach its initial target. A curious individual who works for the university opened it, causing him to be wounded in the face and leaving his right eye seriously injured. The press has said that a curious person moved the package with a stick and it exploded, which is completely false, since the package was (obviously as the press said) inside a black bag, wrapped in white paper with various warnings and security stamps, so it was practically impossible that with a mere movement the electrical system would activate. In the face of this event we want to declare that we do not have any kind of remorse, our objective was precisely for the security guards to take the package to the addressed professor, but due to the policing impulses of this person, and due to his inspecting the package, this person took the wounds that were for the head of the aforementioned division. We will see if the professor Camacho can carry in his conscience that an “innocent” was wounded in an attempt that was intended for him. Without a doubt, curiosity killed the human.

“This is not a joke: last month we made an attempt on the life of Oscar Camacho, today against the institution, tomorrow who knows? Fire to nanotechnological development along with those who sustain it!!”—That was the message that we left written on a small sheet of paper with the explosive device left in the campus of the Polytechnic University of the Valle de Mexico on May 9th, the very day of the start of the new semester. This time the device detonated not by means of a timer system nor by ignition, but manually.

The device appeared inoffensive from the outside (according to the police who already knew of it), but inside was it composed of a galvanized nipple tightly packed with black powder, various cables and a square battery. The device was activated upon turning the lid of the tin bottle (which only served as a container) as the negative and positive cables of the electrical circuit touched, producing a spark. The same day we sent various e-mails to IT directors (who are constantly on the computer and likewise their e-mail) and secretaries of the university, indicating the exact place where the black bag that contained the homemade bomb could be found; with this action we intended to cause physical harm to some police officers, who would come and try to open the container, leaving the university marked with two attacks; we wanted to make it clear that as we have said before, our hands do not tremble at carrying out our action, against the branches of the System of Domination and against those who sustain and protect it. However, it seems that with every passing day the system absorbs every trace of the free ecosystems that remain, a very clear example being the forest fires in the north of the country: enormous, majestic and almost virgin forests in which a great variety of flora and fauna develop without any direct human impediment have been reduced to ashes, greenhouse gases have made the land heat up to abnormal levels, which creates droughts and fires, like those that swept more than 200,000 acres in the Coahuila forest alone, animals such as whitetail deer, various birds such as eagles, hawks and wild turkeys, rabbits, wild cats, wild boar, black bears, cougars and other species were also affected in their environment, causing them to migrate to other territories and create ecological imbalance. These fires have spread over part of Zacatecas, San Luis Potosi, Quintana Roo, Veracruz, Oaxaca, Yucatan, and all this caused by the consumption of the sedentary masses (over-population) and the large-scale production of materials “necessary” for civilized life. We are condemning ourselves to our own extermination, if a person is dying in this moment in some part of the world, at the same time, hundreds of new beings are being born to extend this complex system of devastation and thus upset the balance of biodiversity.

The Earth feels the repercussions of this, another example is the earthquake in Spain, which left several dead and wounded, cities as

fragile as Murcia will soon fall into pieces with any minor 6-point aftershock, leaving a desolate climate, but nothing, nothing comparable with what we have done to this world. In the United States the floods in Mississippi cover everything in their path, something never before seen in that part of the globe, even the specialists could not prevent this “environmental catastrophe” so called by the mindless fools who do not realize that we and only we are the ones responsible for all our (coming) misfortunes and so, the polar glaciers melt imminently, global warming is becoming ever more aggressive; wild environments are occupied for urbanization displacing animal species either into extinction or to occupy environments alien from their own or to live a stay-at-home-domesticated life; the cities expand without caring what they cut down, dig out, or destroy; the petroleum industries tunnel hundreds of kilometers under sea level and set up their platforms, extracting the Earth and irreparably damaging the marine environment; birds fall from the sky and cover highways on the outskirts of the cities, likewise the hundreds of dead fish that cover the coasts of the sea, tomorrow the only green zones will be those protected by the State and industries in order to maintain their abject lie of “ecologically-responsible businesses,” soon there will not be (semi) wild environments; these will be consumed by progress. And in spite of all this we have not learned the lesson, we continue supporting the torturous hedonist path that civilization has taken toward total domestication, daily more new technology, more consumption, more ecological devastation, more animal species that only remain as references in science books, more people with gas masks and face masks walking in the streets and public transport, more machines constructing enormous skyscrapers and sky-bridges, metal and concrete, more biocidal projects on their way to construction (e.g. high-velocity trains in France and Spain, the HidroAysén project in Chile, etc.), more alienation toward this non-life, more children developing artificially, more nuclear missiles with nano-bacteriological cargo falling from the sky, more war that only causes damage and perverts the fragile natural cycle, and with all this comes nanotechnology, its use to subjugate everything that is not plainly visible is a reminder that the civilized human will not stop until having achieved the unthinkable. In Mexico alone, before 2009 the teaching of nano-science would only be imparted in a few

private universities, now this field spans the public universities, and it is attractive to all the moldable minds that dream of a comfortable life of money that falls to them from the sky for being specialists or key components in nano-progress. We have read in the newspapers and seen in the news this year that, according to UPVM's reports the educational offering in the degree of Nanotechnology Engineering is widening. Such that, as one can see, more imbeciles who are fascinated with technological development are counted by the hundreds in various universities in this very moment, hundreds who go into this kind of degree in order to become like human machines for protecting and widening Techno-industrial progress. Hundreds of these we know due to socio-economic possibilities will (as is traditional in Mexico) abandon those studies,¹ but the minority who finish their degree will be the vanguard in nanoscience—and that, that is what we are putting in our sights. Nanotechnology is going to gain territory with this, not to put aside the wide economic support that it is receiving from the State and public industries, private industries (similarly complicit in the System of Domination) and federal institutions such as CONACYT (National Counsel of Science and Technology), which has various branches and centers of investigation (biotechnology, nanotechnology, infrastructure, urbanization, among others) for the increase of the domination of the Earth and is centrally responsible for elaborating the politics that allow the modification of the devastated natural equilibrium. To tell the truth, UPVM within its dozen personnel has three professors who are accredited as members of the National System of Researchers and another three in the CONACYT Register of Accredited Evaluators, which are branches of the federal institution.

Throughout last year and for part of this one, the UPVM has signed agreements with General Electric, Ford Motors, and the business associations of Tlalnepantla and Tultitlan, thus making visible the

1 Here we do not at all want to situate ourselves in student victimism, to which there are infinite subjects from people in Mexico. The students (a great majority of them) complain that the state does not give them education in order to progress in their non-lives and to carry them on normally,—“when you go through the street in a city that is dying of scabies and you walk along with human cockroaches who speak of heroin and child pornography, do you really feel normal?”—to paraphrase a fictional person. The claim to the education imparted by the state is civilized, which we reject.

vampiric circle of collaborators who drive the domination and destruction of everything potentially free.

And us, what can we do in the face of the devastation that the Earth suffers by the techno-industrial system? Nothing, it does not depend on us. Then are we going to remain immobile before all this? Never!

We make a clarification here: perhaps it is time for the university authorities and police to put themselves to analyzing things very well, we have much information with respect to the attacked university. Do they think we don't know there are a little over 70 students within the Nanotechnology course within the classrooms? (This number does not compare with the students of the other courses, who number more than 150.) We know about the other distinguished figures, the responsible professors, so it would be best for them to walk carefully within and outside of the university, to take warning of every suspicious shape in rooms, buildings, parking areas and campus, because one of these days we are going to make them pay for everything that they want to do to the Earth with these kinds of nano-scale technologies. We will repeat, this is not a joke and we have made that quite clear.

One more time: direct and total support with the anti-civilization prisoners of Mexico, with those eco-anarchists of Switzerland, to the affinities in Argentina, Spain, Italy, Chile and Russia. Remembering the wild individualist Mauricio Morales.

the majority of people move because they are ordered to do so, there is no will in their actions, they are all robots of flesh. The remainder live, sleep and die, nevertheless there are still some who dream and who laugh.

Taking advantage of this virtual space in which we disseminate our ideas/actions,² we want to push a constant truly radical critique, it becomes important for us to deeply analyze some questions that are

2 Isn't it contradictory that individuals who say they are against technology use a computer and internet? For us no, since we use it as a means to make critiques and strike up relations of affinity. Only thus can we spread our ideas, we who are in anonymity. Did you really think, stupidly, that those who make a critique of the Techno-industrial System spread this discourse on carved stones?

in the air and even can be or are used to being untouchable for many. For some certain time there have been a number of groups of action and/or propaganda with ideas against Civilization and technology. Of these groups, one can detach various branches—there are those who believe that with actions of sabotage we can end the Techno-industrial System, others just as delusional believe that when Civilization falls everything will be rosy and a new world will flourish without social inequality, hunger, repression, etc, etc, etc. Others tend to educate the masses so that they and their children will be more careful with nature. Others romanticize Wild Nature (calling it mother, home, etc.) without realizing that to live in a wild environment is really hard and violent. Others still think that the collapse of Civilization has to be the work of “revolutionaries” and critique everything that is for them “deviant” and “pseudo-revolutionary” within their conceptions.

We do not mention ourselves within these branches because our ideas are far from the expounded approaches. What we try to cover here are the old leftist terms that they continue to use even in some anti-civilization and anti-technology circles (which precisely critique leftism) at the international level and which must urgently be abandoned in order to give way to a radical critique and to go further in our positioning against the Mega-machine.

One of the first concepts is that of “revolution,” this concept so used by all the anti-civilization persons and primitivists who say they are the ones who have the absolute truth in their hands. The Unabomber, now known as Ted Kaczynski, started to use the term in various texts that (now) circulate in the Spanish language. In one of those he mentions an anti-technological “revolution” far from the values of the system, but isn’t the term “revolution” part of the values of the same system? We remember that all the revolts that ended in popular uprisings and later in “revolutions” throughout history have ended in domination. They have only reformed the system making it stronger, even though often having certain aspects antagonistic with the strategies of the prevailing market, it was and is the case with the socialist countries that although their financial structure was supported on an (according) economic-political-social-cultural basis different than that of the capitalist countries, they continued

and continue being part of the system. Here we are not supporting what Kaczynski said at one time³ when he put out the example of the so-called French or Russian “revolution” to make space for the context that—according to the belief held by him and many others—will give way to a supposed “anti-technology revolution.” To again situate ourselves in Ted’s explanations, he has said in his other texts that now many people are questioning the use of technology, that they are thinking seriously about abandoning it. We recall that Kaczynski is in a maximum security prison, isolated from the world that surrounds him since 1996; surely if he left the prison right now, he would realize that everything is worse (much worse) than when he saw it last century, he would realize how much science and technology have advanced and how much they have devastated and perverted. He would realize that now people are alienated more with the use of technology and that they have even put it on an altar as their deity, their sustenance, their own life. As such, the concept of “revolution” is completely antiquated, sterile and out of date with the anti-civilization ideas that one would want to express. A word that itself has been used by different groups and individuals in history in order to arrive at power, in order to once again dominate and be the center of the universe. A word that has served as the longed-for dream for all the leftists who have faith that some day it will come to liberate them from their chains. Psychologically, in order to reward their efforts with the “glorious day in which the revolution triumphs.” “Revolution” tends toward new arrangements, insurrection leads us to not let ourselves be arranged, but to organize ourselves and does not set its hopes on future arrangements—words of Max Stirner.⁴ The meaning of “revolution” has always been the violent change of the economic, political and social structures of whatever system, a change that would be reached (we repeat) violently, a change for which men and women struggle (in mass society) for a certain time of even years, the struggle that they fight being to obtain “something better” than what the old regime has given them, and so that after the “revolution” has ended they work to obtain what they longed for, to satisfy the ideal that they sacrificed and even gave their lives for.

3 *The Road to “Revolution”* by Ted Kaczynski.

4 *The Ego and Its Own* by Max Stirner.

These are the steps that for centuries the old “revolutionaries” have repeated, but now we place in our minds a supposed anti-technology “revolution,” it is said that the collapse of Civilization will be the work of the “revolutionaries” themselves (a phrase very similar to what the socialists and other sorts employ: “the revolution” will be the work of the people itself”). But how do they know this? How do they propose such a thing when now the system is inventing new ways to automatically self-repair without human hands? They also say that education should be an important point, that those of us who have these kinds of ideas should occupy ourselves with this work, but educate who? We would be falling into an error by heeding what Kaczynski said, to “educate” the people that technology will bring us to our destruction—this is obvious, no doubt, but to “educate” the people, the masses, a society that lives for the new video game and virtual music on their music players, their automobiles that they park alone and their portable computers, their cellular telephones with new and improved modalities and their social networks? We do not see a large-scale change of structures as possible without the masses, therefore neither do we see as possible a whole sea of people sick of the consequences of a western life, of sedentarism and the advance of the Techno-industrial System violently destroying it, we do not believe this is possible. They also say that a change of values must come from an education taught starting now; Kaczynski has based his ideas on the French “Revolution” in order to make the example that during the Renaissance many values began to flourish in Europe in many people’s minds, and just then the uprising in France arose. The approach is acceptable to the simple view, but looking at it deeply we can see that it has also expired, the same conditions no longer exist, technological advance and the alienation born from it are significant and have devastated in modern times any desire of liberating oneself from what keeps us tied. Moreover, to compare the ancient Russian and French “revolutions” with the fictitious anti-technology “revolution” is a serious error because these have tremendous, clearly marked antagonisms, also because we suppose that the “revolution” that Kaczynski proposes is radically different from any other; either one renames this concept (for those who believe in radical change by the “revolutionaries”) or we accept the reality that the “revolution” never existed

nor will it ever exist. If a socialist “revolution” (situated in Mexico) has not been able to be seen, then much less so an anarchist “revolution” and even less an anti-technology one. This critique, precisely, in time and space, is for the ones who believe that the collapse of Civilization will be the work of the “revolutionaries.” Then, if they believe in a “revolution” should there automatically exist a possible anti-technology utopia?

A world without domestication, with a system stopped by the work of the “revolutionaries,” with Wild Nature born from the ashes of the old technological regime and the human species (what remains of it) returned to the wild, is completely illusory and dreamy. Even if through a coordinated action of sabotage by the “revolutionaries” (for example, the spreading of a fatal virus that would do away with half or a little more of the global population) the system were to collapse, domestication would keep existing, the Techno-industrial System would remain latent although with very few people who would sustain it (if this is a future in which it is not self-sustaining by itself). Nature would flourish, there is no doubt of that (within this example), but the thousands of survivors who are used to the comfort and artificial happiness of the old system would try to raise and reconstruct it.⁵ But that is another topic.

By putting names on the war against civilization like those of “revolution,” “revolutionaries,” “pseudo-revolutionaries,” we are falling in the same thing that the Marxists preach when they brand some as counter-revolutionaries, furthermore we would be falling into a religious dogmatism like the leftists’ schemas.

In which the god is Wild Nature, the messiah is Ted Kaczynski, the bible is the Unabomber manifesto, the apostles are Zerzan, Feral Faun, Jesús Sepúlveda, and others, the longed-for paradise is the collapse of Civilization, the enlightened or the preachers are the “revolutionaries,” maintained by the faith which would be the blind confidence they have that someday the “revolution” will come, the disciples would be the “potential revolutionaries,” the crusades and missions would be carrying the word to the circles of people involved in green or anarchist struggles (where they would find the

5 “When Non-violence is Suicide” and “The Coming ‘Revolution’” by Ted Kaczynski.

“potential revolutionaries”) and the atheists or sects are those who do not believe in their dogmas nor accept their ideas as being coherent with reality.

This is what they have fallen into, and what anti-civilization ideas can fall into, unless we began to analyze not only all that surrounds us (as we have done before) but also what is in our heads as well, a self-critique and a revaluation become indispensable in the face of the changes that the System of Domination presents.

The second concept, which is not only a concept but is a strategy, is that of the “new urban guerrilla,” this not within anti-civilization ideas at the moment, but something a bit more general in the sphere of sabotage and direct action. Many groups have been seen to claim responsibility with these words; the term, if we remember correctly, began to be used with the most importance by the group of the Conspiracy of Cells of Fire (CCF) in Greece a few years ago. The central argument of this concept resides in that the strategy continues to be that of an urban guerrilla but with new forms. That is to say, the actions continue to be the same, robberies for financing, falsification of documents, bombings with sophisticated explosives—or if these are lacking then with a large quantity of explosives—armaments, munitions, transport, safe houses and the rest. But what was considered as “new” was that it does not have leaders nor commands, the cells enjoy total autonomy in the attack, seeing their members as individuals and not as militiamen or subordinates of the general command or of the central nucleus. Even so, and although they say that there is a “new urban guerrilla,” they committed the same errors as the old urban guerrilla, we do not think to understand a judgment like the Red Army Faction (RAF) guerrillas had in the 70s repeating again but in the 2000s with the members of the CCF. It would not take us by surprise if some other group from whatever part of the world naming itself as “new urban guerrilla” were to fall into the hands of the State-capital for basing itself on these kinds of experiments that have only left prisoners. The best option to slip away from the system continues to be informal organization, meeting as individuals in affinity or alone, betting on insurrectionalist immediatism and the quality of sabotage, rejecting formal organization and indiscriminate recruiting.

The third aspect that we want to cover is the obscene handling that has been given to the name of Mauricio Morales of late, even though of course we never knew him, we have read what he left expressed with paper and ink; we find a very strong and sharp affinity with what he expressed and with what he did, if we did not feel it we would not even name him. Why? Because we are not participants in indiscriminate solidarity, we vindicate only our own, nothing more. Today marks two years since the death of an individualist who tended toward the wild, but it appears that many are the leftists who remember him as a “social fighter,” a “politically correct” person, all to the contrary of what he thought, deviating from what he truly was. Not only we say this, those who knew him to the bottom and who were with him will verify this, the Limited Group of Savage Individuals (as his *compas* signed)⁶ showed their anger shortly after Mauricio had died. It is painful that his name has been converted into a slogan and that his name is simply attached to another text on the anniversary of his death. But although his name and his acts are almost completely deformed, there are some *afines* who understand the real value that his words and actions had.

Individualidades tendiendo a lo salvaje

6 Even though the published communique has some (not so many) classist markings, we offer as reference the text called “Regarding the handling and misrepresentation of the figure of our comrade Mauri.”

Third communique

9 August, 2011

*The continual advancement of technology will worsen the situation.
The more the system grows, the more disastrous will be the
consequences of its failure.*

Revenue directly attributable to nanotechnology has been growing at levels of 42% between 2006 and 2011, and by the end of 2011 is estimated to generate revenues of more than US\$19 billion.¹

This is just one fact that demonstrates that they are prostrating themselves to the gaze of the devastating nanotechnological progress with more emphasis in Mexico.

As has been mentioned before,^{2,3} this country positions itself together with Brazil as one of the two most viable options for investing in nanoscience within Latin America. For this, they have put in the university engineering classes and courses whose end is the professional preparation of moldable minds that not only want to acquire a paper to accredit their studies, but also truly desire to contribute with their scientific studies to the development and rise of nanobiotechnology, in order to secure what the system wants: The total Domination of all that is potentially free.

But let's stop a little and think, what are the true motives that lead scientists to get involved in this new technological nanorevolution?⁴

1 Data from *El Economista*, February 28th, 2011.

2 First communique of *Individualidades Tendiendo a lo Salvaje* (ITS) for the package bomb action against the director of Nanotechnology Engineering of the Polytechnic University of Valle de México (UPVM) on April 14 of this year. Which left a security guard seriously wounded.

3 Second communique of ITS on the action and a threat of a explosive device against the Nanotechnology campus of the same university May 9th of this year. The result was not published by the press, which, seeing that ITS had claimed the first attack, decided not to disclose it.

4 Although we have dissected the terms revolution and revolutionary in our previous communiques, we only have done it within a line, that is, we have critiqued and eliminated these terms when we mean that there are individuals or groups who feel enlightened to proclaim themselves as such.

Many of the scientists will say it has been to “help humanity.” But deeper within these simplistic excuses are hidden psychological needs that are called surrogate activities. Surrogate activities⁵ refer to all those acts or tasks that aim to reach an artificial end and not a real one.

The scientists say that they create carbon nanotubes, for example, to make life more comfortable for humanity, but the true reason that most of them⁶ do it is because they feel a strong emotional commitment to the branch that they develop in; that is, they don’t do it so that humanity will live “better” as they have always claimed, but rather for a vague personal and psychological realization, so with this we arrive at a swift and irrefutable conclusion, most scientists base their research on their twisted psychological needs, on their surrogate activities.

To resume with the theme, in Mexico there are 650 nanotechnologists and the figure is rising,⁷ in addition to the growing interest of young people to go into that area. Several factors (which we have explained in the above paragraph and in footnote 6) drive more “new” minds to have the commitment to sustain this type of technology while today the fatal and desolate outcome that it will have in the future has not been publicly discerned.

Nobel Prize in Chemistry recipient Harold Kroto said that “the Governments of Europe and the United States devote large sums of money to nanotechnology to investigate, for example, how to make their planes invisible,” and, “If we could go back to 1910, we could avoid having researched chemistry in the twentieth century and could have avoided napalm or the atomic bomb.”⁸

5 To know more about this term, read the *Unabomber Manifesto: Industrial Society and its Future*.

6 The rest of the scientists also develop this kind of dominating technology to achieve a high social status by means of national and international, private or public, prestige; however, the altruistic idea that the scientists develop nanotechnology and whatever kind of technology to help others, remains completely ruled out.

7 Data from *El Economista*, February 28th, 2011.

8 Conference in the Public University of Navarra, Spain. March 9th, 2011.

Here, Harold knows and clearly states that an environmental or human catastrophe will be presenting itself, as happened in the 1900's after having researched chemistry.

And who knows what failures nanometric technology will have when it covers every corner of this artificialized life?

Some scientists have already realized the catastrophic consequences that could result from the aberrant fusion of nanotechnology, artificial intelligence, molecular electronics and robotics.

The ever-increasing acceleration of Technology will lead to the creation of nanocyborgs that can self-replicate automatically without human intervention; this is obviously a worrying fact for these scientists who for years have given their entire life to the creation of human self-destruction.

One such scientist is the American Eric Drexler, one of the best molecular engineers in his country and promoter of nanotechnology in the international world.

He has mentioned, highly shaken, the possible spread of a gray plague (gray goo in English)⁹ caused by billions of nanoparticles self-replicating themselves voluntarily and uncontrollably throughout the world, destroying the biosphere and completely eliminating all animal, plant, and human life on this planet. The conclusion of technological advancement will be pathetic, Earth and all those on it will have become a large gray mass, where intelligent nanomachines reign.

This realistic scenario was not invented by we who are opposed to technological progress, surprisingly, it has been raised by one of the best scientists in the history of the United States.

Let's read from his own words:

... [Nano] self-assembly based on early replicators (...) may out-compete plants, filling the biosphere with inedible foliage. Omnivorous resistant [nano] "bacteria" could compete with the real bacteria: They could spread

9 A term used in Eric Drexler's book *Engines of Creation: The Coming Era of Nanotechnology*, 1986.

like blowing pollen, replicate swiftly, and reduce the biosphere to dust in a matter of days ...

... [Thus] the first [nano] replicator assembles a copy of itself in a thousand seconds, then both [nano] replicators assemble two more in the next thousand seconds (...) After ten hours, there are not 36 new [nano] replicators but more than 68,000 million. In less than a day, they would weigh a ton; in less than two days, they would exceed the weight of the Earth; in another four hours, would exceed the combined mass of the Sun and all the planets...¹⁰

Another one of the scientists who has realized that he is an engineer of the destruction of Wild Nature (including human) promoting the Technology boom, is the computer scientist Bill Joy. He has said:

...robotics, genetic engineering and nanotechnologies pose a different threat than previous technologies.

Specifically, robots, genetically modified organisms and 'nanorobots' have in common a multiplicative factor: they can reproduce themselves. A bomb explodes only once; a robot, on the other hand, can proliferate and quickly escape all control...

To end swallowed in a gray and viscous mass would be without a doubt a depressing end for our adventure on earth, much worse than simple fire or ice. Also, it could happen after a simple 'oops!' laboratory incident...¹¹

Intelligent readers will ask themselves, How is it that a scientist has realized what he is producing with his knowledge within the Technological-Industrial System to such a degree? What drove him, the cofounder of Sun Microsystems and cocreator of Java and the JINI protocol, to thoroughly analyze these kinds of questions?

The answer he himself has written:

Theodore Kaczynski, alias Unabomber: In seventeen years of his terrorist campaign, his bombs killed three

10 Passages from the book by Eric Drexler *Engines of Creation: The Coming Era of Nanotechnology*, 1986.

11 Excerpts from the text by Bill Joy "Why the future doesn't need us."

people and injured many others. One of the seriously injured was my friend David Gelenter, one of the brightest computer researchers of our time, a true visionary. Moreover, like many of my colleagues, I felt I could be the next victim.

Kaczynski's actions are criminal and, in my eyes, the mark of a murderous madness. We are clearly in the presence of a "Luddite."¹² However, this simple observation does not invalidate his argument. I find it hard, but I must admit, his reasoning is worthy of attention.

Whatever else may be said, Kaczynski, Unabomber, Freedom Club (or whatever you want to call it) has Reason.

To continue, what Bill proposed to avoid (according to him) the planetary destruction and the extinction of human and animal species by techno-advance is "...to renounce them, restricting research in the technological domains that are too dangerous, putting limits on our research of certain knowledge." But what is not analyzed is that Technology never stops, always tending toward Domination on greater and smaller scales.

Perhaps there are some scientists who believe that continuation in the study of nanotechnology would be an immoral error, and therefore leave their work and academic positions, but there will be others continuing as couriers of civilized progress who do not stop for or at anything.

Nanotechnology focuses on and situates itself in strategic areas for the continuation of Domination, which is why universities create and design nanomaterials and investigate nanosystems (nanobiotechnology). But all this not only has a medicinal goal or one of genomic modification, but one of its strong motives is to use this

¹² Here, Bill has not understood very deeply who Ted is in reality. The term Luddite was given to those British artisans who when the Industrial Revolution happened had to leave their jobs because of the rise of modern machines; they, as a response to this situation, began to sabotage the machines, but they did not do so because they wanted to destroy the nascent technological progress or because they had a radical critique of where the system would be carrying us with the machines, but as a simple psychological repercussion of seeing their jobs lost. So, analyzing this, we cannot categorize Kaczynski as a simple Luddite or neo-Luddite because he was and remains more than that.

type of nanoknowledge initially in the field of war. Therefore, millions of sums of money are invested for another step further to be taken in the nanomilitary field.

The creation of nanorobots or nanocyborgs is the order of the day. Not only in order to destroy their enemies—programming so when they are inside the body of a human (or nonhuman) opponent they are programmed and they self-destruct within the brain (or any other organ)—but also to prevent attacks with biological, explosive, chemical, nuclear and radioactive weapons, and also so that military equipment would be much lighter, and of course for other reasons as well.

Many scientists are still working by trial and error, just morbidly awaiting the effects that millions of nanoparticles ingested aerobically could have for humanity, and also on the environment in which we intend to develop. Genes and particles do not work in isolation but depend on and interact within an extremely complex system that is the result of millions of years of evolution. To alter it and change it at the whim of Technology alone would bring new problems and the self-perpetuation of the system.

Companies like the Mexican state in the hand of foreign investment are what are propelling the domestication of Wild Human Nature and pushing forward the destruction of Wild Nature as such, submissively obeying the sick idea of the progress of Civilization.

The government institutions CONACYT (National Council of Science and Technology) and SNI (National System of Researchers) are now the two most important federal institutions with regard to the evolution of Technology in Mexico; their officials have been conducting lines of research within the university classrooms for a long time and pushing it all toward the techno-industrial non-life that they are imposing on us.

One of the major universities that has staked everything on the development of nanotechnology (and other technologies) in this country is the Technological Institute of Higher Studies of Monterrey, colloquially known as Monterrey Tec.

Within its teaching staff is an incredible range of sick scientists who contribute to this breakthrough that Technology wants to achieve; for example we have one Laura Palomares, an engineer in Biochemistry for this private university campus, she was honored in 2009 with an award from the Mexican Academy of Sciences for the development of nanomaterials based on virus proteins and metallic particles,¹³ meaning that using bionanotechnology, Palomares created artificial viruses that can fight diseases such as bovine rotavirus.

It has been said that this nanovaccine is one hundred percent safe, but how many drugs have they said the same thing about and later it is proven that more sicknesses are created by reactions of these substances?

A vaccine injected into the human body that can instantly heal a broken bone for example (of course, this by means of modified particles), sounds very nice, but what will linger after they generate certain reactions in the organism (or perhaps the environment) for these new artificial viruses whose whole complex nanoscale structure can hardly be comprehended?

We make a parentheses here: many may say that Technology has helped medicine be more effective, and they call us inhumane for saying that we firmly oppose a vaccine that cures diabetes (for example), but this is falling in one of the many pitfalls of the system.

The Techno-industrial System has always led one to believe that they invent this kind of cure for mankind to live better by being effective and quick in the field of health, but what many do not realize is that the system does this so that people are much more dependent on it, for everyone to be healthy¹⁴ and continue greasing the screws of the Mega-machine, to continue working, producing and consuming, in short, for the System of Domination to stay on its feet.

13 She contributed also to the creation of a supposed cure for influenza, according to *The Journal of Science*.

14 ITS considers that health within Civilization is a far-out concept; there cannot be supposed health when the environment is sick.

And this is how the system's neatest trick¹⁵ is solidified, blinding (even further) the ones who get upset at those of us who radically reject Technology.¹⁶

The use of modified viruses is not new in nanotechnology, scientists at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) together with others at Harvard have created cells that provide solar energy based on of the photosynthesis process of plants. Remember that for this process to be accomplished, several factors are needed such as the use of water, carbon dioxide and sunlight. With this, scientists have managed through nanotechnology to separate of oxygen from water to produce hydrogen, with this to be stored for later use to produce energy, modifying the genes of these cells by means of a virus so that they absorb it and generate the production of solar cells.

This is the dream of total-technology, but it is the Reality at the end of the day.

But what's wrong with creating solar energy through modified nanoparticles? some will say. ITS answer: When these modified viruses affect the way we develop as the result of a nanobacteriologic war, by some laboratory error, or by the explosion of nanocontamination that compromises the air, food, transportation, water, in short, the entire world, then all those who defend nanotechnology and cannot find an apparent threat will realize that it was a grave mistake to leave it to grow at its leisure.

Like this conscienceless researcher (Laura Palomares) there are also others within Monterrey Tec.

We will mention some more:

- Dr. Serguei Kanaoun of SNI with his project of composite material mechanics (nanotubes).
- Dr. Alex Elías Zúñiga with his project of nanomaterials for medical devices.

15 To delve into this topic, read "The System's Neatest Trick" by Ted Kaczynski.

16 Perhaps it sounds counterproductive to speak out against technology while using a machine to write these kind of criticisms and claims, but ITS has seen it necessary to encourage all those individuals and/or groups to continue to go to war against the system and not stay in critical-literary ambit. That said, we do not in any way justify Technology.

- Dr. Marcelo Fernando Videa Vargas with his chair in synthesis of nanostructured materials.
- Dr. Joaquín Esteban Oseguera Peña with his thermochemical treatments assisted by plasma, etc, etc.

The degrees that this private and nationally prestigious university imparts and that are undoubtedly directly complicit in the destruction, manipulation and domestication of the Earth, are the following:

- Biotechnology-nanotechnology engineering.
- Mechatronics engineering.
- Industrial physics engineering.
- Electrical mechanical engineering.
- Digital systems and robotics engineering.
- Electronic technology engineering.
- Masters in Computer Science.
- Engineering in information and communications technologies.

Among the projects at the mentioned university campus are the Center for Business Development and Transference of Technology, CEDETEC, which is part of a futuristic philosophy called Mission 2015, which is committed to developing research and technology relevant to nanobioindustrial progress for the country in different areas. In order to accomplish this, the university authorities have created the Congress of Research and Development, which offers work for the TEC students and professors in areas prioritized for this technological invasion, such as Biotechnology and Food, Mechatronics, Nanotechnology, Information and Communications Technology, Sustainable Development, Entrepreneurship, Social Development and Education, among others.

CEDETEC is a place where the efforts of companies, the State, and the university merge, and which aims to promote job creation, attraction of capital, and growth of technology companies and to raise the academy's value.

Monterrey Tec belongs to another project, a promoter of nightmare technology called Cluster. Cluster, which is located in Nuevo León, aims to develop human capital, financing and implementation of new business projects involving nanotechnology applications.¹⁷

Among its partners are Cemex (Cementos Mexicanos), the Autonomous University of Nuevo León, Sigma (a leading company in the production and distribution of animal products), CIQA (a major company specializing in the development of new materials), Viakable (strategic company serving major markets at the international level), VAGO Industries (company using carbon nanotubes made by Tec), Arizona State University (with its Arizona Institute for Nano Electrics), Nemak (global company in production of aluminum-technological components for the automotive industry), I2T2 (Institute for Innovation and Technological Transference), Whirlpool, CIMAV (Conacyt Center for Research of Advanced Materials) and many others.

All of these institutions, universities and annexes are still within a much more massive project. We are speaking of PIIT (Technological Research and Innovation Park) located in Apodaca, where a major part of the industrial zone of Monterrey is concentrated. According to their data, PIIT facilities cover 70 hectares, where the projects of 11 research centers in seven universities converge: the Autonomous University of Nuevo León, Technological Institute of Higher Studies in Monterrey, the Autonomous National University of Mexico, University of Arizona, University of Monterrey, Texas A&M and the University of Texas. At the Park converge centers of research, development and technology of private companies such as Motorola, Pepsico, Sigma Foods, Viakable, Qualita, Prolec-GE, Cydsa, Metalsa, Furniture Manufacturers Association, Association of Plastic and MTY IT ClusterLania.¹⁸

As can be read above, Monterrey Tec is not only focused on the area of nanotechnology, but also has its sights on information technology. That entire world behind the computer that monstrous global corporations are creating is obviously another one of the gears of the System of Domination.

17 Information taken from the Cluster vision and mission.

18 Information from clients and contributors to PIIT

Every day we realize that human beings are moving away more dangerously from their natural instincts, that they are immersed in a false reality constructed by social networks and the obsessive idea of online updating in virtual spaces. We live in the digital age, the system is always in constant dynamism and not only have everyone alienated themselves through television or the vices that civilized life contracts, but also, a giant computer network has been made for the daily superproduction of more automatons who serve it blindly to maintain the prevailing order.

The American neuroscientist Gary Small¹⁹ has said that excessive internet use causes damage to brain functioning, in addition to altering neuronal stimuli that causes people to reduce their ability to strike up a conversation face-to-face. This means that information technology in large quantities is isolating the individual and he or she is becoming a humanoid who prefers to entire spend hours or days at a computer rather than live with his or her small circle of lovers and/or friends. In addition this, the daily and/or excessive use of computers and internet diminishes our natural capacity to capture details in a direct exchange of words with others; for small or developing children, the consequences could be highly dangerous if this way of life of addiction to the computer continues, they could develop attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in an extreme form. The lifestyle that certain individuals develop in within techno-industrial society does not help at all, but rather pushes them to live in a state of crisis, change and necessary integration into the technological medium, this medium being social networks. While more “friends” or visits taken into this Big Brother trap make them feel totally realized, they want to acquire more new contacts and continue contributing to consumerism, and thus, the destruction of Wild Nature (including that of humans).

But Gary Small has not mentioned the consequences of the use of computers in order to alert people, he has not said this to disapprove of Technology, he has said it so that such problems are resolved in order to achieve science fiction. Gary and other scientists are right

19 Gary Small, author of the book *iBrain*, is one of the most important neurobiologists in the United States. He is also the director of the Center for Research of Memory and Aging at the Semel Institute of Neuroscience and Human Behavior at the University of California – Los Angeles (UCLA).

now stimulating and monitoring neural circuits by means of lasers so that in the future many brain functions can be manipulated by means of a remote control. As if this were not enough, they are designing, even now, small implants in the head of a human being that they will be connecting to computers so that the machines understand better than medicine the complexity of the brain²⁰.

Continuing the theme of information technology, the famous social networks—especially Facebook—have become the center of attention of techno-industrial society, for in this the system sees an important ally for the total control of human behavior, which is itself an extremely threatening factor to the established order within Civilization. One of the three leaders of Facebook is Peter Thiel, an American businessman who has proposed the total elimination of the real or natural world and the imposition of the digital world—he has actually said this. Analyzing this, we can see that Facebook is not just a harmless communication network, but a social experiment in mind control which the Technological Industrial System is very effectively using to eliminate Naturalness from human contact, that is, to greatly develop the total alienation of individuals to Technology. But this perverted businessman has not kept still; in addition to being one of the main contributors to that mind-control tool, he has invested millions in profits into artificial intelligence research and new technologies to extend the life of man through science. In this he has the Singularity Institute for Artificial Intelligence as an ally, and the English biomedical gerontologist Aubrey de Grey, who is specifically in charge of developing the indefinite lengthening of the lifetime of a human being by means of a highly-advanced technology, and like this the man made machine has been created!

The tremendous popularity of Thiel's virtual world is made possible because people get carried away by their peers, like sheep following the herd without thinking about why. They are being led blindly by the attractive world of technological progress and its small but important ramifications for exacerbated, useless, and unreal entertainment. The characteristics that distinguish these people addicted to using the internet to interact "socially" are their highly marked feelings of inferiority, as the insecurity they show about living with

20 Interview with Gary Small: "Does the Internet speed up the brain?"

others is visible, but having a person “connected” behind a computer makes them feel able to tell them things they did not dare to during a conversation. This is how Technology is, little by little, finishing with the social interaction that is a purely natural impulse—we are not talking here about building relationships of friendship indiscriminately with all people (ITS rejects hypocritical buddy-ism and oversocialization) but within small groups of loved ones or affinities—Technology is separating that natural interconnection, reducing it to emails and digital comments.

Seeing this, we would believe that we are reading a science fiction novel, but it is not so. This is what is happening in reality and to not confront it makes us cowards, softies and accomplices of the system. There are more and more inventions that are created for the human being to be converted literally into a machine, an example of this are the microchips embedded under the skin that have been used in First World countries, the consequences of which are already beginning to show. The scientist Mark Gasson, member of the School of Systems Engineering, University of Reading in England, has been the first case, which was only in 2010, of the failure of these microchips that he had embedded in his body.²¹ So we can read that Gasson is the first human infected with a computer virus, surprisingly we are not speaking of a machine is infected by a virus, but of a human being sick with a computer virus! and nevertheless, this idiot scientist feels flattered. Another one of his own already said it: human stupidity has no limits.²²

The push that this kind of person giving to Technology is alarming, they are testing on themselves their techniques of control and manipulation and then, seeing their faults, improving and adapting them to the majority of the population, who will surely, however, look favorably upon such abjections.

As you can read in this criticism of nanotechnology, information technology, their effects and consequences, there are many truly strong reasons that we have for having carried out the attack on the Monterrey Tec – Mexico State Campus on Monday morning,

21 Jordan Hall, May 26th, 2010.

22 Einstein said: Only two things are infinite: the universe and human stupidity. And I am not sure so sure about the first...

August 8th of this year. *Individualidades Tendiendo a lo Salvaje* (ITS) has left a package of fake mail within the campus, which contained an explosive device filled with dynamite, ammonium sulfate (which acted as a poison), a galvanized nipple eight and three quarters inches long, red wires, a small bulb and a battery.

The device was intended for the coordinator of the aforementioned CEDETEC, Dr. Armando Herrera Corral, but it seems that this attack has affected two tecnonerds of one stone, namely the Director of the Doctorate of Engineering Sciences, and a specialist in the construction of robots, Alejandro Aceves López, was also injured by the explosion of our parcel bomb which also caused material damages in one of the buildings inside the Tec. Indeed as mentioned by the press,²³ within the small cardboard box (containing the explosive) we left a message that will have been fragmented by the explosion, this message containing a threat signed by ITS. It is pointless for experts to reconstruct it since they already know what it contains and we are saying it by means of this text.

Certainly, an attack of this nature has not happened in previous years within the premises of this university, but this does not mean that the act is isolated. We have already struck at another university in the past and now at this one, which caused a great public commotion since the wounded are “respectable teachers” (for society), experts in their fields (in addition to that we carried out the attack on the very day when students go on vacation and the authorities inaugurated the Innovation and Technological Transference Park of Monterrey Tec, León Campus, Guanajuato), while the first attack left one “insignificant” (for society) UPVM guard wounded,²⁴ so there was no such reaction.

As we have already said before, ITS acts without compassion and without mercy, accepting our responsibility in each act that we turn into explosions against those immediately and intellectually responsible for the devastation of the Earth. It is worth noting that ITS is not a group of saboteurs (we do not share the strategy of sabotage

23 “10 facts on the explosion at Monterrey Tec.” *El Universal EdoMex*, August 8th of this year.

24 “Device explodes in university of Tultitlán; one seriously wounded.” *Milenio*, April 20th of this year.

or damage or destruction of property). Until we are satisfied, we have taken the firm decision to strike at those directly responsible for pushing the natural environment into artificial life, not at the institutions but at the actual individuals.

The condemnations have not done the expected,²⁵ they call us terrorists, those useless members of industrial society, who know that we take this term as a compliment; we repeat, we are not some simple saboteurs placing bombs, we are more than that and if they categorize us as terrorists, they are right, because our goal is to mutilate and even kill these scientists, researchers, professors and other scum who are reducing the Earth to mere urbanized waste. In the work of the investigation they mention the participation of the Department of Defense, the PGR, the Interior Ministry (federal), PGJEM, ASE and other corporations engaged in security; from this communique we tell them: search what you will, you'll once again be a joke!

The leader of the design project of a humanoid robot (Alejandro Aceves López) and one of the two leaders of the Technology Park (Armando Herrera Corral) have tattoos on their bodies (with their wounds) starting from now, the symbols of the anti-industrial group ITS. It is logical, we will continue with these acts, and other scientists and the rest of the technoswill must pay the consequences of their actions, and better for it to be at the hands of some wild terrorists like ourselves.

Nature is good, Civilization is evil...

Individualidades Tendiendo a lo Salvaje

25 "CNDH opens complaint for explosion in the Tec," *El Universal*, August 8th of this year.

Fourth communique

21 September, 2011

Violence is disapproved of by the system because this upsets its normal functioning.

As can be read in the previous communiques of *Individualidades Tendiendo a lo Salvaje* (ITS)¹ it has been explained (although not very concretely, since the theme is too extensive and complex) that technological advance is growing by huge strides; those communiques dealt with its causes and its consequences in the near future or perhaps over the course of many generations, one also saw that progress does not give signs of stopping for anything or anyone but that it rather tends toward more artificialization, more domination and more domestication of all the living organisms and natural happenings in the terrestrial biosphere.

It is worth mentioning that ITS do not expect to destroy the Industrial-Technological System as such (although we would want to, it would be a very utopian vision and outside of reality), but rather to try to destabilize and discredit the advance of the technological nightmare as much as possible, an objective we believe to be achievable due to the conditions which Mexico is experiencing as a semi-industrial country in the process of development. Many ask themselves, “Why attack in a country with these characteristics? Why is it more likely that our objective will be reached due to these local particularities?” In this, ITS are aware that we are being reductionist in a certain aspect, but this is what it is, it’s more that we want to launch a campaign with affinities in the whole world who cut down with violent actions the minds that create and modify nanoscience with their advanced research laboratories, but while this is happening (although we have no certainty that it will) we will continue to directly attack the professionals who are experts in technological subjects.

To attack the Techno-industrial System is a natural instinct of survival (as is living an anti-industrial way of life in small community); as rational beings we understand that this reality that the system has

1 April 14, May 9, and August 9 of this year.

created is contrary to Nature, and its savage defense is what moves us as uncivilized individuals, thus ITS make use of direct confrontation in order to pursue these ends; there is nothing more repugnant and reprehensible to society, the authorities and the system itself than the use of violence.

The system is always the one that calls for dialogue, for the use of words, for fixing problems like “civilized people,” because it fears instability and the possible collapse of its social peace by the excessive use of confrontation on the part of awake individuals.

The human species is conflictual by nature and to reject this intrinsic value is an antagonism with what we really are, or (for modern civilized subjects) what we were.

Of course, ITS do not put violence on an altar, we see it simply as a means.

As we said above, in the past three communiques we have developed a critique of nanotechnology and information technology, of industrial society and have set forth an analysis of the ecological consequences of greater demands for contributions in the field of science and Technology; now we turn to break down the consequences of all this within the human mind, our approach as ITS, and the rejection of some terms that do not seem to identify us, simply in order to clarify our position.

Here it is worth noting that ITS do not publish this type of communique so that the people will “free” themselves or “become aware” of the situation that is affecting the Earth with technological development and will thus “change” their habits or their way of vegetating, certainly not (we would be very stupid if we thought that); we are not, do not want to be, and are not interested in being the “well-intentioned saviors,” we leave this to the leftist vanguards who vaguely think that with a violent action and a public communique they might change the putrefied mentality of civil society. This kind of message is directed solely and exclusively to those individuals or groups in affinity or in the process of ideas, so that they will decide to take the critique of the Industrial Technological System to a higher level, and then, with concrete basis and away from civilized symptoms, from their own means, separately, will try to be a sincere

and important contribution to this qualitative struggle against Civilization and its pseudo-stability. But then if the message is directed to pure affinities, why is it made known in this highly visible way? These texts are a critique in action, within a dynamism against concrete targets. ITS understand that industrial society is part of the system; for that reason we publish this kind of text and vindication in this form, in order to critique also the people complicit in the devastation of Wild Nature.

Having said this, we begin with the analysis:

I

The exponential and large-scale growth of Technology within cultural, political, economic, psychological, social factors, around and within human behavior is reducing the sphere of Freedom to a minimum, which is why the majority of members of techno-industrial society feel frustrated and show various symptoms resulting from the frustration caused by the absence of Autonomy and the overvaluation of alienation in their everyday non-lives.

These symptoms are: depression, boredom, excessive pleasure-seeking (hedonism), sexual deviations, eating and sleeping disorders, anger, defeatism, and feelings of inferiority, among others.

All these symptoms are also caused by the lack of activities that require serious effort (since Technology has made life in most of its aspects more comfortable and easy); that effort to achieve real goals is called the power process.²

The essence of the power process has four parts: setting out of the goal, effort, attainment of the goal, and Autonomy, although most only complete the first three points and only very few reach the fourth.

We take an example to better explain the term. A man who can have everything simply by demanding it will always be highly hedonistic and develop serious psychological problems since he does not

2 In order to know a little more about this term, read *Industrial Society and its Future* by Freedom Club.

have to apply himself for anything, as a result demoralization and boredom arise, so when this man tries to make some effort and does not attain it because it is obviously useless, this brings depressive frustration, defeatism, feelings of inferiority, etc. Here we are not only speaking of a man with a well-off economic stability but of any pusillanimous person who feeds the alienation of the system with their absurd existence.

Faced with this frustration they invent a huge quantity of the aforementioned (in the last communique) surrogate activities that aim at tasks that are artificial and not real in order to cover the emptiness that is generated by non-life within Civilization.

In life, a serious effort is certainly natural and highly necessary to be able to feel good about oneself and not fall into the traps of the System of Domination. Meeting physical and biological needs, such as the search and acquisition of food, the construction of shelter, the care between members of a community of affinities and the learning of survival are all foundational in Wild Human Nature, it is only in cities that such real activities are seen as unnecessary or are just not even considered.

In order to live within Civilization one only needs to make a small effort to cover the necessities that it demands in order to have in one's head that false idea of stability (in whichever of its senses), the sole requirement that one must fulfill for the system is total obedience, which is the only thing that is needed to guard the established order that rules today.

Many are the automatons who say that with their surrogate activities such as science, physical activity, etc, they feel pleasure and they find in these autonomy and freedom while they develop; if they say these kinds of things it is because they have completely lost sense of what is good and what is bad; they are completely alienated and their thoughts are already produced by artificialization and over-socialization.³

3 This term means the individuals within industrial society who are highly attached to the values of the system, who blindly obey the pseudo-morality that has been imposed on them since childhood and who defend it tooth and nail. Or who are oversocialized.

Thus, ITS do not find it strange that the reaction of the submissive Mexican industrial society was, like that of the authorities, so condemnatory when we carried out the attack against those two despicable technophiles of Monterrey Tec. Why? Because we knew that many of these people with visible psychological disorders would read our communique and that we would earn a whole list of words which were not taken seriously when we saw that they lacked a critical, analytic and rational validation. But we will discuss this later on.

Continuing with the theme: The deduction of all this shows us that within Civilization we are exposed to these kinds of symptoms if we are not strong enough to discard them and overcome them, removing ourselves from Technology, rejecting Domination as much as possible and drawing near to the natural and wild environment to which we belong as part of a whole, as one more wild species.

As one Germany philosopher said: "We suffer the sickness of modernism, of that insane peace, of that cowardly transaction of all that virtuous garbage of the modern yes and no."⁴

II

Technology makes it so that at every turn more individuals become dependent on the system, the control to which they are rooted makes them accept the social norms of subsistence, and this results in the disappearance of the individual's identity and the artificial-cultural need for integration within the masses or large social groups.

So, an immense majority of people tie themselves to social movements due to the frustration of not feeling able to achieve Autonomy and/or Freedom by their own means, and they seek in large organizations what they cannot do by their own hands.

Their feelings of inferiority are highly marked, since within collectivist movements they feel strong, but alone they feel vulnerable. They identify with movements of masses for their psychological needs, since they think that they are losers and they believe that alone they cannot achieve anything.

4 *The Antichrist*. Friedrich Nietzsche.

As a consequence of this, persons emerge who feel so empty that they go to the extreme to give their own life for a social cause, a sub-struggle that only causes the physical and mental exhaustion of those people due to striving illusorily, for example, for a new world to live. They are already calling themselves anarchists, communists, feminists, citizenists, environmentalists, vegans and so much similar messianic chatter.⁵

The worst of all this is when these people “radicalize” and start to take arms to defend their supposed struggles that in the eyes of some members of society are “good” (like the struggles for constitutional justice, dignified life, better wages, improved services, etc), the result is expected by all, murders, kidnappings, forced disappearances, dirty war and the same story that we have become accustomed to and that the victims complain about so much, the same who perhaps hoped for flowers after a declaration (or act) of war against the government.⁶

5 To paraphrase what the Incendiary Antagonist Columns (CAI) expressed in their analytic communique claiming the incendiary attack against a Banco Estado in Chile, in June of 2011:

... the logic of “protest” in the historical/Marxist sense of the term and practice, which claims a posture... In which there simply is not room for the individual conscience, nor much less for collective dissent, since this kind of a posture brings out the “true truths” of a person much more intelligent than the common individual of the poor exploited people, such victims and so stupid that they do not realize what passes before their noses. They say that someone who loves you beats you, but to treat the people as naive, unconscious and even “asleep” is to say that love is like sending someone to the psychiatrist. A condition that can be expected of people who illusorily dream of “popular uprisings” and similar messianic yammering... (p 103)

In that communique the CAI critique various topics, including society, Technology, class struggle, populists and the rest, which makes it of vital importance to read for all those who do not want to remain in the buried traditionalist ideology to which the supposedly radical populist and classist circles have gotten used to.

6 We as *Individualidades Tendiendo a lo Salvaje* consider that when some cell or individual (within a strictly radical and anti-industrial aspect of sabotage and/or terrorism) moves to begin an intelligent offensive against the only target which is the Industrial Technological System, they have to keep in mind many things and one of those purely important things is to recognize Reality completely and in its harshness, not to see it as subjective but rather as absolute and objective, to have quite clearly in mind the consequences of the actions and what will happen to them if they fall into the clutches of the despicable wretches who defend the artificial order which we are attacking. Optimism is an enemy to vanquish, if one gives in to

In this way, the majority of people who say they have “radical” positions divert themselves from the true problem (the Industrial Technological System) and base their struggles on reductionist aspects that only make the system perfect itself and become stronger.

Example: One can see with the movements for the rights of African Americans who demanded that they not be discriminated against by their race, these concluded (although not completely) and now one can see people with black skin running businesses, working with the same salary as a white man or woman, black scientists, (etc.) or whatever; they were given the opportunity of not being discriminated so that they could contribute to the development and sustenance of the system and this is what they are doing. Of course this is not a racial commentary, ITS have simply taken it as an example.

The same has happened with indigenous people, women, homosexuals, environmentalists, and the rest. The system has accommodated them after they have led struggles for “humanitarian” improvements, that is to say, they have made the system become more “just” and more acceptable to plain sight.

So, the hypothesis that the system has to adjust to humanity is eliminated since on the contrary, individuals, the people or the society (however one wants to say it) have to mold themselves to the needs of that very system. That is all.

The ideal set up by [Civilization] was something huge, terrible, and glittering—a world of steel and concrete, of monstrous machines and terrifying weapons—a nation of warriors and fanatics, marching forward in perfect unity, all thinking the same thoughts and shouting the same slogans, perpetually working, fighting, triumphing, persecuting—three hundred million people all with the same face.⁷

cont'd: this ingenuous feeling of irrational security they will soon be regretting not having explored all the factors that led to their capture and the direct privation of their free involvement in an optimal environment for achieving their Autonomy. After this, there is no turning back.

Either one attacks or one remains immobile. It is all or nothing, that much is clear.

7 1984. George Orwell.

III

Only one word can categorize all these people and ideological tendencies that portion out and expend their life within struggles for the “unprotected,” the “vulnerable,” the “oppressed,” the “victims,” defending them and demanding “social justice,” “world peace,” “reforms,” and the rest of their bullshit that simply is making them the biggest chain and who, as we have said over and over again, *only help the system improve itself*. These individuals are called leftists.

The pseudo-philosophy of the leftists is what we have already mentioned above, the feelings of inferiority, collectivism and surrogate activities with artificial ends.

But in addition to this, the leftists take on a role of “protectors” and “saviors” of the rest (generally of supposed victims of the system, workers, women, homosexuals, in general of the “exploited people” or going further, throwing themselves in defense of the rights of the animals and demanding clauses within the constitution for the care of the environment).

If one analyzes all that and goes to the source, we can consider that not only are the victimist organizations or some concrete individual leftists, but the whole industrial society is leftist.

The modern society in which we live indicates to us that we should be “friendly,” “passive,” “highly sociable,” “solidarious,” “egalitarian,” “reformist,” etc, all that because the system’s values are very deeply rooted in this society. Values which it reproduces in the mass communication media, in marketing, schooling, governmental support programs and the rest, which in transmitting these kinds of twisted ideas automatically becomes leftism.

One of the factors that identify leftism or leftists is that they always tend to want to have power, such as the communists who still want the “dictatorship of the proletariat” to have the power that it signified in their golden age with the socialist bloc in Europe and Asia, the feminists who want women to have power in various aspects of life, or the environmentalists who want the power to have control over the laws in order to not damage nature or animals.

All these (and more) ideological aspects have as a common denominator the appropriation of Technology for collectivization, we are not surprised by the commentaries that these groupuscules of persons with serious psychological disequilibrium have made when they heard of the threat against technological entities that we carried out in August.

According to them, Technology is “good when seen from a different point of view;” here is something that has been called relativism, that philosophical posture that proclaims that nothing is good nor bad when seen from some “different” point of reference, or that Reality does not exist or that there are many realities, a completely invalid and irrational argument, since when one says this one does not have the certainty to defend anything, because after all everything is relative (according to the leftists).⁸

Not to depart from the theme. The rejection of Technology is contrary to the values of the leftists, since they need it for the collective power that they want to achieve; they say that if all the people control the industries and Technology in the space of some time that they are in power, everything would be different—something truly erroneous, it would only be like changing the dog’s leash, the climatological consequences and the environmental impact of large-scale production will keep damaging the Earth and therefore Domination would keep existing. In reality nothing would change. What these people want to do when they have power is to reform the system so that they complete their psychological necessities of well-being and progress, or perhaps so that they satiate their surrogate activities impregnated with urges of power and exacerbated totalitarianism even though they deny it.

In this sense, the modern human with leftist tendencies is different also for his high level of rejection of individualism, for pseudo-moral reasons he is always on the defensive against this term, considering it improper and alien to his over-socialized mentality.

8 Relativism also situates itself in the negation of the absolute truth; ITS observes Wild Nature and Individual Autonomy as an absolute and objective truth, this can often be confused with a dogma, but just because there is a sole truth does not mean that it could not be critiqued; on this point the unique truth distinguishes itself from dogmatism.

They think that they are in this world in order to serve others, which is something extremely abnormal; no individual should think that their only purpose for being alive is to serve society, that others are over him or her. The individual is an end (in that regard) in itself and not a means for the rest.

Many of these people confound individualism with the anti-social; the human being is sociable by nature, but with this, one does not mean being collectivist in all aspects of one's stay on Earth; the social becomes something abnormal when the sense of affection and real solidarity is perverted beyond the small limited group of close friends. For this reason one can say that collectivism is a sentiment created by the artificiality that leftism has hooked people on in order to attract more automatons to its gigantic social circles.

IV

Leftists, taking their altruism incarnated by the values of the Techno-industrial System, only make visible their alienation and the perversion of their natural instincts through it.

One of those mutated instincts is promiscuous solidarity. This is very far from reality, since we can observe that when a small group of people live together daily or have a truly close bonds, solidarity is present, as is defense (of itself), appreciation and support, since the members of said group know each other well and share a vision that is related (in whatever aspect), it is there where true instinctive and natural solidarity develops, far away from the compromise with the force, sentimentalism and hypocrisy of leftist society.

This is real solidarity—what individuals share within a natural and immediate group of intimates, and which is not modified with victimist ideologies and practices with unknown persons due to psycho-cultural philosophies.

Likewise, ITS has not misspoken in past communiques in sending out a direct support with affinities (incarcerated or not) in some countries (including Mexico) such as Italy, Chile, Switzerland, Argentina, Russia, Spain and the United States. Although there are also some differences (which we will discuss on some other

occasion) between the discourse of the individuals incarcerated for wanting to attack a center of nanotechnology development belonging to IBM in Switzerland or with the individuals who burn machinery in the forests of Moscow (to offer some examples) we always share that vision which is in affinity (or the process thereof), beyond the words that drove them to attack the System and the Techno-industrial society.

Identification and compassion with unknown persons has its closest historical roots in philanthropy, the love of the neighbor that the first Christian sects reinforced and leftism perpetuates now in the era of technological modernity. This demonstrates that promiscuous solidarity is completely contrary to the natural development of the human being and that to defend and be within our natural circle of loved ones is the only thing that should matter to us, but due to the variations that human behavior has had within Civilization, that has deeply changed in many people's minds.

"Self-sacrifice is the precept that man needs to serve others, in order to justify his existence."⁹

Here surely the not-very-intelligent readers will label ITS as a group of "misanthropic egoists," which we do not share, individualism should not be confused with egocentrism nor the rejection of industrial society with misanthropy.¹⁰

As one can see, promiscuous solidarity enters into irrationality, unnaturalness and the defense of strangers with whom one shares a supposed psycho-emotional bond just for being a person who is in a condition of suffering or pain far from our own.

9 *The Fountainhead*. Ayn Rand.

10 Here we make a self-criticism, since in the first two communiqués transmitted from ITS a certain tendency toward misanthropy was denoted, which we have abandoned. It is illogical to claim hatred toward humanity, being that we are part of this species, to secure ourselves for the preservation of the species including the human species is completely natural, leaving aside of course the masses and the promiscuous support of them.

Certainly we reject the industrial society that is made up of humans, but this rejection is consolidated when this society becomes a society of masses, overpopulation impedes the full development of the individual toward Freedom and Autonomy.

V

In the same way, within this society of alienated masses, suffering and pain are seen as something “bad” and people try to avoid them by all means, always putting aside everything that is natural and that, although it may be uncomfortable or undesirable, we can learn from.

Pain itself is not a “bad” thing, rather it is quite necessary to be able to survive and to not lose the wild instincts and impulses that still remain with us. Giving oneself completely to hedonism is what the system wants us to do in order to be able to thus keep contributing to the multiplication of its values.

What’s the point of life without pain? What’s the point in everything we want being quick and easy to achieve without making any serious effort to satisfy it? It makes no sense to live like that, that would already not be life, it would just be milling around and vegetating.

When we take on the theme of pain and suffering here we are not justifying sadism or extreme sensibility, which are more of the mental deviations of civilized life.

Science is what contributes to this dream of progress being made real, stimulating cerebral neurons to inhibit pain and to become only some simple humanoids incapable of feeling something like pain, a consequence of being alive.

The same goes for death—there is a special fear of the end of life in this cowardly and lowly society. One does not think that death is a natural process which everyone has to go through some day. The technophiles, businessmen and the rest now spend huge sums of money in the quest for means of scientific and technological development for the life of a human being to be indefinitely prolonged; we have already declared before that although it appears to be science fiction this is what is taking place in the real world, not in the world that all the simplistic critics see differently because of their relativist and weak complexes of not wanting to observe and be attentive to what the system is robbing us of as individuals and as members of a species.

The uncivilized human when he or she develops in a wild state is aware that their life can end in one moment or another, since life in Wild Nature is violent and hard, thus the life expectancy in some wild tribes was of very few years, but the point here is not the quantity of years lived, one can live more than a hundred years and have done absolutely nothing to achieve the desired Autonomy, and on the other hand one can live few years in Freedom and that is already a great gain.

Death, great effort, suffering and pain are not “bad” things in themselves, but rather they are intrinsic in the life of each one of those who inhabit this planet. What is bad and is worth mentioning is Domination and the loss of Autonomy and human dignity.

VI

Nature is the good, Civilization is the bad. This is how we ended the last communique, and one could immediately appreciate that these words hit hard in the minds and analysis of social commentators, researchers, police and even some university intellectualoid who deployed an inexact critique that was pseudo-philosophical, supposedly historical and going into the terrain of physics, clothed in technicalities not very frequented in the poor common Mexican lexicon in the face of our communique of a little more than five thousand four hundred words.¹¹

The members of ITS have a morality which allows us to recognize what is good and what is bad, with regard to this reasoning we could

11 This section VI like the two following (VII and VIII) is intended to be a response to the only document that has come to us which merits the effort to contest due to the inconsistencies, which presents the “licking” (not the deepening) of information pertaining to the anti-industrial idea and tries to wear a mask of clear and rational analysis, but is in reality pervaded with an imprecise judgment and a crooked interpretation.

The text is titled “Neoluddism, Anarcho-primitivism and the Eco-terrorism of ITS” (which is recommended to read in order to be able to understand the context in which the critique develops) and was written by a graduate of the Division of Sciences an Engineering of the University of Guanajuato in Leon, named Carlos Vaquera, we cannot expect more from a defender of his field (engineering, i.e. Technology) who by having a doctorate believes he has the absolute truth between his fingers.

end the last text with that phrase. We are not an amoral group, since that term represents the weak minds that are not capable of separating the good from the bad.

Obviously we say that the Nature is the good since for millions of years we developed and evolved together with it, it's just that there was a deviation of habits, values, customs and behaviors aligned to Domination—i.e., the bad—that became Civilization and everything it brings with it.

Someone who defends Civilization, Technology, the values of the system, science, civilized Culture, Progress (and other topics not very different to the point of debate) is a person who is highly alienated by a cognitive bias (a distortion that affects one's way of seeing Reality – psychology), who has suffered a brainwashing so serious that they do not realize that they pathetically defend their own destruction with semi-reasoned positions.

For millions of years Nature was an absolute principle, a unique thing, absolutely everything was ruled by natural laws, but in the course of the centuries, when the first signs of agriculture began to appear, a counterpart was born—Domination; this counterpart grew until getting to development and modernity, which gave way to Civilization and with this, to all the resultant complexes cited here or not.

Now, summarizing it in a rapid and simplistic conclusion, one could say that with this one is speaking of a duality, of two inherently antagonistic principles: Nature and Civilization.

But, going deeper, we see that within the duality exist many branches off of this doctrine, one of these which has had great notoriety is the theological, which would be the good and the evil, god and demon. Its other important facet is metaphysical duality, the soul and the body, reason and faith, the spirit and the material.

One cannot position the Nature-Civilization dichotomy within these two facets, because Nature and Civilization both have an existent place in Reality. For example, we are certain that the spirit does not exist but that the material does, thus we cannot conclude that Nature-Civilization are concepts that have credibility in time and

space. The metaphysical and the theological lack argumentation, and are other mental positions deviant from what things truly are (cognitive predispositions); we as individuals are physical entities, with physical necessities and purposes, within an irrefutably physical world, the metaphysical as we said is only a mental reproduction resulting from the sick psycho-cultural schemes that the system has imposed on us.

The best duality would center itself in morality (not in religion or in the supernatural), what is good and what is bad. ITS's explanations do not have anything of magic, fantasy or mysticism, because Wild Nature and Technological Dominating Civilization are two aspects with great prominence today, although they daily enclose Nature, reducing it to nothing and to uncertainty.

For ITS, Nature is not a goddess, it is not our mother, nor anything like this, Nature is what it is, it is an objective and pointed absolute; to qualify it, adore it or idealize it would be to fall into irrational sacredness, which we are completely against.

VII

It has been said that the catastrophic visions that we have dealt with in previous communiques are symptoms of our paranoid, unreal and hyperbolic vision of the actual world. As always, the pseudo-skeptics go out in defense of nervous breakdowns, pacifying the situation; the boat is sinking and they peacefully fill the boat with soft words with lazy critiques.

They take the threat of nanotechnology lightly, as did their European counterparts some decades ago who said that nothing would go wrong with nuclear energy, that the critiques and the warnings of ecologists were highly exaggerated, that they were crazy and the expansion of that Technology would not bring major problems. What was the reality? Nuclear accidents since 1957 to the beginning of this year, in Russia, England, the United States, Ukraine, Brazil, Spain, Japan and others that have surely been hidden; wide forested regions with great variety of flora and fauna severely devastated, made infertile, and mutated; genetic deformations, new

incurable cancers; here is the nuclear holocaust, the historic catastrophe caused by the sick idea of the progress of Civilization, science, and Technology. If nuclear energy brought us to this, where will nanotechnology bring us in the future?

They underestimate economic power, the power of co-efficiency and that of the bad intentions of the transhumanists¹² when they say that what these despicable beings propose to do with human nature and with Wild Nature will not happen.

The “so it goes” ideology makes itself highly apparent in the empty critiques of those who separate us into technophobes who arm joy and technophiles who dream of utopias.

For decades, scientists dreamed about the nanoscale experimentation, modification and manipulation of genes and particles for whatever particular purpose; now with nanotechnology¹³ they have fulfilled that dream. Just like those who dreamed that one day

12 Intellectuals, theorists, scientists and philosophers who dedicate themselves to increasing and “improving” human capacities by means of science and Technology. One of these futurists’ objectives is to eliminate from Wild Human Nature sickness, old-age, pain and other intrinsic factors in our species, in order to give way to a “better man.”

13 A great many of the pathetic members of the techno-industrial society had not even heard of nanotechnology before we perforated the bodies of the technophiles of Monterrey Tec in August; even so, they were so ignorant and impotent as to criticize only what they could repudiate at plain sight—our use of violence.

The ETC Group (Group of Action on Erosion, Technology and Concentration), has for years been carrying out investigations that go against nanotechnological development, one of these they published in May of this year which was entitled “What’s going on with nanotechnology? Regulation and geopolitics.”

The reading of that text is recommended, but it’s worth mentioning that ITS are not in agreement with the pussy-footed postulates of this “Anti-nanotechnology Greenpeace,” since their critique is based on purely anthropocentric, legalist and immobilist aspects.

The information is good, the greatest defect is that this group is formed by leftists who oppose the development of nanomaterials in order to “save” their society; we say again, they want to keep everything “in its place” so that the system can be stronger, they take up the flag against climate change so that the system can adjust and advance. Its true name should be the Group of Eco-traitors Tending toward the Civilized (ETC), since they are undoubtedly accomplices of the System of Domination who come with deceptive discourses, who, when all is said *cont’d*: and done, are shown to only to “fight” within legality in order to create stinking reforms.

their computer the size of a house would be reduced in scale and would fit in a pocket and that moreover it would have hundreds of applications, as we see, that is already fulfilled, the dream was made reality. It would not strike us as strange that in a distant future we would be threatened and affected by explosions of nano-contamination, or that by means of science they would prolong the lifespan of a human being who ceases to be one when they implant chips in their body or in their cerebral cortex... oh wait! That is already happening.

An endless number of inventions that have developed as long as prefabricated machines have existed and that now count on modalities never seen before, of course consumed in their immensity by industrial society. But what is bad about the invention of the telephone, for example, and why do ITS oppose any development of Technology? The telephone in itself brings many advantages and (apparently) almost no problems, but one must not only see the invention and development of the telephone, but also each one of the modern inventions which all together have woven a false reality (which many find it difficult to realize) in which we are immersed, trapped and in which there are appearing serious psychological problems from not developing in a natural way (see section I).

VIII

To a certain extent, technologists are a latent danger and they must resign or disappear, if necessary in a violent way; some people with ideas that are seriously reductionist and far from the root of the problem say that the true problem in Mexico is the narcotraffickers, those bloodthirsty paid persons who only care about the *"vida loca"* (drugs, money, women) and the "live fast, die young," they are the direct product of the supposed war (as well as the economic instability and other factors) that supposedly the federal government fights and no one else—are they a danger for individual freedom? No, they are only a secondary problem with which we do not occupy ourselves, we are not interested in the least in the casualties that one cartel can cause to another, to the army and the navy or to some "defenseless" civilian who walked through the street, so

many deaths are also the product of overpopulation, and overpopulation impedes the free development of the individual, in addition to which it is completely abnormal that so many millions of people intend to accommodate themselves in geographical regions large or not. When that population growth reaches considerably high levels and they establish themselves in a place (sedentarism), everything tends toward development, the expansion of Civilization and as a result the destruction of Nature, that is what impedes the Freedom of the individual. As one will see, the central problem is the Industrial and Technological System, it is not the politicians, the police, the narcos, the judges and other subjects that, when all is said and done, are all the same. Anyone who says that these are the true enemies is practicing reductionism and does not see farther than what they are allowed to see by their own civilized values; furthermore, they are falling into the system's trap, that of wanting to "rebel" against these secondary problems and not against what is truly damaging the physical and psychological environment in which we intend to develop.

Science, technology, genetic modification, transgenics, global consortia, economics, progress, law, surveillance apparatuses, artificial intelligence, capitalism, globalization, repressive apparatuses, states, dictatorships, armies, nuclear centers, industries, consumerism, businesses, demand, finances, and everything, absolutely everything, depends on the Techno-industrial System and for that reason one should be attacking at the root and not losing time trying to cut the leaves.

On agreement over the methods to attack the system: Is the attempt against the life of a scientist, professor, or researcher an instrument of domination against freedom? Some unbalanced persons energetically affirm this, even brand us (and they did indeed do so) as fascists or something similar. Their unadvanced reasoning proposes that since the scientists who we attack dedicate their lives to the well-being of humanity,¹⁴ to attack them would be to intend to dominate and restrict the supposed collective freedom. We regret to inform them that the supposed collective freedom they speak of is

¹⁴ We have already explained before the true reasons that scientists have for developing in their field in the third ITS communique on August 9 of this year.

nonexistent, there cannot be collective freedom within the society of masses, the true Freedom is only and exclusively within the Individual and not within the repulsive techno-industrial society. This is confirmed in the human anatomy:

We can divide food between many men. We cannot digest it in a collective stomach. No man can use his lungs to breathe for another man. No man can use his brain to think for another. All the functions of the body are private, they cannot be transferred.¹⁵

The same goes for Freedom, it is always individual, one reaches it personally and it can only be shared with the small group of reference.

When one thinks that freedom is found in the masses or in the totality of people, one falls into leftism, into the impotence of not believing it possible to achieve Freedom and Autonomy for oneself, but believing that it must be reached by or that it must be in everyone.

Furthermore, with this affirmation that ITS intend to dominate the supposed collective freedom with attempts on scientists' lives, what kind of freedom is being spoken about? Surely they speak of the false idea of being free by means of technological development, by means of nano-vaccines or nano-materials that would make life more comfortable or "secure." If one thinks this, then one's conceptualization of Freedom is mediocre, invalid, perverted and sinister.

With the acts that we carry out, ITS do not want to improve Civilization, we do not want to live on a happy planet all taking each other by the hands like a disgusting hippie commune, we do not see a utopia or a paradise, we see Reality, we have our feet planted on the earth, we do not share the vision that many social fighters or "anti-social" fighters have that at the end of a struggle they expect a possible "victory" because that is highly illusory, we are mature and not some idealistic infants.

Reality is hard and leaves one to see a very pessimistic scenario of things, but it is what exists, and better to accept the truth if we do not want to position ourselves within "radical" and optimistic leftism, which falls into faith and the confidence of the blind in saying

15 *The Fountainhead*. Ayn Rand.

that with these acts we make the system collapse and thus “return” to a wild state.

Clearly, there is some possibility that within millions of years Civilization would be destroyed whether by its own Technology or by some natural event with great consequences (or it could be that in its flaw, the system constructs apparatuses of self-regulation and perpetuates itself indefinitely), but we do not believe it to be possible by the “proliferation” of “revolutionary” actions, as we mentioned in the second ITS communique.

As individuals who are in constant contact with Reality through sensory perception, we acquire cognitive knowledge; having processed this, we use Reason to tear apart with a radical critique the false artificial reality, this is why ITS reject these kinds of supposedly “real” values that, while only an idealization, are weak and on the trajectory toward making the war against the system sacred (we refer to the concept of “revolution-revolutionary” proposed by Ted Kaczynski).

IX

Have ITS copied Ted Kaczynski? The million-dollar question.

Without a doubt, we see this person an individual who with his profound rational analysis contributed greatly to the advance of anti-technological ideas; his simple way of living in a manner strictly away from Civilization and the pursuit of his Freedom in an optimal environment make him a worthy individual who due to a family betrayal is serving multiple life sentences in the United States.

Although there are notable discrepancies with his discourse, ITS do not consider it as very distant from what motivates us to keep attacking those intellectually responsible for the imposition of artificial life.

If we cite Stirner, Rand, Kaczynski, Nietzsche, Orwell, some scientists and other people in our communiques, they are only for reference; we do not have reason to be in agreement with all their lines and positions.

It has been said that we imitate the Unabomber; perhaps we have seen as strategic the action of the Freedom Club against scientific personalities in the United States in the 70's, 80's and 90's, and we have adopted this, but let it be clear that we have not imitated all his discourse in its totality, since as we said above, there are points that are plainly contrary to the positions of the FC.

Within society they have always, since we were small, told us not to copy others and to be original, but what they have not analyzed is the existence of neuronal activities intrinsic in all of us who reject this mandate.

Within the human brain there are things called mirror neurons, which require that one copy in order to manage to be original,¹⁶ as we have seen throughout history with painters, musicians, sculptors, philosophers, etc; even in primitive tribes these could also be significantly observed with the appearance of fire and with the development of some hunting tools, where tribes learned these kinds of things by copying those who knew them.

These neurons offer the capacity of perception with other beings with individual capacities, a simple example of the mirror neurons is the yawn, which is contagious due to the self-image which one person generates and which another immediately copies.

With this, it remains firmly supported that we all imitate sometimes due to mere neuronal impulses, naturally all human beings tend to copy in order to be able to reach originality (in whatever way), but here also arise psychological problems derived from inhabiting the imposed reality—wanting to copy completely or “come to be” like some person(s) without wanting to be original, losing individual identity completely, giving in to alienation and sheepishness, remaining stuck in mediocrity and longing—this is another of the psychic deviations that result from Civilization.

Entering into the complex terrain of neuroscience, Volpi mentions that we evolve not only because the brain becomes larger, by the

16 Giacomo Rizzonatti during the symposium “The substratum of the society of consciousness: The brain. Recent advances in neuroscience.” El País, October 2005.

capacity we have to learn faster, or by imitating each other, but also due to the capacity to imagine.¹⁷

The assertion certainly appears reasonable, since the human being is the only species that up to now has proven its ability to create fictions, to have imagination.

Deepening argumentation, like creating fiction, makes us explore our own I; due to a meticulous observation that we make of other human beings we can learn from their errors or not commit them in daily life or in the future.

Imagination and creativity play a highly important role within aspects of our species that are not only recreational, but in survival. The construction of a shelter that resists rain or icy climates, for example, is an activity which, besides reasoning, requires imagination and creativity, i.e. fiction.

Fiction does not necessarily enter into the category of the unreal as one usually thinks, rather it has a place within the cerebral functions that are necessary for the development of skills, thought and emotions. Just because fiction exists does not mean that Reality is discarded.

But there is a problem in all this, since likewise there again emerge civilized psycho-perversions in realizing that the human being occupies most of their time in fiction, imagining and putting themselves in lives other than their own, likewise, instead of using most of one's time achieving and satisfying real necessities, all one's attention (unconscious or not) is focused on producing fictions.

Volpi has said as much: "We are all day wanting to confront fictions, we watch television, we play video games, we go to the theater, we write," which shows a severe deviation from the obtaining of biological necessities which we naturally have to satisfy by means of a serious effort (power process).

The deformed human species is constantly creating more surrogate activities and letting its mind be clouded with an "overdose" of fictions, putting aside what matters, falling into one of the traps of the System of Domination: distraction.

17 "Reading the mind. The brain and the art of fiction." Jorge Volpi.

Distraction has greatly served the system in order to divert the gaze from the central problem, certainly the wild tribes thousands of years ago like the few that remain today also carried out activities like painting, dance, decoration of clothing and creation of charms, but one could not consider that as a surrogate activity, since due to the conditions in which they unfold or unfolded, they satisfied or satisfy their power process, that is, their biological and physical necessities were satisfied and thus they had spare time which they dedicated to doing these kinds of things.

“The word Civilization designates the state of a race departed from purely natural conditions and where the system of existence called society is based on the creation of the artificial.”¹⁸

X

Is ITS an anarchist group? Another one of the most notorious questions.

We declare that the members of ITS are not anarchists, let it be clear. It is one thing that we have sent our communiques to sites of anarchic tendencies, and another very different matter is what we are.

Why do we not consider ourselves anarchists? Precisely because we do not share the anarchists' vision about the “destruction” of this

18 *Long Live the Natural World! Libertarian writings against Civilization, progress and science (1894-1930)* selection of texts of Josep Maria Rosello.

Some of the first groups who deeply questioned and criticized Civilization and who also shared a closer vision toward life in Nature were the naturians.

At the end of the 1800s in France, Henri Beylie, Henri Zisly and Emile Gravelle were the first individuals who analyzed the consequences that Technology and modern practices of western agriculture could carry, but the naturians did not merely remain in the spreading of pamphlets that contained their ideas, but in fact lived according to those ideas in a natural way, which directly shows the ideological significance of these individuals.

While we ITS are in agreement with some of their postures, there are also parts that we criticize. Such as that the naturians in some of their texts present life in Nature as perfection, coming to a point of considering it as something almost sacred, close to romanticism and idealization. As we have said before, Nature is savage, painful and violent, it is not a paradise where you can spend all day lying in the undergrowth and eating what you gather; a truly strong effort is required to survive among trees, the night and wild animals who might attack you, wound you, or kill you.

world to create a “new,” “self-managed” one within the clichés of mutual aid (to strangers) and (promiscuous) solidarity, which as we stated before is not natural.

And it is also because over time there have emerged a great variety of anarchist terms and sub-currents so to touch upon its unique and original value becomes extremely complicated and to mention each one of them would take us too much space.

The misrepresentation of the term ‘anarchist’ comes mutated with endless adjectives so that the term in our era lacks validity. This is why ITS does not consider itself an anarchist group, properly speaking.

With that said, we believe in the only true and chaotic concept of Anarchy (which is not the same as anarchism), we believe in illegality for pursuing our ends, and not going around supporting or kissing the feet of the members and leaders of the techno-industrial society. To destabilize the imposed artificial order is one of the objectives; another is to individually achieve absolute respect toward natural laws and to reject as much as possible every form of Domination.

We do not consider ourselves a primitivist group, since the same thing happens with this as with the term ‘anarchist.’ This categorization is totally invalid due to the misrepresentation and the handling that people outside of the original ideas have given it.

ITS is an anti-industrial, anti-technological, and anti-civilization group formed by radical environmentalists.

XI

On the sixth day of September, *Individualidades Tendiendo a lo Salvaje* left a package full of dynamite inside of the School of Higher Studies (of the Autonomous National University of Mexico [UNAM]), Cuautitlán campus (FES-C).

This time, the charge was incendiary, it was inside of a yellow package, that on opening and taking out the contents inside produced a large flame created by the completion of an electrical circuit

activating the dynamite and which burned everything within a little less than one and a half meters above.

The package was addressed to Doctor Flora Adriana Ganem Rondero, who is the Head of the Section of Pharmaceutical Technology in the Chemistry laboratory of FES-C, which has its eyes set on the advancement of nanoscale technologies.

The fields in which Dr. Adriana develops her areas of investigation pertain to Pharmaceutical Technology and Nanotechnology. She is a member of the National System of Researchers (SNI) level 1. She has financing from CONACYT (National Counsel of Science and Technology) in the Study of Physical Methods for the administration of substances of therapeutic interest with regard to the skin. She has studied in Mexico, Switzerland, and France.

Graduate of the Faculty of Chemistry at UNAM with a 9.5 average, she is another one of the minds among so many technonerd who contribute to the domestication of biodiversity and the creation of new civilizing—and therefore dominating—techniques.

Similarly we have left a package with explosive charge (half-galvanized steel nipple half full of dynamite, red cables, a battery, a small light bulb and a note) around the middle of this month in the National Institute of Forestry, Agricultural and Fishery Research (INIFAP, which is adjunct to the SAGARPA [Secretary of Agriculture, Livestock, Rural Development, Fishery and Food]) in the Coyoacán neighborhood of Mexico City.

The package was addressed to Pedro Brajcich Gallegos, general director of said institution, graduate with masters and doctorate from the State University of Oregon in plant engineering, he is also a member of the Directive Counsel of CIMMYT, the International Center for the Improvement of Corn and Wheat, responsible for genetic manipulation and the creation of transgenic foods.

Born in 1943, the CIMMYT (also allied with Monsanto) is one of the principle organizations that is dedicated to the subject of transgenic production, the promoter together with the INIFAP of the National Center of Genetic Resources (CNRG) where a great variety of supplies of germinal matter from terrestrial and aquatic

species are housed for their experimentation and artificialization—these are seeds, tissues, amniotic and seminal fluids, embryos, somatic cells, and cultures, among others—keeping them in suspension chambers with liquid nitrogen.

For all these reasons and more we decided to make attempts against the life and physical integrity, now, of these two sick technophiles in different parts of the Mexican republic, that is, to the north of the State of Mexico and to the south of Mexico City.

What we have declared in the previous communiques were not mere threats and intimidations without any foundation in deeds, we have made it very clear and we are serious, the attacks will continue, they can deactivate our explosives, censor the information, implement security measures in their staff, alert the disgusting scientific community, the threat will be there latent until (and after) we can soar through the air without the lives of researchers and scientists dedicating themselves to constructing an artificial reality, devastating the natural and perverting the wild.

XII

After what we have done, surely there will be people who classify ITS as a group that vents its frustration in attempts against scientists. We do not share this view, the attack against the system (as we have said) is a survival instinct, since the human is violent by nature and faced with threats to its life and its Freedom it goes on the defensive and defends itself. To renounce this instinct is to fall into one of the traps of the System of Domination, which advises everyone to fight with legal, pacific and inoffensive methods because in this way one does not alter the established artificial order at all. We do not act by sentiments or emotionalism (we locate these in other aspects of life), but rather by Reason and instincts.

Every action has a reaction,¹⁹ this is elemental, each act that the minds who serve the system carry out will have reactions not only in Nature and in the human species but in uncivilized persons like

¹⁹ Principle of causality, in an easy literal equation from first grade this is reflected as:

$$(x+a)(x-b) - (x+b)(x-2a) = b(a-2) + 3a = 1$$

ourselves, we will not give up this war that we are willing to wage even to the most difficult consequences.

XIII

It remains evident that this text and claim of responsibility remain short considering all that we would want to lay out; making known postures and ideas like these becomes very difficult to express in some several pages given the vast complexities of the expounded themes. For which we leave to the reasoning of the few intelligent readers to analyze and (why not?) critique this text (and the others) in order to be able to make really strong conclusions with real sense, critical of what is happening in Reality and not letting oneself be carried by the tide of civilized conformism.

Having said all this, we make public that this is the last communique that we will make known, our attacks will tend to the hallmark characteristic of ITS which the authorities are now hanging on to.

As we said, this is the last public communique, but if the occasion demands it and we have something more to say in the future, we will take these means again to expound ideas, critiques, contributions and vindications.

We hope that the diffusion that we have given to these ideas with the attacks we carried out, grows and diffuses in a future that perhaps we will live to see, or perhaps will not.

Individualidades tendiendo a lo salvaje.

cont'd: Various actions have as a result one or various alternate consequences which may be consecutive or not.

P.S.

In a report from the periodical *El Universal* at the beginning of this month they have published a supposed interview with a supposed member of ITS, before which we want to declare that that information is completely false. The true members of ITS do not lend ourselves to the games of the defamatory and prostituted press.

Strength to the individualist tending toward the wild Luciano Pitronello and fire to the techno-industrial society that feasts on his disgrace; accepting the responsibility of our acts we keep advancing!

Fifth communique

December 2011

Since the last public communique from ITS (21 September 2011) many things have happened, we have continued with the attacks that characterize us, but within this short text we will not claim responsibility for them (only one). Because the purpose of sitting down to write this and placing our fingers on a machine again is to deny all the mediocre information and disqualification that is emerging from a minority of leftist cells.

While it is certain that ITS is alien to everything that happens in the virtual world, that is, we are not aware of what happens in the full spectrum from anarchists of action to those who defend passive anarchism, the case is simply that some time ago this information has come to us.

We have heard of a commotion that is forming with respect to our ideas and actions within those circles; they accuse us of being a fabrication of the “repressive state” (phrase that the wretched leftists so love to mention), they say that we are the work of a Machiavellian supernatural evil force that controls the minds of the entire world, they call into question our critical words against all the system’s values because they do not appreciate someone who has Reason making them see the Truth.

At first, on hearing so many atrocities we decided to remain silent, but seeing the racket continuing we decided to write these lines.

ITS may be everything that “important” (and not so “important”) members of the techno-industrial society have repeatedly said we are, but never accomplices of the System of Domination.

We categorically reject all those labels that they have put on us, we are not “eco-anarchists” or “anarcho-environmentalists” as we have made quite clear in our September 21st communique, if anyone has not understood it, they may read it again.

It is logical that in the face of a discourse and actions like ours there must be reactions from all parties and it seems that the “indignant” wing of anarchism has responded, although not very intelligently.

We are against the values that they preach left and right, we are against various concepts that they consider sacred, we are against their strategies because everything that they defend is invested in the system. Idiots who do not tack the ship and will soon sink, irremediably. Thus they find something (or a lot) “strange” about ITS, they find themselves to be like civilized people within a forest of sylvan vegetation when they read our communiques, they do not know where they are. Confused leftists who perhaps some day will learn or else will remain stuck in the quicksand, immobile and passive, waiting for their environmental conditions to consume them. But in reality that does not concern us in the least.

ITS has seen and analyzed that the leftists are a real threat who only seek to reform the system and create alternatives in order to “fight” against it, but (although they don’t realize it) they are useless, since this only feeds it. The war against academics and technologists is declared (that is more than clear and we have shown it) but also the war against leftism, thus we have sent a package with incendiary charge to the offices of Greenpeace Mexico (which arrived [according to the authorities] on 25 November of this year).

The package was sent to the activist Alejandro Olivera, who insists on carrying out hypocritical campaigns in “favor” of the environment in order to gain public notoriety; his psychological necessities make his activism a pathetic surrogate activity that sugarcoats artificial necessities like self-realization so he acts like it is his “moral duty” to be doing the “right thing” in the face of the circumstances of devastation that ecosystems are undergoing.

Surely Olivera will not realize this because of this action (since his reasoning does not allow for more), he will not realize that Greenpeace is one of so many highly reformist organizations, that they only want to change the laws for other ones in order to illusorily achieve a supposed rescue of the Earth, and here comes the threat—the change of economic, political, social and cultural aspects so that the system continues on its path. (On this point we will not say more, it will have its time when we write a long communique that brings all the rational explanations to such attacks.)

To this kind of leftist organization we respond with direct attempts against them, all those who seek a world that is “more just,” “more humane” and “more green” are on our list, ITS have finished with consideration, have finished with what they will say, we do not pretend to be “well-intentioned activists” with a moderate and good image, we are a group of radical environmentalists, anti-industrialists of a terrorist stripe (towards society and its defenders).

ITS shows our true face, we go to the central point, the fierce defense of Wild Nature (including human); we do not negotiate, we carry out our task with the necessary materials, without compassion and accepting the responsibility of action. Our instincts mark it for us, since (as we have said before) we are in favor of violence that is natural and against civilized destruction.

All leftists be warned (and by leftists we refer as much to those of the right as to those of the left): ITS does not hesitate to make an attempt on the physical integrity of any of you, you are our enemies and thus our threats will materialize in bullets and dynamite.

With this said, we declare that we will not make any further mention before the leftist eunuchs’ attacks for the moment; they do not merit any consideration, since these mediocre people (with much lack of attention) act toward the impossible (and go to ridiculous extremes) in order to gain notoriety within some movement (a totally pathetic act); as they say: the fish dies by its own mouth.¹

To the humiliating leftist mythomaniacs who seek to destroy our discourse and attacks with false arguments founded not in Reason but instead in speculation, irrationality and animism, do not expect our attacks to stop, do not expect dialogue with ITS, do not expect any answer to questions you may have; from ITS, only expect the worst...

Individualidades tendiendo a lo salvaje

1 An expression referring to the way in which things that one says carelessly can return with a vengeance. The expression’s sensibility is that humans live in and by words in the way that fish live in and by water, and so do we also die by them. – T.N.

Sixth communique

January 28, 2012

The following text is intended to be a self-critique, in addition to accepting publicly the mistakes that we made in past communiques and to claim in passing some attacks against the Techno-industrial System.

Certainly, ITS will always accept critiques that are based in reason; those that are not founded upon strong and well-cemented criteria will be rejected as has been done before.

I

ITS considers it to have been an error in past communiques to substitute the letters that denote gender with an “x” since we do not focus on things like this, nor do we want to denote a certain inclination to the linguistic postures of the politically correct. And we say that we do not focus on these kinds of grammatical currents because the attack on the system is our view, and no other struggle. Generally, the people who write with these kinds of corrections have roots in their postulated senseless struggles like “equality,” “solidarity,” “egalitarianism” (etc), that is, they defend the ideology of leftism and reductionism, which we do not share. It is for this reason that we reject this kind of “grammatical subculture” (as it is called).

II

Many of the things that we have written in the first as well as the second communique—such as the supposed liberation of animals and the earth, which are based in sentimentalism; insurrectionalism, which in many cases justifies itself with emotions of vengeance; the poor choice that we made with the thing about the earthquakes; the critique that has to do with the poor interpretations of some of Ted Kaczynski’s ideas (truthfully speaking, very few)—we have discarded and now for us they have no validity. The lack of more printed material that correctly explains, or at least has a certain

closeness to, Kaczynski's ideas does not make the task of understanding them with clarity easy for many.

Obviously, we continue to defend the critique against the terminology "revolution-revolutionary," without a doubt.

Because:

- The so-called "revolution" that so many bet on perverts the nature of the human being because it always tends to reform the system.
- "Revolution" is a blind hope (faith) that many want to see achieved, if they do not achieve their task (which has never been done) their efforts will be in vain, and everything, absolutely everything for which they fought will sell them short, making such efforts useless.
- "Revolution" is a leftist concept.
- Many leftists want to make their purposes and/or approaches into something so profound that they exaggerate on them, digress and arrive at extremes outside of reality. There are many examples: "the destruction of capitalism," "a world without states or borders," "a planet without animal exploitation," "world peace," and along with these the so-called "anti-technology revolution."

The struggle against the Techno-industrial System is not a game that we should win or lose, defeat or be defeated; this is what many have still not understood and it seems that many are still expecting to be "recompensed" in the future for the current actions of "revolutionaries." One must accept that many things in life are not recompensed, that many tasks and/or ends are never achieved (including Autonomy) and the destruction of the techno-system by the work of the "revolutionaries" is one of them. Now there is not time to wait for the imminent collapse, for those who want to take their time as if technological progress is not growing by leaps and bounds and devouring our sphere of individual Freedom little by little. We are the generation that has seen technological progress grow before our eyes, the specialization of nano-bio-technology in various

fields of civilized non-life, the creation and marketing of graphene,¹ nuclear disasters such as in Fukushima, accelerated environmental deterioration, the growth of biometrics,² the qualitative and quantitative expansion of artificial intelligence, bioinformatics, neuro-economics, etc. That is why ITS sees in terms of what is tangible, palpable and immediate, and this immediate thing is the attack with all necessary resources, time and intelligence against this system. We are individualities in the process of achieving our Freedom and Autonomy, within an optimal environment, and together with it we attack the system that quite clearly wants us in cages, obeying our wild human instincts. With this we apply ourselves as individuals in affinity to try to keep ourselves as distant as possible from leftist and civilized concepts, practices and ideologizing.

That is our real purpose, what we seek, and not an unreal dream with irrational coloring and full of speculations.

For now there is no movement that positions itself radically against Technology, neither organized nor solid, if some day there is (if it triumphs and we are alive) then we will accept our mistake, in the meantime we will not accept futurist speculations that bet on a movement that helps to destabilize the system in its totality. Those who believe in the uprising of such an anti-technology movement can keep hoping or can put all their strength into that task. It seems that some have not realized that in speaking of a “sufficiently strong and organized anti-technology movement” they are also entering into the language of leftism.

III

Now, we have become aware of an increase of discourse against Civilization in claims of responsibility for actions that are poorly-directed and useless with respect to the point of reference (against the Techno-industrial System). One must take into account that

1 Two-dimensional material formed by covalent bonds and carbon atoms, it is more resistant than steel, flexible and energy-conducting. With graphene, Science is closer to the new hypertechnologized era.

2 Technology that pretends to imitate the perfection of nature for the creation of artificial innovations.

the critique in a communique against Civilization or against Technology does not do anything if the action is not effective and well-aimed against these.

This “fashion” (to call it such) has been expanding year after year, we believe because the ideas against civilized progress have spread greatly through the internet and other media.

If we turn to look at history, we would realize that the same thing has happened before and after the arrest of the Unabomber in 1996, we remember the pathetic campaign that was initiated in those years called “Unabomber for President,”³ and the emergence of the Earth Liberation Front in the United States,⁴ and while the individuals coming together in that group were for years the strongest domestic terrorism threat in that country, nevertheless the majority of their discourses were carried on the path of sentimentalism, irrationalism and biocentrism. In other words the “radical environmentalist” fashion was popular those years, as the “anti-civilization fashion” is now. But it is worth remembering with this that every wave or fashion ends some day, and only those who have well established the critique against the Techno-industrial System will keep the same path, over the years what has to happen will happen, and the things that must occur will occur.

We are aware that ITS has been significantly responsible for this “fashion” having grown so much, we accept this mistake, and what we want to do (for now) is only to wait for those individuals who have copied our discourse and have mutated it, to stop doing so, or for them to recognize, accept and take on the critique with these kinds of texts not only because we have made it but also because it is absolutely necessary to reject deceptive leftism and attack the Techno-industrial System in a congruent and radical manner (if that is what the intended objective is, of course).

3 “Unabomber for President” was a political campaign headed by the leftist artist Lydia Eccles in some parts of the United States, the idea was that people “would realize” the “totalitarian control of technology” on the basis of the text *Industrial Society and its Future* by F.C., spread through communication media in 1995.

4 One of the first actions of the ELF, which gained notoriety in the means of communication, was the arson of the Oregon Ranger Station in 1996.

IV

We have analyzed these questions to the source and it seems that for the moment there are two important parts within the struggle against the Techno-industrial System.

To summarize we will put it thusly: there are those who question and critique the system and others who not only do this but also attack, like ITS.⁵

Faced with this, the critical and not active part (i.e. the part that does not put the violent attack against the system in its sights) will always say that what the ideas against Technology and Civilization need least is to be related with those tactics. Which we do not share. The majority of these people (anti-civilization, primitivists, salon “anti-technology revolutionaries,” etc) speak of destroying the system but feel an apparent fear in seeing that the ideas are related to the attacks on the same system that they want to destroy.

Sooner or later, by ourselves and by others, the ideas against the Techno-industrial System and/or Society will be related with attempts and acts of violence, undoubtedly.

V

With respect to our position that has to do with the war against leftism. We have reevaluated what we said before and we have analyzed that leftism is just a factor that deserves only rejection, critique, and the distancing of those of us who fight against the Industrial Technological System, nothing more. We made the effort to send an incendiary package to Greenpeace Mexico,⁶ another package of similar characteristics to the leftist director of the *Milenio* paper in Mexico City in November 2011 (Francisco D. Gonzales), and an explosive package to the leftist director of the same paper in its office in the city of León, Guanajuato in December 2011 (Pablo

5 Here we include the Terrorist Cells for the Direct Attack – Anti-civilization Faction, although it is worth mentioning that we have some differences with their communique transmitted on September 5, 2011 after making an attempt against the INE and against the IFaB in Mexico City (see p114-122).

6 Which we spoke about in the brief communique from ITS on December 19, 2011 (see p70-72).

Cesar Carrillo). But in seeing our mistake, we have ceased these attacks and now focus all our efforts for the frontal attack against the Techno-industrial System.

The leftists can kill one another, or can be “victims” of the state and its apparatuses of control (as has traditionally happened), but not by us anymore. We will not stain our hands with their dirty blood, nor will we persist in making attempts on their lives, since there are more important and precise targets than their despicable lives.

We know our tactics, to speak of leftists is one of them, we know what we do, and that is all.

VI

ITS's actions and its discourses are an attack in every sense of the word, and that is why we utilize offensive language against those who make the system keep functioning.

Technologists, leftists and the Techno-industrial Society in general do not deserve flowers nor good treatment, they deserve hard critique, which will be uncomfortable for some (and in truth, we do not consider our language exaggerated, we have never written with high-sounding or highly vulgar words since by our criteria if we used them we would discredit our ideas).

We are a group of radical environmentalists who carry out attempts against the physical integrity of persons specializing in developing, maintaining and improving the system that reduces us to artificialization; we are not a group of café critics who keep solely to theorization, if we were then we would watch our language a little.

We decided to publish this in order to dispel all doubt about what motivates us to carry out acts of violence against the technologists, since one will surely say that the way we refer to these people shows a supposed lack of self-control in our emotions, or that we are motivated by psychological necessities based in feelings of hostility.

Which we do not share in the least. ITS bases its attacks (as we have already stated before⁷) on reason and on instincts.

We critique by reason and we act by instinct, the two go hand in hand, one serves us for deeply analyzing and critiquing what is presently happening and the other serves us to attack directly without any compassion and reject any consideration of Civilization's pseudo-morality.

We said it in our first communique and we repeat it again:

Because although some elements within Civilization tell us that we have been domesticated for years biologically, we nevertheless continue to have Wild Instincts that we hurl in defense of the whole of which we are a part—the Earth. (p15)

Unlike many others, ITS does not hate this system, nor do we base our actions and discourses on feelings like vengeance, frustration, hate and/or desperation (even though some want us to accept that), as we have already said, what moves us is reason and instinct, the defense of Wild Nature (including human) and consequently Freedom and Autonomy. Do not dig deeper, because you will not find more than that, since those are our real motivations.

7 In our fourth communique we pointed out that:

To attack the Techno-industrial System is a natural instinct of survival (as is living an anti-industrial way of life in small community); as rational beings we understand that this reality that the system has created is contrary to Nature, and its savage defense is what moves us as uncivilized individuals, thus ITS make use of direct confrontation in order to pursue these ends; there is nothing more repugnant and reprehensible to society, the authorities and the system itself than the use of violence.

The system is always the one that calls for dialogue, for the use of words, for fixing problems like “civilized people,” because it fears instability and the possible collapse of its social peace by the excessive use of confrontation on the part of awake individuals.

The human species is conflictual by nature and to reject this intrinsic value is an antagonism with what we really are, or (for modern civilized subjects) what we were.

Of course, ITS do not put violence on an altar, we see it simply as a means.

VII

With all that said, ITS makes itself responsible for the following attempts against the Techno-industrial System:

- August 28, 2011: Attempt on CINVESTAV (Center of Research and Advanced Studies [of the National Polytechnic Institute]) in the municipality of Irapuato in Guanajuato. The target was all of the researchers-biotechnologists who were working and studying in that place, but because the Mexican army intervened, the attempt was frustrated.
- November 2011: Package with incendiary charge addressed to Dr. Pedro Luis Grasa Soler, general director of Monterrey Tec campus in Mexico State.
- November 2011: Threat on Dr. Manuel Torres Labansat director of the Institute of Physics of UNAM (Autonomous National University of Mexico) and on the director of scientific research Carlos Aramburo of HOZ in Mexico City. The package contained a .380 caliber bullet along with a threat from ITS, part of which read:

[...] As we have shown in our previous communiques, the system would not be the same without mathematicians, physicists, researchers and other technoswill like YOU (and by YOU we refer to you, to the researcher Carlos Aramburo of HOZ and to those who work in the Institute of Physics), that is why when YOU are determined to create nanoscience and carry out technological projects that attempt against Wild Nature (including the human), we place ourselves in its defense and we attack.

Without any doubt, YOU are a key component for the system, those who have the technical and intellectual knowledge for perverting the ecosystems on this Earth where we try to develop. YOU modify matter for the creation of a life totally dependent on Technology, which will lead us and is leading us to self-destruction. The Reality is this, the more animal and human species that are domesticated, the more disastrous will be the

consequences of using all possible means to keep that modern “stability” on its feet.

Planet Earth already has enough with urbanization, deforestation, contamination, wars that affect the natural equilibrium, ecological epidemics, oil spills (and more) for YOU to come and hypocritically try to help it, as if to undo the damage that we have done depends on the pathetic altruistic scientists, as if something is helped by saying that YOU develop nanoscience and advanced technologies for the “well-being” of humanity and of the Earth.

In no way do we pretend to change the way of thinking of a civilized person, an alienated person, one who graduated from the Faculty of Sciences at UNAM and who received a doctorate at the University of Oxford some years ago. Something brought your studies to the maximum point, there is some reason you are where you are, but we have news for you, what you have lived is nothing more than a life absorbed by the system, which will pay you very little.

This is a direct threat against your person and all the researchers and department heads who hide themselves between four walls tending toward the Domination of all that is potentially free. This is only a warning, it will cost us nothing to leave an explosive package in your facilities [...]

As you must have realized, Mr. Manuel, this package carries with it a bullet, which can symbolize many things: detonation, explosion, wounds, terror, force, gunpowder, death. But now we use it to symbolize the material that we will use to puncture your head and/or those of your colleagues [...]

- December 8, 2011: Package with incendiary charge for the director of research Marcela Villafaña of the Polytechnic University of Pachuca in the municipality of Zempoala in Hidalgo. In the attempt an academic who opened the package was wounded, a story similar to our first attack in April 2011 at the UPVM (Polytechnic University of the Valley of Mexico) in the State of Mexico.

For the moment that is all that we have to say...

Individualidades tendiendo a lo salvaje

Seventh Communique

18 February, 2013

*“When the blood of your veins returns to the sea
and the dust of your bones returns to the ground,
maybe then you will remember that this Earth does
not belong to you, you belong to this Earth.”*

- Native American saying

Before beginning this new text signed by ITS, we want to express our enormous gratitude to the anarchist portal “Liberación Total,” because they have disseminated our communiques over the years in spite of the many uncomfortable circumstances that have presented themselves; in a note attached to a November 27, 2011 text by the “Animal and Earth Liberation Front of Mexico” titled “Conspiracy Theories and the Ridiculous Saboteurs” which we quote, they said, “we will keep disseminating the information which has to do with the ITS,” and that is what they have done.¹

Likewise we thank all the persons and groups (from Mexico as well as Canada, the United States, Chile, Spain, Indonesia, Costa Rica, Italy, Russia, Germany, etc) who have at their own times recognized our work and/or have spread our words in one way or another. These expressions of acceptance will always be taken into account as ITS did in our fourth communique (September 21, 2011) in note E; but it is worth mentioning that the expressions of rejection do not go unnoticed either, when they have solid foundations that merit the effort of a response.

The aim of this text is to make our stance clear, continuing the work of spreading our ideas and clearing up some apparent doubts and misinterpretations, as well as accepting mistakes and/or errors. In no way do we want to start an endless discussion that only takes up time and energy, nor do we want this text to turn into something other than what it is. Anyone who reads it will be able to interpret correctly (or incorrectly) what they are aiming to read; the intelligent reader will know to reflect and consequently do what seems right to them.

1 See p137-157 - T.N.

ITS is not going to cover every person or group's forms of thought, but the ones we respect, that we tolerate, is something else; the ideas, doctrines, stances (etc) that deserve critiques (because we are in disagreement with them [being that they cover discourses that are leftist, progressivist, irrational, religious, etc]) will be mentioned in this way; the ones that don't, we will agree with or let pass.

All the texts that ITS has made public are not written for society to "wake up and decide to attack the system," they are not to forcibly change what the others think, nothing like this is intended; the lines we write are for the intelligent, strong individuals who decide to see reality in all its rawness, for those few who form, think and carry out the sensible critique of the highest expression of domination—the Techno-industrial System².

And so that our words, critiques, clarifications and statements are made known as they have been spread up to now, we have decided to take the next step, which has been to attack and try to kill the persons who are key to the system's self-improvement.

This is the only viable way for radical critiques to emerge in the public light, applying pressure so this discourse comes to the surface. We are extremists and we act as such, without compassion, without remorse, taking any means to reach our objectives.

What's said is said.

I

The internationally-distributed review *Nature*, which focuses on scientific and technological topics, has given a global following to the attacks against technologists and institutions that deal with nanotechnology, information technology, biotechnology, nuclear business, etc.

2 By "Techno-industrial System" we refer to the conjunction of physical components as well as conceptual ones (values) that include complex Technology, science, industry, Civilization and artificiality. The Techno-industrial System is the target to strike because from it (and its population [the Techno-industrial Society]) emanates the functioning, improvement and perpetuation of the mega-machine called Civilization.

Some weeks after ITS let loose an explosive against Herrera and Aceves (the Monterrey Tec technonerd) the aforementioned review published a short text titled “Stand Up Against the Anti-Technology Terrorists”³ signed by the brother of one of our aforementioned victims, the physicist Gerardo Herrera Corral.

In the final paragraph of his text Gerardo wrote: “it is not technology that is the problem, but how we use it,” something which ITS considers completely erroneous.

Complex technology is the problem that has afflicted us as a species since the expansion of Civilization. Here it is necessary to say that there are two kinds of technology—complex and simple technology; an example of the latter were (or are) the utensils and tools employed by primitive man during the paleolithic and part of the neolithic eras, which helped him survive and which some cultures undoubtedly still use for hunting, gathering, shelter and defense.

ITS have always positioned ourselves against modern Technology, complex technology, which drives the destruction of Wild (human) Nature.

To return to Herrera’s text, if complex Technology were used for “good” things, what results would it have? The same as always: deforestation to create wind energy fields, large-scale pollution for the manufacture of “vegetarian and ecological” products, destruction of entire ecosystems for the construction of new “renewable energy” plants, the perversion of Wild Human Nature and its artificialization through information technological and social networks of “friendship,” the perversion of Animal Nature with the cloning of species that went extinct thousands of years ago⁴ damaging the self-regulating ecological equilibrium, new diseases, supposed nano-cures that mutate into other more infectious and resistant viruses, etc. The absurdity that complex Technology could serve something “good” has already expired and it has been shown that it

3 *Nature*, #476

4 On September 11, 2012, the Northeast Federal University of Russia sent out a communication in which they reported on the acquisition of possible cellular material of mammoths in a province in Siberia. With this material, the scientists intend to clone that species which has been extinct for centuries.

will always tend to destroy Wild Nature even while absurdly dressed up in philanthropy.

* * *

To continue with the articles from *Nature*: the writer Leigh Phillips of that periodical wrote an analysis titled “Anarchists Attack Science”⁵ which details the attack suffered by the Italian Roberto Adinolfi (executive director of Ansaldo Nuclear) on May 7, 2012 in Genoa by an anarchist group. Phillips, with supposed information from the European police, says the Italian group, as well as one from Switzerland, has ties with us. This is a lie. Although we must admit the shots to Adinolfi’s legs were well aimed, the people who carried out the attack had their reasons for not ending Adinolfi’s life and only leaving him wounded . . .

Another mistake this text’s author made was to name us as anarchists from the same network as the Italians; as we have mentioned before (and as point IV of this text will explain), ITS is not anarchist, nor do we belong to any network of or with anarchists; our work is separate and the only thing that could relate us (and only in a few cases) would be the targets and materials that are usually wielded.

* * *

In September of last year the same writer referred to us again in another (even more extensive) article titled “Nanotechnology: Armed Resistance”⁶; in the article he makes reference to the repercussions that have been shown more than a year since the August 8, 2011 attack at the Atizapán Campus of Monterrey Tec.

Phillips interviewed Silvia Ribeiro, the head of the Latin American wing of the leftist group ETC (Group of Action on Erosion, Technology and Concentration) who were criticized in our fourth communique in note M. Silvia said, “These kinds of attacks are benefiting the development of nanotechnology,” a view that we do not share.

5 *Nature*, #485

6 *Nature*, #488

It was obvious that the more the Techno-industrial System grew, the more impact these kinds of branches (such as nanotechnology) would have on society, and that, seeing that nanotechnology is one of the sciences of the “future,” the system would adapt, study and improve it. We are sure that if we had not done what we have, nanotechnology would have kept its course and it would now be one of the most demanded sciences at the global level (as it is today).

Mrs. Silvia suffers from naivety to say such things, to say that merely because ITS has struck at nanotechnologists, this science has seen benefits to its development. Perhaps she should ask all the researchers who now live in fear of being ITS’s next target if they work better scared and hidden as they do now.

In reference to these kinds of questions (about whether the system benefits from these kinds of attacks), ITS has responded to a brief interview dated April 28, 2012 in which that question is addressed (specifically in the sixth question); it is worth mentioning that this is the only interview that we have really given and it was a foreign anarchist editorial which you can read on your own time.

* * *

Concretely, and to end this point, Mexican scientists, like scientists of other countries, will continue with their research, they will continue doing studies so the Techno-industrial System becomes stronger and the results of their failure are more obvious and catastrophic—for us that is clear. But what has to also be made clear is that there will be more attacks on these scientists, there will be more attacks on their laboratories and institutions, they must pay for what they are doing to the Earth, they must accept and take responsibility for their actions, and, moments after a bomb explodes in their face (if they survive), they must say “I earned it...”

Simple.

The response will be expedited, without any compassion.

Because if Technology does not stop, neither will ITS!

II

We do not at all say that the system benefits from our attacks, we have evidence and we have belied it with actions. Although many armed groups do improve the system and make it stronger.

There are two kinds of leftists of the extremist kind who we can immediately classify by their bad intentions to employ violence against established regimes.

We will divide them into two groups:

A) The ones that make use of armed struggle in order to rise to power:

These groups are the ones that want to come to power with armed actions in order to then have the possibility of implementing a new regime of “peace,” “solidarity,” “equality,” “humanism,” (etc). But over the years they become more oppressive than the previous regime. They don’t care how much harm they inflict in achieving power. Examples are aplenty:

- “Sendero Luminoso,” a Marxist-Maoist group of Peruvian origin
- Guerrillas lead by Ernesto “Che” Guevara de la Serna.
- “ETA” Basque independence movement
- “Combat 18” right-wing guerrilla
- The Taliban Movement in the Arab countries
- The Marxist-Leninist organization “Red Brigades” in Italy

In reality there are many organizations of this kind that can be considered extremist leftists since their militants and/or leaders do not want the destruction of the entire system, they always seek to end up in power. To substitute one thing for another, which ITS classifies as reformist. And although their actions have very strong repercussions and they destroy monuments, buildings, kidnap officials, assassinate presidents, and so on, these attacks do strengthen the system at the root of their discourses.

Moving on to the next group:

B) The groups that employ violence so that the government will in turn resolve their demands:

The struggle of these groups is in reality a “serious” call to the authorities’ attention to make them protect their “rights”; weary of not being heard or the legal avenues having run out, they use violence so that their demands are fulfilled. As in the above point, there are plenty of examples, we will only mention three in order to not make this point longer:

- The “Cristero” Movement in Guanajuato
- The “Animal Rights Militia” in the 80’s
- The revolt led by the supposed “Ned Ludd” in England at the beginning of the industrial revolution

The bottom line is that the two mentioned groups, both A and B, are reformists and leftists because they tend to always want to improve the system; their slogans were (and are) “end inequality,” “stop the war,” “halt imperialism,” “rights” for animals, “improvements in public services,” “teaching of religion” in schools, the “destruction of the machines” for the return to manual labor, “economic independence,” the “implementation of communism,” the “implementation of national socialism,” etc.

III

The system agrees to use (or not use) some of the aforementioned slogans because it sees if they are implemented in the daily life of society everything will be at “peace.” For example, it did not agree to halt “globalization” because in this it locates the possibility of having a “free market,” that is, finding a way to over-exploit nature in order to be able to take resources in any part of the world. It did not agree to end the wars (save for calculated exceptions) because that is how they put new technologies in practice so that in the future they can be launched to the market, as happened with the internet, armored vehicles, cell phones, robotics, and more.

Previously in the history of humanity (very similar to the modern era) this is how it was:

War contributes to slavery—slavery fores agriculture, and this in turn contributes and determines sedentary life and ‘peace.’ (quote marks added by ITS) ⁷

On the other hand, it is in the system’s interest for animals to have rights, for example, so a more “humane” civilization can give way to new ways of thinking in society, and in this way one of the system’s most ingenious tricks is plotted. It is also in its interest to apparently put an end to “inequality;” in this way it can keep the majority from quarreling, and anyone who discriminates is viewed as an inhumane criminal.

It is worth mentioning that for ITS discrimination is not always bad; we will make one simple example for the reader: suppose that you are the head of a tribe who falls sick and someone else has to urgently go for the berries of a shrub that will cure you, and it is far from where the clan finds itself. Who would you send if you knew that the forest is full of hungry wild animals that only a group of hunters is able to cross, carrying the berries? You wouldn’t send the women gatherers or the little children, would you? Obviously you would send a group of the most valiant hunters for your remedy. Remember that hunters are also wont to be gatherers and women are very rarely hunters (or occupy themselves with minor hunts) in any tribe.

Then in this example discrimination is not so bad.

Let’s make another example for those politically correct people who may feel offended, accusing us of being “*machistas*” (for the previous example). What person would you make responsible for a work of masonry, if you had a painter of surrealist art and a salesman of good roots?

Obviously you would discriminate against both because neither is suitable, you would have to call a mason to complete the desired work.

⁷ “Primitive Society” by B. Burnet Taylor, *European Review*. April 11, 1875.

As one can read in this point, discrimination is not always bad, it is just that many have accepted it as such due to adaptation to the psychic-cultural schemes established in Civilization, something we call oversocialization.⁸

IV

In this point we will try to distinguish between our stance and anarchist stances.

Since many keep labeling ITS as an anarchist group, we see the need to write what comes, perhaps in this way one will manage to understand (or not) that ITS is something else and cease calling us that. We clarify that we are not offended that they call us anarchists (in case someone might think so), it is simply that things ought to be called by their name.

We will begin by writing something about the old anarchists and only then address topics that have to do with anarcho-nihilism. We put forth that, although within anarchist ideas there are infinite currents, it seems that the majority of individuals with anarchist ideas have ideological schemes and principles that go against “authority,” “property,” “discrimination,” the “law,” the “order,” the “family.” These concepts will be the motive for analysis and comparison with respect to what we think.

What follows does not in any way intend to question anybody, nor to make it seem that ITS has a “secret formula,” it is simply a publicly launched opinion. Everyone acts in consequence with what they live, think and feel.

8 By “oversocialization” ITS understands a psychological state where the conjunction of acceptable “moral” values in Civilization and the rejection of ideas that are not acceptable for the civilized human within society are joined. An individual who is oversocialized is incapable of having thoughts contrary to the accepted “moral” without feeling guilty for what he thinks, he experiences self-loathing and guilt for having improper thoughts. At the same time, the oversocialized person reproaches as inappropriate those thoughts and actions that go against the social “moral.” This is why for the Techno-industrial Society it is condemnable for someone to discriminate, because that society as a whole is oversocialized.

For a better knowledge of this topic, read *Industrial Society and Its Future* by Ted Kaczynski (we recommend reading it in English).

That said, we begin:

* * *

Within the extremist leftists of point II there are some old anarchists, even though they did not (or do not) want power to build a directive government. They wanted (or still want) a “social revolution,” they want to achieve a “new society” based on “new values,” like “mutual aid,” “solidarity,” “equal rights,” and other utopias. Such values are the representative values of the system, the ones it wants at all costs to manage to—and that it more or less has managed to—consolidate so that Civilization could be “perfect” and there could not be any dissidence.

These old anarchists of Saint-Simon’s kind of “utopian socialism” wanted a stateless society based on values that the system would impose subtly; one wouldn’t realize they were falling into its game. Now in the present anyone who speaks of the “emancipation of the proletariat,” of the “class struggle,” “social revolution” and other two-odd-century-old slogans carries a corpse in their mouth, because those arguments are expired and it is useless to try to propel them now because they no longer have any solid validity.

The old anarchists oppose all authority, and some were really consistent with their ideals until death (there is no doubt of this), but the problem here is in those who wanted to build a “new society,” wanted Civilization to remain, production to be self-managed, Technology to be used for something “good,” goals that we completely reject, since Civilization deserves only destruction and/or rejection—trying to exchange society for a “new” one is not viable now, perhaps it would be viable for anarchists to live in a small community but at the general social level it would be impossible.

ITS thinks that society must not be exchanged for another or convinced that it is heading to the precipice; (techno-industrial) society (as we said of Civilization above) only deserves to be destroyed, messed up, and rejected, just like this whole filthy system.

Clearly on this point we are only referring to the old anarchists (and a few “new” ones with old ideas), since for some time now anarchist ideas have changed. So-called neo-anarchism or anarcho-nihilism

has spread at least through Europe, the Americas, Asia and Oceania (if it doesn't already have a presence in Africa too).

* * *

From what we have read regarding the anarcho-nihilists, some of them do not want to build a new society like their political predecessors, they want its destruction in order to fulfill their commitment which would be the "elimination of all bondage and authority" (in their own terms, of course).

But ITS thinks that authority is not always bad—it is bad when it restricts Freedom, when it limits your capacities to be able to reach your ends. But it is not bad when an authority figure teaches you not to falter, to pick yourself up from some emotional or physical decline, when he gives you wise counsel and when he leads you by good paths.

We think that an example of this non-harmful authority would be the parents and grandparents of primitive man ⁹ (today, there are very few people remaining who represent non-harmful authority).

9 When ITS makes reference to the words "primitive" and "wild," we refer to the meaning these words have in their literal sense. That is, they signify people who have not been domesticated and consequently do not accept the norms of conduct dictated by modern society, and/or the primary state of animals (including the human) and flora in general living in synchrony, forming the complex non-artificial self-regulating process, forming part of a whole, that whole being Wild Nature.

This is why we call ourselves Individualists Tending toward the Wild. Because that is what we are, individuals come together who are heading toward a "feralization" (to call it that), that is, who are tending to adopt or regress to a primitive state or a very ancient and simple lifestyle.

But what primary state, ancient and simple lifestyle are we referring to? We refer to the period of the primitive human in which it develops without complex technology, without agriculture, without sedentarism and consequently without Civilization. The paleolithic period of human history appears to be the most fitting to answer this question. Specifically we think that the life of the first *homo sapiens* is the right one.

Obviously planet earth in our era is highly populated and has changed quite a bit during the many periodizations that it has endured from the paleolithic until now.

It is very different to say that such a way of life appears fitting to us, than it is to say that it is easy to return to living that way. Although it is obvious that some cultures in the world still go on subsisting as their ancestors did for thousands of years (for example Australian Aborigines, Yanomamis, Mentawai, Danis, Bushmen,

On the same topic of authority, the family is related with this thematic. We do not believe that the family would be a problem because it represents a “hierarchical framework” (as some anarchists say); to the contrary, the human being is biologically programmed by nature for being born in community and living together in family. Or perhaps being with family was bad for our hunter-gatherer-nomad ancestors? Not at all. For millions of years primitive man lived happy along with his family¹⁰, when the tribe grew too large, some consanguineous groups would separate in order to begin a new life, to create a new tribe. When the human being was nomadic, he had respect for the head of the clan, or for parents and authority; how can children now keep respect for parents who are neglectful, paternalistic and bad-intentioned? The family and the Wild Nature of the human being in general was perverted when it started to become civilized. An example of this is the following:

Crowds become denser, elites became more select, technologies acquired a more technical character. The frustrations and tensions of city life increased in intensity. Inter-tribal clashes became bloodier. There were more people which meant there were more surplus people, people who could be squandered. As human relations, lost in the multitude, became more impersonal, man’s inhumanity increased until reaching horrible proportions.¹¹

cont’d: Eskimos, Huaoranis, some Raramuris, etc), there are some powerful limitations (physical, psychological and perhaps environmental) that we as modern human beings must confront and surpass if we want to adopt anew this way of living together with Nature; even though every day there are fewer wild areas in America (to speak of “our” territory) where one can employ the hunter-gatherer-nomadic life, we do not see such a question as completely impossible. It would be highly naive to say it is easy. Logically it would have to be a process.

10 With this, ITS is not trying to imply that the life of primitive man was easy and hedonist. When ITS says that “primitive man would live happily in family” we want to make it understood that in those times there did not exist the majority of the family problems that are characteristics of our age.

11 *The Human Zoo*, Desmond Morris. 1970, Plaza & Janes, S. A. Editores, pg 18.

With regard to this book, ITS wants to emphasize that we reject Morris’ progressivist ideas, we transcribe the small fragment only because it is logical, not because we are in agreement with everything the author writes.

This is why ITS says that authority is not always bad, because the rate of familial deterioration (starting with parents and ending with children) depends on various cultural and social facets. Today's family is oversocialized, it is stuck on hard moralist guidelines, it overprotects children, or, to the contrary, it creates frustrations disregarding or accelerating their development.

For better understanding we transcribe these lines:

Filicidal¹² hostility manifests itself under the two extreme categories of indulgence¹³ and of irrational frustration (in the children). It would seem, moreover, that it obeys basic motivations. On one hand treating them as children even when they are adults, protecting them from mistakes and from "bad steps," or cynically leaving them to fail in order to thus test their inferiority and impotence and the paternalist sees his perversions realized, confirming his hostile prejudices. On the other hand, [...], a paternalistic attitude is that of "machismo" in which the father, in order to make his son a "man," humiliates him, stimulates his aggression, wants him to be a premature man, prohibits him from being and recognizing himself as a child. In the first case one perpetuates infantilism in the children, in the second case one mutilates the child from his infancy and inculcates in him a facade of artificial masculinity. In both cases there is hostility with the child, a pathological distortion is perpetuated in him which, like a new link, lengthens the chain of perhaps several generations.¹⁴

It is for these reasons that ITS does not defend the slogan "against all authority" that many anarchists express, since this would also include innocuous authority; ITS only rejects the authority that the

12 By "filicidal" is meant the psychological as well as physical damage that parents instill in their children during early ages: explosion of frustrations, mental diseases, deficient self-esteem, depression, uselessness, extreme megalomania, etc.

13 "Indulgence" refers to the act of indulging, or spoiling, the child in this case.

14 "Psychoanalysis of 'Filicide' and Juvenile Protest" by Dr. Jorge Remus Araico and Dr. Hernando Florez Arzayús. 1971, Organización Editorial Navaro, S.A., pg 44-45.

Techno-industrial System exercises with all its values and civilized pseudo-moral schemes.

Family (on the other hand) is not the problem in itself, it is the Civilization that has degraded this natural nucleus, that has contaminated the strong branches of the genealogical tree to turn it into something very different from what it was in a beginning.

* * *

Many anarchists also position themselves against law and order. But, (again) are order and law always bad? ITS (again) thinks not.

In Wild Nature everything has an order, everything is self-regulated, there is a circle that repeats infinite times so that the natural equilibrium keeps its course and is not lost.

An example: The tree grows, the rain gives it strength, the moon makes it so there is humidity in the environment and new plants may germinate; the tree drops fruits that in turn are eaten by the herbivorous animals and their young so they grow in a future, these herbivorous animals are hunted by carnivorous and omnivorous (human) animals, the meat is for them and their young, the surplus is devoured by scavenging animals and brought to their young, the earth is nourished with what is finally left. A bird comes to the aforementioned tree and brings what it needs for its nest, while the bird flies, a seed falls where the earth is fertile and everything begins again.

From the beginning of time everything has been ruled by the natural order, until Civilization came and changed everything. Everything turned into disorder, chaos.

From this idea that everything in Wild Nature has an order, and because we say that we obey this order and these natural laws, those who disobey these natural statutes are confined to obeying the system¹⁵ and denying their human nature¹⁶.

15 For a better understanding of the topic of natural laws, the story *The Call of the Wild* by Jack London is highly recommended.

16 Some anarchists (not all) go to the limit, saying that people or other anarchists should “reject” their instincts because, according to them, they are something that dominate or manage them. Something that we see as absurd, since our instincts, impulses and physical, psychological and biochemical reactions (conscious or

ITS categorically rejects the chaos of Civilization and ferociously defends the order of Wild Nature.

* * *

We also differ with anarchists on the term property. We do not believe that private or personal property are bad in all aspects; Stirner in his book *The Ego and Its Own*¹⁷ has made this clear.

Our Freedom is ours alone, our individual property, our individual body, like those material (or non-material) things that we have obtained through a really serious effort and we are not ready to share them with any stranger.¹⁸

We defend egoism but not egocentrism (which are very different things) since the human being from his beginnings has always had to see for himself and then for the others. Even the term *individualidades*, used in our pseudonym, emphasizes more firmly what we are. The idea of sharing everything with everyone, as some anarchists (not all) dream, comes across as abnormal and mistaken to us.

* * *

Perhaps we agree with the anarcho-nihilists on the matter of egoism, since some (few in reality) have openly declared themselves as such, perhaps, also with their discourse about the destruction (and not the reform) of society and of the system; although we don't know how it is that they want to achieve that... perhaps it would be through an immediate and symbolic destruction of the "established order" (as we have read in their communiqués).

ITS has from a beginning said that it does not believe that the destruction of the Techno-industrial System (or Civilization's collapse) can be propitiated or accelerated by a group of "revolutionaries" or a

cont'd: unconscious) are something that characterize us as human animals and it is practically impossible not to carry them out.

17 Literally *The Unique and Its Property* – T.N.

18 "History shows us (...) that even living in wilder ages when men only lived by hunting, natural fruits and the roots that grew uncultivated, there was a law of territorial property destined to safeguard the right of hunting. Each tribe had known limits, indicated by means of rocks, streams of water, trees, and even artificial signs." Fragment of "Primitive Society" by B. Burnet Taylor, *European Review*, April 11, 1875.

movement. ITS thinks that this destruction will come from nature or from the system itself, although we would prefer that it was Wild Nature that drove the system to fall at its feet. Perhaps by means of a global cataclysm, a meteorite from space, a new glacial age, a great solar storm, etc. We would prefer that over the system collapsing under its own weight, because then its fall would be so violent and disastrous that the planet Earth would be left changed, totally polluted and without any remedy to bring itself back and regenerate (or perhaps so, but in millions of years). Whatever will happen will happen, for us it is not too late, we still have instincts (organic impulses or however one wants to call these similarities we still share with wild animals), the human being has lived longer in caves than in great buildings, they have not been able to eradicate our wildness, we are still not machines; we still are and represent nature, and therefore we will defend ourselves from the stranger who comes trying to artificialize us and reduce our sphere of Freedom in the least.

The system is so naive to think that it will eliminate and subjugate every trace of Wild Nature that remains, without thinking that it is not just this planet that represents the Wild Nature it wants to dominate. Other planets with (maybe) subatomic life, other galaxies, star dust, black holes, asteroids, supernovas, suns, stars, natural satellites, dark matter, in sum the entire universe also represents Wild Nature, that infinite proportion that it will never be able to dominate, even though the futuristic visions of some astrophysicists say the contrary.

* * *

Continuing with the themes of an anarchist nature, we publicly admit that we made a mistake in past communiques (specifically in the first, second and fourth) when we mention persons who we do not know personally, but who at that time we considered “*afines*”¹⁹ At that time ITS was rather influenced by liberationist currents (animal and earth liberation)²⁰ and insurrectionalists, now things

19 People we have affinity with – T.N.

20 While ITS totally supports the idea of Animal Liberation and Earth Liberation; speaking of animal liberation and earth liberation is not the same, since they are different ideas. While the latter is the capacity to develop and unfold, without any artificial limitation, the biological necessities of animals and of the earth itself,

have changed, we do not deny that these currents were, in a beginning, an integral part of our ideological development, but we have left them behind, and as one can read above, we have turned into something different.

Today, things have changed.

We will not send out “greetings of support and solidarity” with people who are or are not related with our immediate circle of *afines*, whether they are incarcerated or have died, we do not see it as strategic in any way.

On the other hand, anarchists of the nihilist-insurrectionalist stripe have for some time called through the internet, written propaganda, etc, that they give “direct support” to their *compañeros* who have fallen into prison, wounded or even dead. This is how these anarchists’ network has become stronger year after year. Although this has repercussions for some anarchists who have prison records or who only disseminate their communiques on blogs (as happened in Italy), it seems they will not stop for anything. ITS thinks that in these anarchist cells there are sincere people who do not feel the need to construct a new society, but rather to destroy the existent, a mission that for us is not leftist. States really are worried by the rise of anarchist sabotages, which show that they have become a threat for the economic-political system of some countries, something that is worthy of recognition.

* * *

To end with this topic and all the subtopics, we hope that it has been made clear that although ITS has a few agreements with the anarchists, we are different things.

cont'd: the first (broadly speaking) refers to the action, movement or struggle to take animals from their captivity (often times motivated by psycho-emotional frameworks [not in all cases]). ITS considers the act of depriving a wild animal of its Freedom, or genetically manipulating the development of a sylvan plant species, an abominable thing, but we do not struggle for their liberation, we struggle for their complete Freedom.

V

Perhaps from our first communiques and due to our poor wording in the past, some are confusing our stance with the absurd ideas of the “eco-fascists” who are very popular in Europe.

On this point, we will also differentiate our stance with what these pseudo-ecologists defend, so that no doubt remains that we could ever be the same.

* * *

Pentti Linkola, a philosopher from Finland, is one of the principle ideologues who promotes eco-fascism in his country. Among his principle proposals are:

- The implementation of a dictatorship headed by intellectuals in ecological topics.
- Forced sterilizations.
- A lifestyle similar to that of the middle ages.
- He defends the extinction of foreign animals which according to him “destroy the environment.”
- His perfect society is that people abandon technology and progress but that, on the other hand, leaders have highly technological weapons for their defense.

Although this seems to be a joke, we are forced to ask, do these ideas have similarities with the ones that ITS defends? It is obvious they do not.

* * *

Our stance is positioned against the Techno-industrial System: we defend Wild Nature at all costs trying to achieve true Freedom²¹, rejecting the values of the system that are progressivist and leftist.

21 By “true Freedom” ITS refers to the self-sufficient development of capacities, tendencies and necessities, biological, physical and emotional, individually as well as accompanied by an immediate and reduced social circle of *afines*. Integral development without any mediation or limitation imposed by Civilization and human progress. All this within a natural environment determined by such evolutionarily adapted individuals. That is the true Freedom primitive man enjoys, without agriculture, without large-scale production and without complex Technology.

Like the nature that we still are, we defend ourselves against all Civilized aggression, resisting, confronting, criticizing and attacking the researchers who try to push us toward the bottomless hole of artificialization with their advanced sciences.

We do not want a new “alternative” or “greener” regime lead by intellectuals, military officials, or politicians; we want all the regimes that Civilization²² encompasses to be destroyed. And as we do not want new states, nor do we believe in forced sterilization, since that would entail believing in politics, in rights, in the laws of Civilization, which we reject. It is obvious that overpopulation is a real problem for the free development of the human being, of animals and the Earth; it is totally abnormal to live together with hundreds of strangers around you. But at least ITS does not answer by reducing the global population, positioning ourselves in favor of human sterilization or collective genocide. ITS only answers by rejecting it and hurling radical critique at the Techno-industrial Society and not falling into its game, that is all.

* * *

We do not believe that the kind of life of the middle ages would be appropriate to live. And neither do we believe that people in general would want and/or can return to living in that way. The form of life that ITS defends (and the one that the human being is biologically programmed for through evolution) is that of hunter-gatherer-nomad; in many parts of the world people still live in this way (with all of the limitations), which shows that it is still viable to live in this way; we emphasize that this form of life can be carried out only by those few who are decided to break with everything civilized; we are not insinuating that all people should adopt it.

22 By “Civilization” one should understand any settlement and determined urban social system which implies large-scale demographic growth, large organizations that administer activities that make room for economic-political-social sustainability. Within this sustainability is agriculture, animal breeding, mining, business, institutions, states, information media, commercial organizations very structured and at proportionally large scales. We reject and criticize any Civilization that fulfills all or several of these characteristics, be they Eastern Civilizations, or Arabic, Asiatic, Mesoamerican, etc.

The bottom line is that Civilization is a complex society.

Remember that in past times, "... [The people who formed Civilization] were the discontent, the weak and the disparaged who separated themselves from their more fortunate and dominant companions and made the first attempts to settle and break ground for a way of life"²³ (brackets are from ITS).

Now, in modern times it is for the few strong and decided individuals to abandon Civilization and return to the Nature we are part of.²⁴

* * *

The extinction of species in many cases is even natural and is tied to their evolution (even Darwin called it "natural selection"²⁵).

Nature knows when and at what moment the time has arrived in which some animal will cease to exist. Extinction forms part of the ecological equilibrium and one must accept this.

Everything is fine until the human being comes with its anthropocentrism and wants to "save" or preserve these kinds of species whose own environment and physiology have brought them to disappearance.

The natural equilibrium is also violated when the anthropocentric human being massively hunts various animals to remove some "prime material" or simply for sport, creating a "civilized extinction" (to call it that).

ITS is positioned against this artificial and irrational extinction. In fact, Nature does not need the civilized human to take charge of intentionally extinguishing species (as Linkola declares), and it

23 *Cradle of Civilization*, 1978, Samuel Noah Kramer and editors of TIME-LIFE Books, pg 15.

24 This is why we began this text with a saying of the Native Americans. The saying can perhaps be translated as biocentric at plain sight, but it is not this, may the reader not be confused—as much as we are part of Wild Nature, we are also living beings who belong to this Earth, both at the same time.

25 For a better understanding of the term, read *The Origin of Species* by Charles Darwin.

remains clear that these kinds of acts are in themselves an attack against Wild Nature²⁶.

Mr. Pentti Linkola is against “foreign” animals bringing an environment to “imbalance,” but what Linkola hasn’t thought (or seen) is that the same Civilization is what drives those animals to “invade” other foreign environments in the face of that Civilization’s demographic growth. So the problem is not the foreign animals, the true problem is Civilization itself.

* * *

The positions of ITS and the positions of the so-called “eco-fascists” are vastly different and entirely antagonistic. While they want to regulate overpopulation, the ecological damage of industrialization, and they say they are concerned for the Earth, their pseudo-positions are nothing more than leftist, reductionist and irrational ideologizations. Many of them exalt Nordic and/or Germanic paganism, are vegetarians in the style of Hitler, study botany and biology, live in forests in a rural manner, but they do not have a real critique of the Techno-industrial System and they adopt recycled and useless ideologies (such as national socialism, fascism, monarchical totalitarianism, etc). In brief, “eco-fascism” is the result of minds of little intelligence, adapted to aberrant and reformist political-social-military theories that only want the system to become stronger.

VI

We hope that we have (at least) have made ourselves understood in the majority of the points written so far in this seventh communique.

ITS thinks that in order to plot an effective struggle against the Techno-industrial System, these kinds of texts have to be made public, as well as analysis and (self) criticism that lead to reflection, rejection and confrontation; it takes experiences, lived experiences, mistakes and failures have to be committed, it also takes time. What

26 Much has been said about Wild Nature in this and other texts but what is meaning that these two words have for ITS? For ITS Wild Nature is the complex development of sylvan ecosystems and living wild beings that harbors the complex natural self-regulating environment outside of the artificialization of Civilization.

is not needed is immobilism, useless confrontation, lack of analysis and/or lack of radicalism. We said in point IV, we do not have the “secret formula,” we act under trial and error, we accept our faults and with this we keep on going.

VII

To end this text, we claim responsibility for sending a letter with explosive-incendiary material to the nanotechnology researcher Sergio Andrés Águila of the Institute of Biotechnology of UNAM in the city of Cuernavaca, Morelos.

ITS wants to emphasize that this action is not a “reaction from organized crime to the implementation of the single police command” as that state’s jumpy governor, Graco Ramírez, said.²⁷

Our attacks are directed to more concrete targets, the authorities and the press are always the ones who want to gloss over the information and/or make it seen differently.

ITS is not interested in the police’s “single commands,” what’s more we are not interested in politics (we consider ourselves apolitical) since our motivations go beyond the simple politicking that we are accustomed to.

It is worth mentioning that the Institute of Biotechnology of UNAM in Cuernavaca has been hit before. On November 8, 2011, the biotechnology researcher Ernesto Méndez Salinas was assassinated by a shot to the head on Teopanzaolco Avenue; months later the police reported that they had arrested those responsible²⁸, which is a lie.

It is not an accident that the same institute has been hit now, in order to make the truth known: the biotechnologist Méndez Salinas, on November 8 (only three months after the explosion in Monterrey Tec) became the first mortal victim of ITS.

27 “Threats Against Graco Continue: Letter Bomb Left in UNAM Academic Office.” *Proceso*, February 11, 2013.

28 “Suspected Assassin of UNAM Researcher Arrested in Cuernavaca,” *Organización Editorial Mexicana*, January 27, 2012.

We have said it before, we act without any compassion in the feral defense of Wild Nature. Did those who modify and destroy the Earth think their actions wouldn't have repercussions? That they wouldn't pay a price? If they thought so, they are mistaken.

For the moment we only claim these actions; the Mexican government along with the scientific community know very well what attacks we have not made public, and although they hide the information, there is always space to again read something about the people who oppose in action the progress of the Techno-industrial System.²⁹

For now, that is all there is to say...

Individualidades tendiendo a lo salvaje

29 "No Arrests Made Yet for the Explosion in Monterrey Tec." *Diario de Yucatán*, January 13, 2013.

A brief note

21 February 2013

By means of this short message, ITS claims responsibility for the envelope with incendiary contents which detonated on a curious worker of a business linked with the Mexican Postal Service (Sepomex) on the afternoon of February 21 of this year.

The authorities have declared that the parcel was addressed to a woman named Lilia Botello, which is a lie.

As one can see in the photograph from the press, the label that carried the address was burned when the dynamite was activated. This only left the supposed return address, which carried the name of Lilia Botello Ramos, with a residence in the San André Tetepilco neighborhood of the Iztapalapa sector of the Mexican capital.

ITS usually chooses some name and address at random to fill in the return address. Obviously we are not going to put our names in!

For the time being we are not publicizing the name of the real intended recipient, we will keep it secret in order to hinder the police investigations.

We are aware that these kinds of “accidents” may happen to reoccur, but this is only one of the consequences that the war against the Techno-industrial System brings.

As we have said in our seventh communique: If Technology does not stop, neither will ITS!

Individualidades tendiendo a lo salvaje

Appendix

Appendix A: Chronology

December, 2010: Terrorist Cells for the Direct Attack – Anti-civilization Faction place a false bomb at the IFaB.

Early April, 2011: Terrorist Cells for the Direct Attack – Anti-civilization Faction place a bomb at the National Ecological Institute.

April 14, 2011: ITS leave an explosive package for the head of the Division of Nanotechnology Engineering at the Polytechnic University of Valle de Mexico. The explosive injures an inquisitive guard on the campus.

April 27, 2011: ITS release their first communique, a critique of nanotechnology.

May 9, 2011: ITS leave a bomb in the campus of the Polytechnic University of Valle de Mexico, and bomb threat sent to the institution, in an attempt against the police who would respond. The attempt is unsuccessful.

May 22, 2011: ITS release their second communique, a critique of technology's impact on the environment, the idea of revolution, the new urban guerrilla, and leftists' misuse of Mauricio Morales' name.

August 8, 2011: ITS leave a dynamite package for Dr. Armando Herrera Corral, coordinator of CEDETEC, at the Monterrey Tec – Mexico State Campus. It explodes, injuring the target and his colleague, roboticist Alejandro Aceves López.

August 9, 2011: ITS release their third communique, a critique of nanotechnology and information technology.

August 28, 2011: ITS make a bombing attempt against all the researchers and biotechnologists working at the Center of Research and Advanced Studies of the National Polytechnic Institute in Irapuato, Guanajuato. The attempt is unsuccessful.

September 6, 2011: ITS leave dynamite package for Doctor Flora Adriana Ganem Rondero, the head of Pharmaceutical Technology at the Autonomous National University of Mexico.

mid-September, 2011: ITS leave dynamite package for Pedro Brajcich Gallegos, the general director of the National Institute of Forestry, Agricultural and Fishery Research, involved in genetic engineering.

September 21, 2011: ITS release their fourth communique, which analyzes various topics and clarifies the group's positions.

October 3, 2011: The press announces that a package bomb exploded at the Multipack courier company in Mexico City. The intended recipient of the package is never reported.

October 18, 2011: An anonymous bomb threat is made to the Madero Tec campus in Tamaulipas. The threat is unclaimed.

November 8, 2011: Biotechnologist Ernesto Méndez Salinas, who works in the Biotechnology Institute at UNAM in the city of Cuernavaca in Morelos, is assassinated by a shot to the head. The assassination is later claimed by ITS in their seventh communique.

November 2011: Package with incendiary charge addressed to Dr. Pedro Luis Grasa Soler, general director of Monterrey Tec campus in Mexico State.

November 2011: Threat on Dr. Manuel Torres Labansat director of the Institute of Physics of UNAM (Autonomous National

University of Mexico) and on the director of scientific research Carlos Aramburo of HOZ in Mexico City. The package contained a .380 caliber bullet along with a threat from ITS.

Also in November, ITS send a package to Francisco D. González, direct of the *Milenio* daily paper in Mexico City.

November 25, 2011: Incendiary package from ITS arrives to the offices of Greenpeace Mexico, addressed to the activist Alejandro Olivera.

December 8, 2011: Package with incendiary charge sent to the director of research Marcela Villafaña of the Polytechnic University of Pachuca in Zempoala, Hidalgo. An academic who opened the package was wounded.

December 19, 2011: ITS release their fifth communique, a critique of leftism.

Also in December, ITS send a package to Pablo Cesar Carrillo of the *Milenio* daily paper in Guanajuato.

January 28, 2012: ITS release their sixth communique, a self-critique.

February 11, 2013: A letter bomb from ITS arrives to Andrés Águila, a researcher of the UNAM Institute of Biotechnology in Cuernavaca. The attempt is unsuccessful.

February 18, 2013: ITS release their seventh communique, which includes an extensive analysis of anarchism.

February 21, 2013: A curious postal worker is injured by a letter bomb sent by ITS to an unidentified target. Later that day, ITS release a brief note regarding the incident.

Appendix B: Short Circuits, Robberies and Accidents to Cover up the Truth...

anonymous, Mexico State
mid-November 2011

On November 8th of this year, biotechnologist Ernesto Méndez Salinas, who works in the Biotechnology Institute at UNAM in the city of Cuernavaca in Morelos, was assassinated by a shot to the head.

The murder sparked the outrage of the Head of Studies, who declared himself in mourning for the death of the researcher.

The Attorney General (PGJ) of Morelos state confirmed the next day (November 9th) that the man assassinated in the middle of Tuesday night was a recognized and awarded researcher at UNAM, whose death had not yet been confirmed.

According to initial investigations, Méndez Salinas was traveling in a car Tuesday night on Av. Teopanzolco (one of the busiest in Cuernavaca) when he was overtaken by persons unknown who shot him in the head, causing the vehicle to crash.

Méndez, 51 years old, who belonged to the National System of Researchers (SIN), with degrees in chemistry, pharmacy and biology, was also a doctor in biotechnology since 1993 and had carried out studies at the University of Washington, in St. Louis, Missouri in 1995; he was one of the most important researchers in the country with respect to biotechnology.

The two lines of investigation that have been initiated, according to Morelos state authorities, is an supposed failed attempt to rob his car or an act by persons unknown who wanted to hurt the scientist.

Some important information should be noted here—the second line of investigation refers to some threat that some persons unknown could have made, but to what does “persons unknown” refer? Perhaps they are speaking of some group of anti-industrial style who had previously declared that their hands would not tremble to harm or even kill some scientist?

Remember that the first lines of investigation when Monterrey Tec was bombed were inclined toward some disgruntled student or to some concrete group that was opposed to technological development. In the end, it turned out that the second option was the correct one.

The assassination of the biotechnologist was made exactly November 8th, and also remember that on August 8th there was an attempt against academics at Tec in the State of Mexico that left two technologists wounded.

So, after exactly three months, a biotechnologist is assassinated by a single shot to the head and that moreover, in the place there couldn't be found the casing from the 38 caliber gun that was used to pierce Dr. Méndez's head. It seems that the persons unknown did it so as to not leave a trace.

On September 21st, *Individualidades Tendiendo a lo Salvaje* (ITS) released their final public communique (claiming responsibility for sending a explosive package to director Pedro Bajcich of INIFAP and sending a incendiary package to the nanotech professor Flora Ganem of FES-C, in addition to giving an extensive analysis on various themes), declaring that they would continue taking actions but without claiming them; after this it was announced on October 3rd that a package bomb had exploded at the Multipack courier company in Guerrero Colonia of Mexico City while being handled by employees, three of whom ended up with various wounds, the press reported that there had been two explosions from two packages, although this hypothesis has not yet been confirmed, after several days prosecutor Miguel Ángel Mancera confirmed through a radio interview that Mexico City's specialized experts had gathered information on who the package was addressed to, but the information has not seen the light of day.

After this, on October 18th an anonymous call warned of the placement of a bomb at "Madero Tec" in Tamaulipas. Although this all turned out to be a false alarm, it added to a series of bomb threats at Tamaulipas universities, the others being in the Autonomous University of Tamaulipas (UAT), in the Monterrey Tec campus in Puebla, and in the Polytechnic University of Pachuca in the city of

Zempoala, Hidalgo (where there are nanotechnology researchers) where hundreds of students had to be evacuated. Security measures in universities have increased since the threats against UPVM (in Tultitlan), Monterrey Tec (in Atizapan) and FES (in Cuautitlan), all of these in Mexico state, and against INIFAP (in Mexico City) this year, later claimed by ITS.

The possibility that some group that opposes technological development fired on a biotechnologist on November 8th is one of the investigations that Morelos state authorities, and surely federal authorities, are following.

After the kidnapping of the researcher Yadira Dávila Martínez (August 5th of this year) of the same Biotechnology Institute of UNAM, and her body was found dead on August 9th, some pointed to ITS as responsible for the assassination of the researcher since that same day the claim of responsibility in Mexico state would be made known, but everything points to common thugs or delinquents of the area who, upon not receiving money for the kidnapping, ended the scientist's life.

The assassination of the biotechnologist Méndez Salinas is completely different than what happened to Yadira Dávila. Care was taken to not leave traces, in being sure to fire a single bullet.

They have tried to cover this up with the supposed robbery of the scientist's car, but by now in Mexico nobody believes anything; according to the government, all the fierce arsons that the Conspiracy of Cells of Fire – Mexico (CCF-M) and the Insurreccional Cell – Mariano Sanchez Añon (CI-MSA) have claimed are short circuits and the recent death of the Secretary of the Interior and other persons close to the President have been an accident.

Threatened universities, the assassination of the scientist, and an anti-technology group that has previously said it continues with the war against academics, scientists, researchers—or “technonerds” as they call them—is what is happening in Mexico.

Appendix C: Communique by Terrorist Cells for the Direct Attack – Anti-civilization Faction

September 5, 2011

Mexico City

“The system is dedicated to fooling all aspiring revolutionaries and rebels. Its trick is so astute that, if it had consciously planned it, one would have to admire it for its almost mathematical elegance.”

First of all we would like to begin by pointing out something we believe to be of utmost importance. To begin we are not a formal and organized group, nor do we wish to call ourselves anarchists, vegans, environmentalists, nihilists, primitivists, or by any other -ism, since to do so would be to fall into reductionism, to “attack” or to fancifully believe that we “attack the enemy,” but we would only focus on one reduced part of the problem, and thus would only satisfy our psychosocial-emotional necessities (as the leftists do in grand form), falling thus into the system’s game of irrationalism and in believing that we are standing against it, when the reality is much different and all we would be doing is fortifying the values of the industrial-technological system. But perhaps some will say “but direct action is reductionist since one only attacks a small part of the problem and thus does not attack all at once.” Our response to this is, of course, actions are greatly reductionist, for example: some individuals attack a biotechnology research center; in carrying out this action they are only focusing on that small center and thus cannot stop or change anything, perhaps only momentarily the center was attacked but nothing more, the other laboratories will continue operating as normal, perhaps with more caution and with greater security measures. Not even a large number of individuals spread all over the world in agreement to attack all the biotechnology laboratories would arrive at a real change since the industrial-technological system and all those who sustain it would search for a way to keep it alive without needing to use biotechnology as recourse for artificialization (remember that there now are endless technological ways to artificialize the wild). Thus we believe that actions are indeed reductionist in a certain way since they only focus on one small factor of

the system; what we do not believe would be reductionist are the causes or arguments that are used to defend the action, so we put forward the same example mentioned above, some will be able to argue that the action carried out against biotechnology is bad for human “health” (whatever that is) and because it threatens the life of animals and working in favor of the “powerful” with millions of pesos, dollars or euros—this argument would be falling into reductionism and irrationalism of two issues very well rooted in society since they only focus on some small, very reduced aspects, such as “health” which is a very deteriorated idea in industrial societies since it only specifies individual and physical “health,” leaving aside the psychological and neural, and the “health” of the environment where we develop ourselves and one cannot be completely healthy living in a sick environment (artificial environment). Because it threatens animal life—here one would be falling into irrationalism because unconsciously one would be putting emotions ahead of reason, since one would be exalting this fear of death very well-rooted in civilized societies, when the only thing that death is serving is an imminent process of self-regulation; and as for the latter, that of favoring some with money—this is the most serious problem of reductionism that the leftists have in making capitalism the principle and only problem out of all evils and believing that collectivizing the means of production would lead to a more comfortable life, leaving the real problem which is the industrial-technological system. To attack this (the industrial-technological system) or to make arguments against it is actually what we do not believe is reductionist since today the industrial-technological system and civilization are everywhere, and thus we consider these two as primary agents of the artificialization of non-artificial systems of self-regulation and of the domination of potentially free individuals. But why do we focus on these two and leave aside capitalism, questions of gender equality, minorities’ rights and similar aspects? This would not also be falling into reductionism? We will respond to the first question.

The industrial-technological system and civilization have created (involuntarily and unconsciously) an effect farce for all the activists and radicals who would like to stand against the system’s values, mediating individuals and making them believe that they stand for something, when the only thing they do is reaffirm the values of

civilization and satisfy their own psychological needs—for example vegans who are in favor of animal liberation, many of them are people only in the “movement” to satisfy their psycho-affective needs (feelings of inferiority) responding only to emotional issues so that it’s common that when you ask a person related to animal liberation why they carry out actions in favor of their cause, they respond: “because animals are our friends,” “animals also have feelings,” “nothing justifies the killing of an animal” and reasons of this kind, but they only use irrational justifications (sentimentalism in this case) such as the idea that animals are our friends (no matter what they do), when not even individuals within the same species associate in this way with others outside their pack, in many cases engaging in physical aggression and even death to defend their interests (food, shelter, territory, etc), so to believe that animals are our friends is irrational by exalting feelings of affection (friendship) known as empathy; they would believe that if one of us were in the wilderness and faced with carnivorous and hungry animal that it would leave us in peace because “it is our friend”—illogical, is it not? It happens in like manner with other alternative movements, better called leftism (feminism, classism, environmentalism, anarchism, communism, primitivism, etc.) which only seek to eliminate a small aspect of the problem, so that if the feminists (or whatever other group of leftists) achieve their goal the system still would stay on its feet since it would know how to assimilate that small “rupture.” It would keep women within its values, so they would produce just like men, and artificialization would accelerate (something the system has already achieved); if the means of production are collectivized and capitalism does not exist, that does not matter much for civilization since technological progress would continue on its course without needing to utilize a symbol like money as a medium, and the process of artificialization of wild environments would continue on its course.

These are only some small examples to illustrate a little better the tendency against civilization and the development of the industrial-technological system, and it is these two which we focus on and thus contest the continuation of research; and no, we do not believe that to solely attack these two aspects is reductionist since civilization is what has given way to large-scale (animal and natural) domination, thus having artificialized everything in its path, and its accomplice

has been and will be the development of technologies and industrialization, thereby negating the self-assurance of potentially free individuals and impeding the development of their potentialities. This is why we believe that to attack the techno-industrial system and civilization itself is not reductionist since it is omnipotent and today is found in all aspects (food, shelter, social relationships, etc.) and to attack civilization is to attack a totality. For these and many other reasons we oppose civilization, but we don't simply have anti-civilization ideas rooted in us; for us the theories have remained in the past, but we still continue to be congenial with some practical positions expressed by some theories; we are individualists and misanthropes, we despise mass movements and the humans who impede our free development and limit our potentialities and likewise those who artificialize wild nature; we autonomous individuals who aspire to the wild have come to realize the real problem that affects us today is civilization and the progress of the industrial-technological system.

By means of this communique we the Terrorist Cells for the Direct Attack – Anti-civilization Faction (CTPAD) claim responsibility for various attacks made with explosives on governmental organizations and research organizations responsible for maintaining or helping sustain the industrial-technological system, deciding to directly attack those responsible, utilizing every means at our disposal. CTPAD have been operating in Mexico City for part of the past year with some small actions and others that are a little “bigger.” We have decided to remain anonymous for different reasons which we will expound upon a little later, but by means of this communique we only wish to claim two attempts, specifically so that the government of Mexico City and the industrial-technological system know that the surge of radical individuals who oppose the technological system and civilization is not mere accident and that the *“individualidades tendiendo a lo salvaje”* are not the only ones committed to directly attacking those responsible for artificialization (to speak of individuals who oppose civilization and the industrial-technological system within the Mexican territory).

We claim responsibility for the placement of an explosive device at the facade of the INE (National Ecological Institute) located

around the periphery at the border of the Tlalpan and Coyoacán districts, which we carried out in early April of this year. Why did we carry out this action? There are many reasons but we will offer our own.

The INE is a department of the government of Mexico City charged (together with other departments, research centers and universities, SEMARNAT, INIFAP, SAGARPA, Monterrey Tec, etc) with the artificialization of natural systems utilizing biotechnology as the pretext for a “new and happy” (but we would say hedonist) world. This department is charged with granting the necessary permits for the experimentation and/or growth of genetically modified products—an example being the GM corn currently produced in Mexico.

Another reason to attack this institution is because INE promotes the use of renewable energies, called “green” by the leftists who favorably view all these ways of continuing to maintain the development of civilization and techno-industrial progress and are happy to accept (consciously or unconsciously, rationally or irrationally) these “alternative” methods of wind, solar or whatever other so-called “green” energy, remaining in the mere reductionist act of thinking that this will be “environmentally-friendly” without thinking about what all this entails, for which we simply offer an example. Many “revolutionaries” believe that if in place of the use of gasoline (which is nothing but a derivative of petroleum) to propel a car, if electrical or solar energy were used it would be much better since it would greatly reduce the gasses that cause the greenhouse effect and thus would reduce global warming, but how many of these revolutionaries have stopped to think where automobile components come from? (or whatever other component implemented by the industrial-technological system) Of course! From the domination of what they call natural resources (which are nothing but systems of non-artificial self-regulation), the exploitation of mines, the felling of immense forests to be able to build roads and/or urban zones so that “environmentally-friendly” cars can travel, and due to the increase in the production of fossil fuels to be able to propel those cars, the production of tools and spare parts for the cars, the maintenance of roads, the construction of “recreational” and “living”

spaces for those who reside and travel through the place and a long etcetera, and where does all this bring us? This is the maintenance and progress of civilization. It is for this reason (and of course many others) that we do not stay in the reductionist and leftist struggles of protecting the minority of “exploited” (if anyone knows how to differentiate who is one or the other please let us know, since to a great extent a worker, a woman, a person with different sexual preference or a different race actively participates in the progress of civilization and domination, “exploiting,” “discriminating,” and “depreciating” all those who they believe to be absolutely inferior, and for the most part all these “unprotected” individuals do so in a way that satisfies them), making martyrs of them demanding and proclaiming that they also have rights.

Presently there is a huge publicity campaign being launched “in favor of the environment” and the INE is promoting it as if it were some new kind of drug for keeping everyone with mental debilities submissive; for this reason we decided to attack it, not only because it deceives with such publicity and micro-reforms, but because their only and specific goal is to continue the development of the techno-industrial system and thus maintain everything that civilization involves (values, governmental or non-governmental institutions, dogmas, canons, rules, etc, etc, etc.—in sum, domination). But what is bad about renewable energies if they take care of and protect the environment? The answer is a little more complex than what appears in plain view, and it is not because “they benefit murderous capitalism” (we have said before that we have long since left aside those questions of capitalism), but rather because, as we have said before, they only serve the self-reparation of the technological system, or if this is not the case then why have there been some decades in which climate change did not interest the system as it does now? Because there have been some decades in which climate change was not so threatening as now and if it was brought to fulfillment, civilization would suffer greatly due to climatic deterioration (prolonged droughts, the deterioration of the poles, acid rain, nuclear fusion, etc, etc) and perhaps the imminent and inevitable collapse of civilization and not only of civilization but of all the individuals who inhabit the planet, so for this reason the system is trying to repair what it has itself provoked and avoid the only possible cause of its

own collapse (since neither do we believe that a revolution will finish the system).

We also claim responsibility for the placement of a false bomb in the IFaB (this is an institution charged with the investigation of pharmaceutical products and biopharmaceuticals) located near the periphery. We carried out this action in December, on the same day that the COP 17 climate summit began in Cancún. In this action we left a note explaining the reason (this note was signed *terrorist cells for the direct attack*) and then we proceeded to make a warning call to the police concerning the placement of a bomb.

Just as we attacked this institution, we also can attack any other organization that promotes these “alternative” forms of continuing to maintain the present system, such as the reformist groups, leftists, Greenpeace, SEMARNART, Animal Naturalis, etc, or those who artificialize wild nature by means of technologies.

But why wait until now to make a communique and make our existence known? As we said in the beginning, we do not call ourselves anarchists or whatever other -ism (although we have ideas in opposition to civilization and the industrial-technological system, we only decide this to delimit and better extend our positions), and for this reason not finding a space of affinity where we could make our actions known, we decided to act without the need to communicate what we had done, in addition to which if we had published those actions or communiques on any internet page that was not in affinity, we would begin to relate ourselves with issues which we have (and intend to have) nothing to do with. Furthermore we do not want anti-civilization ideas and ideas against the industrial-technological system to turn into a “trend” or to begin to deteriorate as many theories have, as is happening with what presently passes as anarchism (for example), which can list as anarchists people congenial with anarchist ideas more rooted in leftism such as communism, feminism, environmentalism, veganism, primitivism, pacifism, etc, each with the prefix “anarcho-,” all the way up to the most revolutionary tendencies (whatever that means, since neither do we believe in revolutions) such as insurrectionalism, individualism, some nihilist positions, etc. And so someone who struggles for the dissolution of classes using the “consciousness of

the oppressed people” as their method can be called an anarchist, or an anarchist who struggles against the system of domination by means of insurrectionalism and all that entails (sabotage, expropriations, attacks, etc.), and under the circumstances we do not want the same to happen with ideas against civilization. So what was it that made us change our minds? Ultimately, we have seen some groups or individuals who put forward a posture truly in affinity—and more than in affinity, also rational and non-reductionist about the development of anti-civilization ideas—as could be the case of the *“individualidades tendiendo a lo salvaje”* (to give a specific example in the Mexican territory, as also in other parts of the world there are individuals with a critique of the technological system, although as we have noted, some still have entrenched social and/or revolutionary ideas) who, in a short span of time, have made themselves of note with attacks and critiques of the technological system, and this was what originally changed our minds about releasing communiques—the knowledge that there are other truly critical individuals who are not so gullible as to swallow the system’s farces and thus can each carry out corresponding actions against the technological system which are accompanied by a true critique against the progress of civilization.

So we want to conclude by remarking that we are not anarchists, nor are we revolutionaries or potential revolutionaries as Kaczynski put it (we do not believe in revolutions, but to speak on this would protract our statement even more, furthermore we believe that that topic of “revolutions” has already been addressed in one of the communiques of the *“individualidades tendiendo a lo salvaje”* and we are very close in these positions, only having some small questions to pose at some other time), but this does not mean we will remain with crossed arms or just be intellectuals, since to do this we would be falling again into a game of the system. We believe in violent direct action as a means of attacking a small part of the problem (we spoke on this question above), and thus we believe that property destruction is necessary since the centers for new technology research, the laboratories, and the universities are where tests are conceived and accumulated information is stored, and without this physical space the technologists and scientists would not have anywhere to develop themselves and develop new inventions, and it

is necessary to attack those directly responsible for the artificialization of the wild since they are the ones who hold inside their heads the information and the potentiality for this artificialization to be realized.

We are not in the least afraid of the consequences our actions could have, from the destruction of some good material to the death of some person; even more than that, it would please us to know that as a result of our actions some technophile or individual responsible for artificialization had died.

Fire to the industrial-technological system and to civilization.

Terrorist Cells for the Direct Attack
– *Anti-civilization Faction*

Appendix D: Joint Declaration of the Insurrectional Anarchist and Eco-Anarchist Groups of Mexico

December 12, 2011

To the anarchist comrades of Mexico and the world,

To the arsonists and unmanageables¹ in affinity of the whole planet,
Health, imprisoned brothers and sisters in Mexico, Germany, Chile,
Spain, United States, Greece, Italy, England, Switzerland and the
world!

Health, comrade Gabriel! Health, comrade Tamara! Health, com-
rade Luciano! Health, comrade Camenisch! Health, comrades of
the Conspiracy of Cells of Fire held captive in Greece! Health to all
those who fight for Total Liberation!

The coordination of the unmanageable struggle solidifies and
extends over the whole world. Anarchist fire and explosion leave
their mark and awaken libertarian conscience. From Santiago de
Chile to Mexico City, the chaotic night is illuminated with gasoline
and black powder in solidarity with our captive comrades. Mon-
tevideo, Lima and Portland raise themselves to anarchic arson. In
Greece, in Germany, in Italy and Argentina, the roar of dynamite is
heard. The fire spreads from Russia to Indonesia. The condemnation
of the States is unanimous no matter the ideological color of what-
ever governments. The prisons of the world keep hostage our sisters
and brothers in struggle. With this horizon and in the face of the
extension of the anarchic struggle, the attacks and slander from the
opportunists on the left side of Capital were to be expected. Left-
ist liberals and diseased Bolsheviks sealed with french kisses their
disgusting alliances in search of power, and anarchists threatened
once again to ruin the party; thus they call us the “public enemy

¹ The word *refractarios* and other derivatives are used throughout the commu-
nique. It refers to being resistant or unyielding to whatever force, and the authors
are deliberately using it to distinguish from individuals, political tendencies, and
forms of struggle that are compromising or manageable. We translate it throughout
as ‘unmanageable.’ – T.N.

number one” and hasten their onslaught. Toward these ends they do not have any scruples in carrying out the orders of the State and offering themselves as volunteer police. In the final assessment, they do not fight to destroy the system of domination but to “transform it.” Leninists and leftist liberals fight to take State power, not for its elimination. Thus in Greece and in Chile, they hold delegations and public posts, defending the system of domination from the anarchists, as we see recently in Greece with their defense of Parliament.

Leftists and Leninists rely on semantics, word games and double-speak to camouflage their intentions, trying to mislead the naive with a grotesque gymnastics that try to establish a supposed difference between instituted Power and instituting Power. Crushing to death the supposed goodness of their “Popular Power” (the same shit in a different bottle!) and pulling out of their sleeve an “anarchism” aligned with Power and inclined toward “proletarian” dictatorships and populist governments, they intend to give body to an incompatible mishmash which puts a Leninist project into practice with a libertarian discourse, with the objective of halting the anarchic contagion and attracting the ingenuous to their ranks.

The opportunists from “saboteamos.info” adhere to this strategy with their attacks on the *Individualidades Tendiendo a lo Salvaje* (ITS). “Communique” after “communique” (and even with a shit sandwich they call “documentary”), they try to present the anti-civilization anarcho-environmentalists who make up the cells of ITS as “government agents” and “as a distraction from the population in order to intimidate and characterize anti-systemic expressions.” With the Cantinfl-esque language that characterizes them and with their use and abuse of leftist *verborrea*,² they try to sow distrust and division through slander, suspicion and defamation. Old techniques frequently used by the mercenaries of the system of domination, as by the volunteers on the left side of Capital aspiring to Power.

We may have theoretical differences and discussions with the comrades of ITS (polemicizing always in a comradely way in a constant attempt to actualize ideas and for the construction of a unitary

2 *Cantinfl-esque* refers to the comedian Cantinflas, whose way of speaking in character derived its humor from going on and on about a topic while only confusing and obscuring it more and more. *Verborrea* = verbal diarrhoea. – T.N.

critique attuned to the reality of the anarchist struggle) but we have never disagreed over the methods used, understanding anti-authoritarian violence and propaganda by the deed as valid practices in accordance with our ethical principles. With this, we not only want to make clear that we recognize their anarchist anti-civilization trajectory but also that we see nothing “strange” nor “suspicious” about a direct attack against the representatives of the techno-industrial system of domination; nor do we see any difference between an attack made against a smaller or larger piece of shit, since what matters is to realize the attack against the system of domination, putting into practice permanent conflictuality and, above all, choosing the target that entails the least risk for ourselves. This has been the basis of the practical coordination between the new anarchic insurrectionalism and the anti-civilization anarcho-environmentalism.

From their virtual “reality,” the opportunists of “saboteamos.info,” playing at “investigative journalism,” rely on the same strategy recently implemented by so-called Carolina Romero and those who write under the name Notices from the Rebellion, demonstrating a fictitious dichotomy that tries to present two positions within the anarchist movement: “the good anarchists” and “the bad anarchists,” praising dead anarchists and defaming the living ones, promoting the old saying: “The best anarchist is the one who is dead.”

They intend to use to their favor that portion of anarchism that we have constantly pointed out as immobilist and evolutionist, opposed to anarchic action and partisan of waiting, quantitative growth and centralist bureaucratic organization; nevertheless, to them we declare that we can distinguish perfectly well (despite our differences) between these anarchists and the anarcho-Leninist fetal monstrosity aligned with the populist governments and “proletarian” dictatorships that they try to promote. We are all aware of this intention that attempts to mislead the unsuspecting. An irrefutable proof is the note dedicated to Carolina Romero in the pages of the most recent issue of the periodical *Apoyo Mutuo*, giving an account of the slander and defamation by this opportunist at the service of the Cuban hierarchy against Cuban anarchist comrades.

But these virtual “saboteurs,” in addition to slandering and defaming, cynically lie, trying to sow discord and division between

affinities. Likewise they have involved the Chilean comrades from *Liberación Total* in their bickering, pretending that they received “confidential” information from this site for the diffusion of global anarchic action, in order to then conclude that it has to do with their very particular paranoid and bad-intentioned “interpretation.” Likewise they mention in their endless bullshit affinity groups and even signatories of this new Joint Declaration and sites of solidarity with unmanageable anarchism (*Viva la Anarquía*, *Portal OACA*, and *Culmine*) of proven integrity, creating doubt and pretending to have a nonexistent support from these anarchist counter-information media closely in affinity with our project of struggle. It becomes clear that it is not a coincidence that this scenario presents itself here, while in Germany and Switzerland, a similar campaign goes on the march and from anonymity there appears a letter to the anarchist Galaxy,³ critiquing the unmanageable action of comrades held captive by the State.

We know well how these opportunists expend themselves, more now that the whole leftist pile of shit closes ranks in the face of next year’s elections. The opportunist rats also prepare to board the ship of López Hablador,⁴ discovering in the former member of the Institutional Revolutionary Party (PRI) the new messiah of the Mexican left in search of that supposed “Popular Power” they so preach. It is not an accident that the *subcomediante*⁵ moderates his tone before this, describing him now as a “scoundrel,” nor is it an accident that the Other Campaign (supposedly created to confront the electoral campaign) keeps absolute silence toward the new presidential plans of López Hablador, after accusing him in the past of being a “corrupt rat at the service of the powerful.” They try to disguise *Peje* with the glowing *look*,⁶ presenting him as the Mexican Chavez, Morales or Castro. Thus they view with profound distaste the extension of unmanageable anarchism—it worries them that the contagion is spreading. They know that for us anarchists it is the same fucking

3 Capitalization as in the original. This refers to the “Letter to the Anarchist Galaxy,” November 20, 2011, waronsociety.noblogs.org/?p=1989. – T.N.

4 Andrés Manuel López Obrador is a Mexican politician. The authors change part of his name from ‘work’ to ‘speak.’ – T.N.

5 An insulting reference to Zapatista Subcomandante Marcos—the authors combined the word for ‘comedian’ with his usual title. – T.N.

6 *Peje* is the politician’s nickname. *Look* is in English in the original. – T.N.

shit one government or another; it means the same to us that there is a rat from the left or the right in Power. Anarchists (as the word indicates) are against all authority, against all government, against all Power. Thus, we are not of the left nor the right. We do not place ourselves on one side or another of the system of domination: WE ARE AGAINST ALL DOMINATION. Thus, whoever wins, we will continue in struggle until Total Liberation because the only thing we have “below and to the left”⁷ is our left ovary or testicle (as the case may be)—NOTHING MORE. And in our hearts the only thing that beats with force is Anarchy...

The times of the elections are approaching, and the choice is ours:⁸

Let us again become their worst nightmare!

Strength, *compañerxs* kidnapped for the “Bombs Case”!

Strength, imprisoned *compañerxs* of the
Conspiracy of Cells of Fire!

Strength, *compañero* Tortuga!

Strength, *compañera* Tamara! Strength, *compañero* Gabriel!

Against the techno-industrial system of domination!

For the demolition of the prisons!

For the destruction of everything that dominates us!

For Total Liberation!

For international anarchist coordination!

For Anarchy!

May it light up the night!

7 “Below and to the left lies the heart” is a slogan of the leftist EZLN (Zapatista movement) in Mexico. – T.N.

8 In the original the same word is used for the *elections* as for the *choice* we have. – T.N.

- *Anonymous Anarchist Action / Informal Anarchist Federation*
(AAA/FAI)
- *Revolutionary Action Brigades for Propaganda by the Deed and
Armed Action – Simón Radowitzky (BAPHAA-SR)*
- *Insurrectional Cell – Mariano Sanchez Añon (CI-MSA),
fraction of the Informal Anarchist Federation of Mexico (FAI-M)*
Mexico City and Guanajuato
- *Terrorist Column of the Revolutionaries in Black (CTRN)*
- *Former members of the Eco-anarchist Cell for Direct Attack*
(CEAD)
- *Conspiracy of Cells of Fire / Informal Anarchist Federation –*
Mexico City (CCF/FAI-DF)
- *Conspiracy of Cells of Fire / Informal Anarchist Federation – Jalisco*
(CCF/FAI-J)
- *Conspiracy of Cells of Fire / Informal Anarchist Federation –*
Veracruz (CCF/FAI-V)
- *Earth Liberation Front (FLT)*
- *Free, Dangerous, Savage and Incendiary Individuals for the Black
Plague (ILPSIPN)*
- *Luddites against the Domestication of Wild Nature (LDNS)*

Mexico, Planet Earth, December 12, 2011

Appendix E: Communiqué from the Incendiary Antagonist Columns

Santiago, Chile. June 2011

I

The qualification of attacks is necessary considering that statist logic heightens and perfects itself with the same rapidity as the technology that enables, practically, the present societies' whole apparatus of domination and control.

Society, as the primary origin of a good part of the worst present human situations, does not only worry about positioning itself as a condition for sustaining the existence of humanity, but rather it has also charged itself with violating the consciences of individuals as much as collectivities with the idea that it (society) is necessary for the development of the full human condition—that is, for individual and collective freedom. The overvaluation of “the social” has made it so that all references to “the wild” are by definition absurd, not only in the sense of being somewhat distant from the present reality, but also as being opposed to society itself. This is why anarchism from the insurrectionalist perspective places itself in the anti-social position.

Without a true critique of the entire existent, we cannot decide to fully exist.

The negation of the existent (i.e. society) has as its result the affirmation of our individuality, integrity and free associations, prepared for everything that one's own conscience tells one to do and pleased to act as one's passions impel one, without judges beyond oneself. In this sense, our consciences are what take shape in the field of practice by means of actions that negate the established, the given, the preformed, the existent... that is: society, the State, the family, salaried work, among much more. The free life is what we seek in the extremes of that same negation, as absolute counter-parties of the crushing machine of the all.

Progress, as that which gives complete unity to the whole flow of history since modernity, is the greatest myth that governs conscious

individuals and collectivities. From Marxists who believe in absolute and complete truths, to anarchists who admit that the most expeditious means to achieve the revolution is the internalization of the ideas of freedom in the collective conscience—that is, the same thing that capitalism does with the idea of “competency,” but with another meaning—all accept, perhaps without realizing it, the idea of progress. Thus, one must not only to spurn the idea of progress in its most rudimentary sense—that is, the idea of material progress as in technological development—one must also spurn the idea of progress as the development of certain ideas in human conscience. Consequently, the critique also goes for the other side—that is, the notion of capitalism.

It is important to realize that capitalism and everything that it involves does not advance nor progress, since it is already completely positioned, installed and mediates all social relations which accept, implicitly or explicitly, the logic of the market, of winning or losing, of truth or falsity, of benefit or harm. In this sense, capitalism does not progress (nor does it transform into something “better”), but rather it heightens, since it is already a compulsory part of reality.

This (i.e. reality) is what, through its complexification, becomes more dispersed, polyform, and thus more difficult to detect, analyze, combat and strive against. The qualification of the attack is a necessary response to the heightening of the logic of capitalism in the field of social relations. To blow everything up is not to blow up everything that can actually be blown up, it is to blow up the complex social structures that are determined by commerce and its flow, and which in turn legitimate the same social structure. It is a vicious cycle in which one thing sustains the other. One does not understand capitalism without the people who endorse it, and one does not understand the people who legitimate it without the capitalism that determines their forms. Thus, to physically attack the institutions of capital is not to attack symbols, it is to attack the same structure of reality that determines the field of social relations, in short, it is to undermine the legitimation of capitalism.

It is wiser that is not random, to let's say attack a bank—to attack a bank is to attack the reality that it determines, and to blow up the vicious cycle in which the present social relations are based.

This scenario clearly does not leave space, at least not relevant space, for the logic of “protest” in the historical/Marxist sense of the term and practice, which claims a posture, faced with a situation, in which what is sought is the propagation of an ideological position that is pre-formed, pre-configured, and—most importantly—pre-Reasoned by the never-wrong intellectual vanguard of the organized people. In which there simply is not room for the individual conscience, nor much less for collective dissent, since this kind of a posture brings out the “true truths” of a person much more intelligent than the common individual of the poor exploited people, such victims and so stupid that they do not realize what passes before their noses. They say that someone who loves you beats you, but to treat the people as naive, unconscious and even “asleep” is to say that love is like sending someone to the psychiatrist. A condition that can be expected of people who illusorily dream of “popular uprisings” and similar messianic yammering.

II

It’s at this point that situations are intertwined, protests become platforms, the place that subjects occupy which they believe democratically contributes to the development of their civilized society, transforming street demonstrations into mere strolls or concentrations of bodies without initiative, groups that only follow their leader in the same way as the flock follows the shepherd, condemning all action that breaks their scheme. It is these spaces/situations that many times are approved by individuals and/or subjects who choose to organize collectively, with a critical point of view, in order to attack the entities of power with everything they have on hand. While this kind of action is important, mostly in order to leave evidence that an antagonist to the system exists, we consider nevertheless that those who remain stuck in these actions only serve as another cog of the system, a system that needs its “opposition” to validate its own existence and also to criminalize those who oppose it. With this we do not seek to prophesy, nor to guide the ways of focusing discontent, but rather to identify those demonstrations that do not seek to expand themselves into everyday life, in order to critique them and not become subject to them.

It is at this point where we see that the most ideal way to manifest our discontent is the **attack**, not only with the mere expression in demonstrations, where one begs for reforms to the system, we do not expect even the slightest response from the system, we do not want improvements in it, we fully reject everything that it is able to offer us. It is for this reason that we see the **attack**, in a concrete form and not in a symbolic or rhetorical way, as an option against power and the entities that it puts at the disposition of the population, since to disable a bank, to loot a store, to burn the products that they offer us in glass cases, to attack the protectors of the interests of power and to attack power itself, these carry immediate negative consequences for the globalized society in which we are embedded.

This is the position that we have taken in the war that we are enmeshed in; we believe that the maturative process of contextual analysis gives weight to the **attack** as the best choice before the repressive onslaught of power. That is to say, it responds to a “reading” or interpretation of the reality and its circumstances. We are fully aware that this choice has as a side effect a qualitative growth of our capacities, as much for groups as for individuals, whether they be sabotage, dissuasion, planning, etc.

It’s at this point—the same qualification of attacks, together with the evident complexification of the scientific-technological components incorporated into the commerce society’s structural functioning—that an escalation in the mode of the attack becomes necessary, whether in the targets to hit, or in the places and situations to act. That is to say that, in addition to the recurring incendiary actions in the universities campuses and populations (those that have a character of attack and not of hippie marching) and the placements of explosive devices in ATMs (which in no way do we intend to dismiss), the nature of the attacks multiplies constantly and in a versatile way, turning to new products that can be robbed at the market, useful in the production of destructive devices, and/or focusing on new targets that come with the self-same technological developments. What the wide gamut of locations reveals is that with a minimum of security and planning, there can be targets of sabotage that are safe and quick for those who attack them, and that

thus do not require high exposure or risk like that needed in excessively “frequented” locations.

In order to develop this qualification of the attacks it never hurts to share, through secure and trustworthy means, experiences of this kind and to contribute to the discussion that helps generate variety and creativity in the forms of attacking domination. Thus avoiding the repetitiveness that can be anticipated by the repressive apparatuses, and likewise avoiding falling into monotony as consequence of stagnation in the comprehension of socio-political conditions, having so close at hand the proliferation of the destruction and sabotage in every corner of society.

All of the above obviously requires the proper recognition and positioning as antagonistic to the social order, we dismiss halfway postures that utilize a negative discourse but do not develop autonomous critique in practice.

III

It is not only that technology enables the pathogenic deepening of forms of control, but rather that all of this, without a legitimacy mediated through society, is simply impossible.

With regard to recent events, in which different freely-associated individuals (*individualidades tendiendo a lo salvaje*) have attacked institutions of higher education, specifically schools involved in nanotechnology research, it becomes necessary to sharpen the knife of critique towards the giant industries that play at manipulating life.

We believe that struggle is the consequence of our positions against the forms of life that are imposed by power and legitimized by the masses, and it is in the continual return to direct action that there exists the sole possibility to take our lives as really our own. We act without limits, without regret and without remorse. One cannot destroy the existent simply with the pretensions of doing so. These pretensions are the classic positions of class struggle. The Mexican comrades made the analogy that we ourselves had in mind, namely the following:

The enlightened or the preachers are the ‘revolutionaries,’ maintained by the faith that is the blind confidence they have that someday the ‘revolution’ will come; the disciples are the ‘potential revolutionaries;’ the crusades and missions are carrying the word to the circles of people involved in green or anarchist struggles (where they would find the ‘potential revolutionaries’); and the atheists or sects are those who do not believe in their dogmas, nor accept their ideas as coherent with reality. (23 May 2011, p24-5)

Revolutionaries are the ones who have believed this story the most. The old story that the future will be better, that we are walking toward a better tomorrow, that sooner or later it will arrive. Nothing could be further from reality. A basic question in the face of this would be: What makes you think that the future will be better? And better yet: Is there something concrete that brings you to think this? Questioning ourselves in the first place is paramount to questioning everything else. No one is more blind than the one who does not want to see. In this sense, we believe that it becomes necessary for the comrades of the Conspiracy of Cells of Fire, to state in what way they call themselves “revolutionaries,” and in what sense their organization (which is completely respectable and dignified) calls itself a “revolutionary organization.” Much more concretely, what “revolution” means for them. We do not believe in the revolution, but we believe that all action should be accompanied by a strong political content, and that that political content should be solidly argued. Actions do not speak for themselves, as so many comrades everywhere have already said. And thus it is necessary, taking into account the calling to international solidarity, that the networks of information are not so segmented. Through dialogue between comrades we sharpen the critique against the entire existent, never with power, nor with the political class, nor with reformism, nor much less with the masses that condemn everything that is not consistent with the social peace.

Speaking of which, critique is dead if it is not accompanied by that sweetest of nectars: direct action. It is this that marks an essential rupture between the false critics and those conscientious insurgents

who have thrown themselves into the abyss of the nothing in search of questions, not answers. Eternal dissent, whose source is the infinitude of the human condition, is nothing more than the only answer to the question: What do we want? This is the sense in which insurgents take awareness of their infinitely infamous condition that answers to nothing, that gravitates toward nothing more than one's own I. We do not have answers to the questions that everyone wants answered, we do not know where we are going, but we are clear on where we come from, and on what and whose side we are on. **Always** on the side of those persons who are consistent and not repentant in their decisions, **always** in the path of confrontation, and **never** with the timid who have sought to satisfy their egos with pseudo-positionings.

The masses, the people, the citizenry, civil society, humankind, the multitude, the exploited, the sheep. All names for the same thing: "cowards."

With all the above we do not intend to instate anything, neither a unique form of positioning, nor much less the enlightenment of consciences. But rather to simply expose the point of view of distinct individualities, and to provide nuance to the always-fertile discussions in the intensification of critique and the offensive against the established. Since we are not those who fire the most accurate shots, nor the most gutsy, nor do we pretend to be. In such manner we claim responsibility for the attack made against the branch of the Banco Estado of Central Station, located in the intersection of Alameda and Ecuador.

Afterwards, in the morning of June 1st we found out what happened to the *compa* Luciano... the following (not alone) words are for him:

Tortuga, you were able to draw out the most difficult conclusions that exist, you confronted the most complicated paradigms for a person, and in spite of all this you were able to live with valor the consequences of your decisions, decisions that brought you to transport that cargo on that night.

We should say that when we found out about your "accident," a day after having illuminated the night with those ATMs, it was the most difficult awakening that we could have wanted, imagining those

interminable seconds that you had to endure in that street pierced our soul; great was the blow that we received on seeing how the press feasted on your image without any consideration, apparently unconcerned about the consequences that this would have for your friends and family.

If only the timer had been delayed a little longer, we would not be in this situation, there would have been another blow against the cheats, the usurers, but it is not so, instead on the contrary your physical condition was seriously affected, likewise those close to you are arduously harassed by power's (un)intelligence agents.

From our point of view, and open to criticism, we want you free, even if this means that you stop breathing—we do not say dead because you will never die, you will always be at our side striking at what we so hate—this is what any of us would want if we had some mishap. We do not want anyone to be the scapegoat of power, we are free and we want to die free, which is why we chose the more difficult path, the path of the war against the established. Across the darkness and the distance, we carry your impetus in our hearts.

Mauricio Morales and Lambros Foundas live
in every insurgent heart.

Freedom to the prisoners of CCF in Greece
and to the captive comrades of the “bombs case.”

Solidarity with the comrade Theofilos Mavropoulos, who fell into
the clutches of power in confronting the cops with dignity,
and solidarity is not a written word between anarchists.

Diego Ríos, Gabriela Curilem, Theofilos M's companion and
Tortuga's companion:

MAY YOU BE FOREVER FUGITIVES!!!

Strength to all those captive in the cages of power in whatever part
of the world, may the fire of this night reach your cells.

No one is forgotten!

Appendix F: Note from Liberación Total about the seventh ITS communique

In the face of a new communique from ITS we cannot remain silent. And we want to begin by recognizing something that for us has grown qualitatively from this group of action, and that is Respect. We believe that at one time their analysis and reflections had an arrogant inclination. And before continuing we want to make clear that haughtiness, pride and arrogance are completely necessary in this war but only against the enemy; we do not believe it is worthwhile to direct our forces (at least not all our forces) to throwing critiques or attacks into a space of praxis (such as the anti-authoritarian or anarchist space), not because we see it as unnecessary, but because when one speaks of the crux of this struggle it is against the Techno-industrial System and Civilization, that should be the target against which to aim and unsheathe our attacks. In addition to this, we believe that critiques, or the destruction of false postures, within the space of struggle should exist because they help the discussion to refine the aim and to have a wide view of the context, but it is important that critiques are made in Respect.

We will try not to belabor the point to not draw attention away from what is important in this post, which is the seventh communique from ITS. We want to offer a small but important clarification. The *Liberación Total* website, a medium of information and propaganda against all forms of domination, is not an Anarchist site. Certainly at times there have been *compañerxs* in this project of an anarchist tendency, but not now. Since before we changed the header image, LT stopped defining itself as an anarchist site, not because we look down on anarchy but because it is not what drives our lives and projects. We do recognize the enormous courage of the anarchist *compañerxs*, the great majority of them from the early twentieth century (such as Leon Czolgosz) or in the present like the *compañero* Mauri (eternal enemy of civilization). Our problem is not with anarchy, but with anarchism (as an ideology) and its defenders, those of the

camp of OARS or López Arango¹ for whom even the category of social democrats is generous.

On the topic of “order” and “chaos.” We remain with Chaos, in its daily theory and practice. Organization and order are not synonymous, they are different terms, just as organization is not synonymous with chaos. We despise order from its foundation in this System. This point should perhaps be dealt with further on. Likewise, we will offer our position on what we understand as “domination.”

Regarding our own reasons for writing with an “x”² and avoiding sexual gender. This is to say that we are for surpassing these barriers, that we are human beings with individual capacities; we are not for feminism, much less radical feminism. Nor do we believe in equality, because we are naturally different. Our proposal is the destruction of the non-values of society and living without putting in the forefront whether or not someone has a penis, a vagina or is a hermaphrodite, to be able to relate with each other as human beings. We believe that language is important for understanding each other, therefore it is necessary to know the meaning of words in order to not fall into Power’s game of newspeak, where the System reforms the value of words, for example “Freedom” which for them is synonymous with “slavery.”

Finally, we recognize the capacity to reason as part of human nature, but we believe that to lift up this human quality alone is to emphasize just one small part of our nature. Feelings, spirituality and the mental/physical plane are also part of this human nature in which we ourselves seek a balance. So we do not throw away any or all of these qualities, keeping in mind that they are part of a confluence of capacities.

To the radical ecological warriors of ITS, our most sincere salute of force and spirit. We recognize your thanks as an answer to our consistent practice, for our notes when they are deserved and for our honest projects to contribute to the struggle against Civilization.

1 For more about the pathetic cowards of the Bolivian anarchist group OARS, see “Speaking of Snitches” waronsociety.noblogs.org/?p=5774. Emilio López Arango was an anarcho-syndicalist from Argentina. – T.N.

2 The letter “x” is used to replace the gendered “o” and “a.” ITS regard this practice as leftist. – T.N.

We will not give up defending of direct action and sabotage in defense of the Earth, we will shout it to the four winds and if they come for us because of our reflections, our heads will remain high.

May the package bombs resound like the thunder of liberation,
may the bullets aim at the gears and motors of the machine!

In defense of wild life and the Earth!

For Total Liberation!

Liberación Total

Appendix G: Conspiracy Theories and the Ridiculous “Saboteurs”

Note from the website Liberación Total:

Through this space we want to refer to this text, and specifically to the accusation that *Liberación Total* gave information about ITS to Saboteamos.info. The repressive campaigns carried out in places like Chile show how Power has access to everything, such as intervention into email and this is something that we should not ignore; we do not know what “information” they might be referring to, but from the beginning we have tried to make clear to Saboteamos.info that their arguments have no weight, that we don’t consider them to have any logic and that we will keep disseminating the information which has to do with the ITS.

One must think about what kinds of statements they make; to accuse people of collaborating is serious and we will not allow it to happen. We are not interested in entering the discussion about the supposed government fabrication of ITS, our position is clear and this is why all of their actions and later claims of responsibility have been spread via this space, since, independently of whether or not we are in agreement with the totality of their discourse, we believe that their actions have to be recognized. We hope to not have to refer to this topic again; everyone can draw their own conclusions.

27 November 2011

Communique from the Earth Liberation Front and Animal Liberation Front

The leftists' defamation campaign has been evident ever since the attack that the *compas* of *Individualidades Tendiendo a lo Salvaje* (ITS) carried out last August (which had huge media coverage), many have been annoyed by their actions and discourse, many leftists including journalists, intellectuals, university faculty, politicians, social activists, ecologists, feminists, sentimentalist-vegans, scientists, legalists, pacifists, and many other people have declared themselves against this group's truly rational proposals. We say they are very rational since we, a cell of the Earth and Animal Liberation Front, have learned much from their communiques and we completely share in their proposals-actions and we support and recognize them. We totally identify with the *compas* of ITS since we see them as an example of ferocity and intelligent action, completely focused against the sole target which is the techno-industrial system.

On November 21st of this year, the *saboteamos.info* leftists' butts recovered from the spanking the Anonymous Anarchists gave them in their writing some very uncomfortable (for them and their company) truths in a text spread on the internet;¹ these people from *saboteamos.info* saw that their supposed "evidence" which they claimed confirmed ITS being a fabrication of the Mexican government had been defeated, and they circulated on their blog a documentary and text with more "evidence," only making clear their desperation for other people to continue to trust them and not dismiss them as troublemakers and ignorant fools.

The thousand and one conspiracy theories

Apparently these people from *saboteamos.info* are not very intelligent and their paranoid view of things makes them think that all actions outside of their moralistic standards are the work of a government conspiracy. This is nothing new, there are many conspiracy theories, there are ones that say that global warming is a lie created

1 See *Joint Declaration of the Insurrectional Anarchist and Eco-Anarchist Groups of Mexico*, p123-128 – T.N.

by governments to keep people in fear and worry, in this there is some truth, since global warming is indeed used by the system so that consumerism keeps its course albeit in a “green” form, what is real is the domination and devastation of civilization against wild nature; global warming exists only in that it is used to keep people consuming and consuming.

Another one of the conspiracy theories have held for some time that tsunamis are caused by Russian submarines that explode and create a huge impact from the sea to the surface, which is completely false.

Another is the controversy over the September 11th attacks; we are convinced of the great conviction and dedication of the Taliban in the Middle East, we recognize their actions and we see them as having demoralized and certainly defeated the most atrocious tactics of the coalition governments (United States, Israel, France, Spain, Germany, Italy, etc...) but what we are not in agreement with are their religious illusions, which we emphatically oppose. The Taliban are warrior people, with a highly admirable conviction, but what is bad about them is that their causes are connected to a religious book and religious beliefs. This is why we do not see the September 11th attacks against the Twin Towers as a conspiracy theory planned by Bush and his intelligence bodies, but as the most serious terrorist act in the history of the United States, perpetrated by suicidal Taliban. What was the second most serious attack in that country? The second strongest was perpetrated by anarchists! Unless you think the Galleanist Mario Buda (who is suspected of the action against the Morgan Bank) was an FBI agent in the early 1900's... In 1920, a carriage bomb was detonated in front of the offices of Morgan Bank, some thirty people (indiscriminately, without distinction of creed, social class, color or gender) were instantly killed by the power of the explosion of the bomb of 100 pounds of dynamite. This was in response to the incarceration of Sacco and Vanzetti (who were also Galleanists).

But one does not have to go so far. This September 17th, two bombs were placed in the ATM area of Santander and Banamex in the city of Xalapa in Veracruz; the bomb made of butane gas canisters (according to the photographs from the press) in Banamex exploded and left serious material losses and the second bomb did

not go off and was retrieved by the Mexican army; mentioning this we ask: Is this another conspiracy theory? Do they say that the *com-pas*' actions and other unclaimed actions (like the one on September 10th when a Banorte bank was completely incinerated by unknown persons) are a pretext for the ever-stronger presence of military personnel and marines, since on October 10th the federal operation “Veracruz Seguro” was announced when anarchist groups started to cause real worries for the authorities? It is pathetic to theorize about a supposed conspiracy behind the actions and discourse of ITS, this is simply a leftist view of the pieces of shit who think that everything truly powerful is something planned by the state, who have feelings of inferiority in their deeply entrenched civilized psychology, who feel so useless and inadequate that they think no one is able to arm an explosive with the ability to tear flesh and bones except for the government.

Why don't they question the appearance of the EZLN in 1994? According to another conspiracy theory, this guerrilla group was invented by Salinas de Gortari's government, got out of its hands and became uncontrolled, and Comandante Marcos (or Sockface as we call him) was a foreigner sent by Fidel who came to cause conflict in the indigenous territories, which is a stupid theory. The indigenous people in Chiapas developed due to their conditions, the theft of their lands by large estates and businesses, authority's abuses of their peoples, and other things, and this is why they launched a struggle to the death against the state and its repressive organs; on the topic of the EZLN there are many things we do not share (aside from their continuing to have hierarchies since there is obviously still an army), we do not share this group's demands for work and equal treatment since this has only reformed the system again and allowed everything to go on as usual; another thing we do not share is the Marxist leadership at the front of this leftist group taking their customs and costumes from indigenous people and on top of this wanting to bring progress to the communities—technological progress of course—but then it strikes us as equally despicable and as an aberration that when some come to impose civilized progress, people accept it as good. The acceptance is just as disgusting to us as the imposition.

Why don't the *saboteamos.info* amateurs mention another supposed conspiracy or fabrication: the Popular Revolutionary Army's (EPR) bombing of Pemex pipelines in 2007? Many commentaries from the press, officials, journalists and others doubted whether a group like the EPR had used explosives exclusively available to the military to carry out such a huge sabotage as the one against Pemex. Many said it was a smokescreen to cover up the country's actual economic situation and that it allowed for more aggressive repression against social organizations. It is clear that the EPR is capable of doing these actions and more, so why don't they believe that ITS can send an explosive to a university and that it can detonate and inflict wounds on its targets? Once again, it is clear that these *saboteamos.info* scatterbrains only criticize what they find convenient, the rest they leave as if it hadn't happened.

Is it so hard to accept that in Mexico a group has been born that opposes technological development with all its might and attacks its representatives? Is it so hard to accept that there is a group of individuals who have looked farther and criticized what some are not capable of criticizing and have taken matters into their own hands? It is so hard to accept that in the war against domination Mexico has reached a scale that other American countries have not? The CARI-PGG,² ALF and ELF among others, setting ever stronger bombs and burning places down, the CCF-Mexico³ causing enormous fires with millions in material losses, the CI-MSA⁴ burning armored money trucks with thousands of pesos in them, the AAA⁵ expropriating, machine-gunning and killing police, anarchists erecting burning barricades, anonymous groups who do not claim their actions making bomb threats, setting off butane gas bombs, leaving explosives in various places, doing small sabotages, ITS threatening the scientific community, harming their minds and bodies, and killing them (although we don't know for sure [since

2 The Praxedis G. Guerrero Autonomous Cells for Immediate Revolution, an armed anarchist group that has been active in Mexico for several years – T.N.

3 The Conspiracy of Cells of Fire. See, for example, waronsociety.noblogs.org/?p=5573 – T.N.

4 The Mariano Sanchez Añon Insurreccional Cell – T.N.

5 Anonymous Anarchist Action, which is unfortunately also the name of a "hactivist" group. For the actions and communiqués signed by the armed group in Tijuana, see waronsociety.noblogs.org/?tag=anonymous-anarchist-action – T.N.

the murder of the biotechnologist from Morelos on November 8th has very peculiar characteristics]). This is the situation of the struggle against domination in Mexico which has been reaching higher levels year after year, this is not a fabrication of the state, this is the reality and it will continue on its course whatever the cost.

Reality is hard, only cowards hide and deny it

In the communiques from ITS there are many real and harsh realities, they make an extensive critique of precisely this: feelings of inferiority, surrogate activities, class struggle and popular struggle, and the whole mountain of trash that the poor deluded members of saboteamos.info believe in. And that is why there are reactions; if ITS had gone with a more appropriate, more socialized discourse and had said that the attack on Monterrey Tec was because the majority of people who study and work there are arrogant and abuse their monetary power and that it was a response from the “proletariat” to the “dominant class” that grows out of the universities, we are sure many leftists who criticize would have applauded such an action, but since it was not so, it annoys them.

These people from saboteamos.info honor their name, since what they are doing in reality is sabotaging (or at least trying to) the project of struggle against domination. It follows that they are petulant, they think that we—the groups of action—set fires and place bombs to “denounce the system’s atrocities.” They don’t realize that we don’t want to denounce anything, imbeciles, we act because we are Individualists and as Awake Individuals we sharpen our claws and attack everything that won’t let Us live fully and everything that threatens to make Our freedom completely disappear.

We don’t intend to denounce anything, ask for anything, change people’s minds, or demand improvements as leftists like those of saboteamos.info surely do.

Reformists! Lackeys of the system, you think that because you put images of masked up people and flames on your blog you are radicals? Let us to say you are wrong, you only form part of the system’s gears, you are only part of the anti-system fashion, you are not against it, you want to mold it and have the power to do whatever

you want, to have orgasms with the pathetic movements like the Indignados movement and you support them, you identify with the Anonymous movement which is truly worthless (these people who go through life, excited, wearing a mask of the star of *V for Vendetta* who tried to blow up parliaments and assassinate politicians and their families like Guy Fawkes wanted to do in 1605, why are they better? It would be better to go on interrupting the government and institutions' web pages with their harmless pranks), you only make more and more people see opposition to the "system" as something "sweet," "cool" and as a result generating more brainless people who want a change of the system—that is, reformists!

We have often seen the lunatics of saboteamos.info mention us in their critiques as "true groups who struggle against domination," they throw us flowers and compare us with ITS saying that we, the groups who attack property, are (in their useless minds) "good" and that ITS are the "bad" ones for attacking the life of some technologist. We as members of the ELF and ALF consider actions against some people to be necessary, although that is not our objective, we sincerely hope that ITS was the group who put that piece of lead in that biotechnologist's head and claim it some day;⁶ these people (technologists) do not deserve to stay alive, their existence only serves to dominate and domesticate the earth in which we intend to unfold.

The ELF and ALF have an ethic of non-violence against persons except in special cases, but even so, remember that we are different groups and we have always departed from the initially established standards, this is why we publicly express these opinions. Just as when ARM and JD directly attacked the physical integrity of vivisectors, hunters and others in different parts of the world,⁷ the ALF always supported them in those actions, a similar situation appears before us.

All our support for ITS!

6 In fact they did later claim it in their seventh communique. – T.N.

7 The Animal Rights Militia and Justice Department are both militant animal rights groups. – T.N.

A campaign by the leftists

Over a week ago, on the “anarkismo.net” space which is dressed as anarchist but in truth seems to belong to authoritarian reds, a text was published called “Dilemmas of Anarchism in Mexico” in which they disqualify the action of blockading the street on Insurgents Avenue in the outskirts of the university district in Mexico City. Again they branded the anarchist Gustavo Rodríguez⁸ in the irrational critique, apparently the people who wrote it also had sore butts from the useless anarchist congress.⁹ Since it seems that Gustavo’s texts after the event hit hard for many anarchists mutated by ideas impregnated with zapatismo, pacifism, popularism and the rest.

The campaign of discretization and blame continues, (also) in the website Noticias de la Rebelión’s response to the Anonymous Anarchists. In it they say the problem is there, but even so they keep disparaging the anarchist groups of the insurrectional and eco-anarchist style in this text. A text that became the butt of our jokes for them saying they were proud to be leftists!!! Which is completely pathetic, it is like if a woman was proud that the man she had married hit her, or if someone felt proud because they had been raped. But some people want to stay in the shit.

The point of this is that the campaign of pointing out anonymous anarchists like those of *Conspiración Ácrata*¹⁰ is real and the proof is more than evident.

Websites like Noticias de la Rebelión, sabotemos.info, La Haine, Anarkismo and others are where they create anxieties and finger-pointing, where they speak about one thing after another, and at the same time we publicly consider them our declared enemies, they can spread their gossip and slander, but in the end everything will come to light. This is why we encourage all the groups of action in Mexico to put themselves on guard against these deceptive sites

8 Gustavo Rodríguez is a rather infamous insurrectionary anarchist in Mexico who has been involved in many ongoing debates with leftists there – T.N.

9 The First Anarchist Congress of Mexico was held in 2011. See waronsociety.noblogs.org/?p=765 – T.N.

10 *Conspiración Ácrata*, an insurrectionary anarchist publication; the leftists’ critiques have often named Gustavo Rodríguez as being part of this project. – T.N.

since in doing what they are they will only position themselves on the side of yellow journalism, journalists, and in the end on the side of the state and its institutions, on the side of civilization and domination. All our curses against these wretches who dress in good intentions but in reality are spineless.

Saboteamos.info's lies

In the text that was spread on their blog, there is a part that is titled 'the facts,' in which they reveal something else, their complete ignorance with the case of ITS. According to them they investigated to the depth, but we don't know what depth they are talking about since their information is erroneous and idiotic.

1. They say that the second attack that was claimed by ITS was in the IPN, which is completely false, since in the second communique the group mentions that the attack was against the UPVM in the State of Mexico. We don't know where these leftists got their information, it is one thing that they are stupid enough to confuse the UPVM with the IPN and it is another thing that they transmit publicly that they confirmed this information which is obviously false.

2. One of the semi-reasons that they (at this point we can't call them by their name any longer since their stupidity is so enormous) give has to do with the photo that ITS published of one of their devices that was used in the second attack against the UPVM on May 9th. They say that the container is a bottle of Jumex and they give such idiotic excuses that one can't help bursting out laughing. On several occasions in creating our explosives we have used empty plastic Coca Cola bottles filled with gasoline and attached them to butane gas canisters and explosives which detonate after the ignition of a slow fuse, and so what? Will they accuse us as consumerists since we use Coca Cola bottles? Will they accuse us of being a fabrication? We don't know where these saboteamos.info people come from, but what is certain is if a contest was held to find out who is the most idiotic they would surely lose... on account of idiocy.

3. Maybe the people from this controversial blog have not read ITS's communiques very well. We have done this, and nowhere have they said or placed Ted Kaczynski on an altar, they cite him in various

ways and in fact we have recognized him in our communiques in the past. And so what? Does that turn us into accomplices, students or pupils of Mr Ted Kaczynski? None of their supposed critiques are valid, they lack in argumentation and are completely mutated with theories taken from science fiction novels that say the world is governed by reptiles. Comparing Ted Kaczynski with Osama Bin Laden? But what sick head wants to differentiate between those two people?! It seems these people call everything they don't agree with a fabrication or a conspiracy theory. Now they say that Ted Kaczynski's case was a fabrication of the United States government, citing various references that bring you to old blogs that speak specifically about secret conspiracies that governments create to keep people worried about certain things, but moreover the blogs they cite no longer have any actual validity or they deal with topics like UFOs, “supernatural” occurrences, one can see that their arguments are based on simple theories and paranoid views of the actual world. Also saboteamos.info bring up Project MkUltra, which did studies in stimulus to keep people constrained to a psychological behavior; Ted Kaczynski's brother said publicly that Ted was submitted to these studies years back (even the History Channel put it in a documentary about the Unabomber). But who is going to believe a person who snitched his own brother out to the public opinion and to the FBI? Only a really stupid idiot would swallow a traitor's story, and what happened? Saboteamos.info swallowed it whole...

They say something about satanism, and from where things are going we begin to understand that these are the people who believe the stories the alternative media tell them. What will they say next? That the governments are comprised of a race called reptilians? That *chupacabras* exist? Saboteamos.info are typically gullible, they believe everything that isn't based on reason and only on the pure inventions of mentally sick people. Mr Ted Kaczynski and individuals like ITS and other groups are people who cause problems for the authorities—on August 8th the State of Mexico was in anarchy!

4. The communique for the attack on Monterrey Tec in the mass media was cut down and only some parts were printed, it wasn't published whole as the “Unabomber Manifesto” was, to clarify for the imbeciles, it is apparent that the media haven't published

anything like a full text, the communication media in the United States published the manifesto *Industrial Society and Its Future* because Freedom Club said they would continue sending package bombs, which had already killed three people, and promised that the devices would be more powerful. This is why the authorities decided to publish Ted Kaczynski's entire text. There is nothing unusual about this having happened, in fact this is what sent Ted to prison because of a similarity between one of his typical phrases and one that was found in the long text.

The evidence that Ted Kaczynski was the Unabomber is extensive, not only did they find a typewriter that he had used to write the Manifesto, but also materials for making explosives, something called a "Unabomber code" in which he wrote down his next targets and related what had happened in each one of the attacks. The supposed witness who stated that they knew he was a supposed fabrication came out after it became convenient for the FBI for everyone to believe that Ted Kaczynski was a crazy person and that his ideas had no grounding, since there is no doubt that the critique of the techno-industrial system is the critique that goes to the root of the problem.

They say that a witness was submitted to the polygraph test, which everybody knows isn't trustworthy. They also argue that the character sketch looks nothing like Mr Ted Kaczynski, but since when are character sketches perfect?

5. "In the case of ITS we analyze carefully how the events happened around ITS's third attack, which was the one the media used to launch their dangerous message." This paragraph was taken from the text of these people with short attention spans (which is why they want to make note). There is no doubt that they are indeed our enemies for saying that ideas against technology are "dangerous."

6. The chronology of how the attack against Monterrey Tec in August happened was not the way that the people who update this blog of lies and confusion framed it.

To begin with, before the attack there was not a threat detector on any campus of the Tec. When the events of August 8th happened,

then they started to even hand out manuals that warned of the threat of package bombs.

Remember that ITS left a message inside of the package that was burned a bit by the explosion, but that in all the photos from the press one can perceive the signs that characterize this group. Certainly the PGJEM¹¹ didn't say anything since it was under pressure. The hypothesis is clear, an attack by a discontented student or a group opposed to technological development. It wasn't by “instinct” that the authorities knew ITS was responsible for it—the group left a note attributing the action.

There is no doubt that *saboteamos.info* feel so inferior that they are unable to accept that ITS could have already had the claim of responsibility for the attack or written it the night of the 8th to publish it on the 9th; ITS would have already known what was going to happen, they would know the impact would be great, and this is why we can give these two hypotheses.

The same goes for the images, which we confirmed; on the Radio Formula website where some journalist came out speaking with the prosecutor of the State of Mexico, that same August 8th there were already some photographs that came out of the attack inside of the Tec; and the same goes for *Terra.mx*.¹²

On July 29th of this year we carried out a bombing attack against a Santander Bank in Tultitlan in the State of Mexico. It left serious material damages to the facade, the next day our claim came out with photos, and because of this are we also to be branded as a fabrication of the police or something “strange”? We looked the next morning after the attack and very few media had photos, but they already existed; some days after the attack the *compas* of Culmine translated the attack into Italian, and we sent more photos that we had gotten from the internet, in fact if one searches on Culmine the communique in Spanish has some photos and in Italian it has others, what is strange about this?

According to the text from *saboteamos.info*, the *compas* of Liberación Total were the ones who provided information about ITS,

11 The General Prosecutor of Justice of the State of Mexico - T.N.

12 A news website in Mexico. - T.N.

which makes the statement deserving of seriousness, if it is a lie it would not strike us as strange that *saboteamos.info* would lie to cause anxiety, but if it is true that the *compas* from Liberación Total are sending information to a group of leftists, who else have they given information to, and from what other groups? We ask them to clarify this point, since the confidence that the action groups in Mexico having in sending our communiques to this very important blog might disappear and considering that this blog has always accompanied us as a participant in whatever is happening. We declare directly and firmly: as it stands, they are *compas*!

7. Why don't the ridiculous characters of *saboteamos.info* mention that ITS also cites a fact from *La Jornada*? Because according to the leftists the paper *La Jornada* is a paper of "social demand" and for all we know they trust the other bullshit they publish. Why don't they say that ITS also cites Nietzsche, as the *compas* of the CCF in Greece frequently did? Always this simulated ignorance to generate an environment of confusion.

8. Many groups have declared themselves in solidarity with Tortuga, and ITS is no exception. Does the ignorance of these people have no end or what? We don't know what *saboteamos.info*'s limit is in spouting such a load of bullshit in a single text. Who doesn't know that Tortuga is an anti-civilization *compañero*? And this is why they act in solidarity with him. Is this clear or they need a longer explanation? What don't they understand about the position involved in publishing a message on the internet even though we are anti-civilization groups?

Saboteamos.info lack understanding and we are starting to think that they are mentally retarded.

9. "How can it be that they use violence against people as the sole way of spreading a message that they consider revolutionary and feel proud of?" This is one of the ever so many idiotic sentences from these people who practice their slave morality and who not having more evidence to place guilt on groups and individuals, turn to the only thing they know, lies and ignorance. If we remember correctly, we share as a principle ITS's idea in saying that there exists no revolution and consequently no revolutionary either. The second

communiqué from ITS affirms it and it strictly says that they are not revolutionaries. In fact they break down a whole critique of the term coming from various angles. ITS, revolutionaries? Only in the sick mind of the *saboteamos.info* scum.

10. Ritualistic projects? The premiere of the *Jurassic Park* movie? What other “coincidences” can they mention? That the movie *Planet of the Apes* was released on August 5th in Mexico and the United States, only three days after the attack on the Tec, or the day the biotechnology researcher from Morelos was kidnapped, which ITS are also guilty of? Please!!!

11. “It is incredibly stupid to think that attacking people with bombs is going to convince people that nanotechnology is bad; in the past weeks the demand and interest for studying this field has grown noticeably, achieving one of the aims of the creators of these fabrications.” The bad intentions, deceitful finger-pointing and these people’s lack of culture and information are clear when they mention the above in their foul text. To begin with, the anti-technology terrorist group, like many other groups of action in Mexico (including ourselves) do not intend to convince the sheeple what is bad and what is good, as has already been mentioned above.

And another thing is that the careers of nanotechnology and biotechnology in Mexico have experienced a considerable decline (not a growth) up to now; after the attack by ITS many researchers along with the federal government carried out a campaign to restore people’s confidence in nanotechnology (even though the people didn’t have the least idea of what it was); with this we are not saying that the objective was to change the mentality of the people toward technology, only that coming from that perspective nanotechnology started to be questioned by conscious individuals; also, after the murder of the biotechnologist in Morales on November 8th international researchers canceled their meetings and visits to Mexico for fear of being the targets of attacks. A fabrication? A fabrication is what spaces like *saboteamos.info* create in order to discredit ideas against the techno-industrial system.

12. If they say that ITS’s actions are all strangely published in the media, why did the police hide the explosive package against the

director of INIFAP last September? And why when ITS declared themselves responsible for the incendiary package sent to a nanotechnologist at FES-C was the communique not spread in the media?

There is only one thing one can shout in the face of these statist Marxists dressed up as semi-anarchists, and that is: Cowards! Why cowards? Why do they make all these critiques after ITS said they weren't going to publish more communiqués? Are they perhaps afraid this group will reduce them to trash (which in reality they are)? Why do these cowards mention the *compas* of Rojo Oscuro, Liberación Total, and the group Hasta el Final!, to cover their supposed fabrication, which is nothing more than the paranoid visions of their sick mentality?

The ways of “doing things” that *saboteamos.info* talks about, where do they go? What path do they take one down? Passive resistance, symbolic action and useless marches? They say that actions against nanotechnology stain the “social movements’ image” but for us as for many groups of direct action the absurd social movements don't matter for shit, we defile their chants, their flags, their peaceful demonstrations, we don't care about their carnivals begging for social justice, territorial peace and the other scraps the system hands out to fool people.

The problem of nanotechnology is something that must not be left aside—the heart of everything, absolutely everything, is technology, the highest expression of domination is technology and civilization, and as warriors we arm ourselves and defend our individual freedom that the system of domination with all its thousands of branches wants to snatch away. As they have mentioned, ITS does not want to destroy nanotechnology (please read their communiqués well before putting your filthy civilized hands on the computer keyboard!). While we keep attacking technology and civilization, we will keep the truly radical path and not the leftist one.

Only one thing remains to add: they keep posting lies (all those participating in this campaign), false information, and that fucking pacifist hippie bullshit, everything falls under its own weight, for example believing something they used to cover up their

ignorance (when they didn’t know how to spell Mr Ted Kaczynski’s name) — they said they don’t spell it right because they don’t “respect” him? How pathetic!

The black plague will not be stopped, not by cheap documents, analysts like Jorge Lofredo, finger-pointing campaigns, or illusions!

Against all domination,

Earth Liberation Front
Animal Liberation Front